CITY OF SIMI VALLEY, CALIFORNIA SINGLE AUDIT REPORT JUNE 30, 2012

CITY OF SIMI VALLEY, CALIFORNIA
SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
JUNE 30, 2012

JUNE 30, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page <u>Number</u>
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards	1
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Requirements That Could Have a Direct and Material Effect on Each Major Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance with OMB Circular A-133	3
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	5
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2012	: 8
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs for the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2011	10



- a David E. Hale, CPA, CFP
- Donald G. Slater, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
- * Susan F. Matz, CPA
- 8 Shelly K. Jackley, CPA
- Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- Deborah A. Harper, CPA

Brandon W. Burrows, CPA, Retired

REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Simi Valley, California

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Simi Valley, California, (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 4, 2013. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Management of the City of Simi Valley, California, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses and therefore, there can be no assurance that all deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses have been identified. However, as discussed below or in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, we identified certain deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses but no other deficiencies that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiencies described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as finding 2012-1 to be a material weakness in internal control.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Simi Valley, California

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Brea, California February 4, 2013



- David E. Hale, CPA, CFP
- Donaid G. Slater, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
- Susan F. Matz, CPA
- Shelly K. Jackley, CPA
- Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- Deborah A. Harper, CPA

Brandon W. Burrows, CPA, Retired

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT ON COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS
THAT COULD HAVE A DIRECT AND MATERIAL EFFECT ON EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON
INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OMB CIRCULAR A-133

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Simi Valley, California

Compliance

We have audited the City of Simi Valley, California (the City)'s compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the City's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2012.

Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program to determine the auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.



To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council City of Simi Valley, California

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be deficiencies, significant deficiencies, or material weaknesses. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above.

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Simi Valley, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated February 4, 2013, which contained unqualified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was performed for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements as a whole. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for the purposes of additional analysis as required by U.S. Office of Management and Budget Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations, and is not a required part of the financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statements or to the financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statements as a whole.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, the City Council, federal awarding agencies and pass-through entities, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Brea, California

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

February 28, 2013 (except for the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards which is as of February 4, 2013)

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grantor's Number	Expenditures
Tederal Grantoni ass-Through Grantoni Togram Pice	Number	·	Experialtures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			•
Direct Programs:			
Community Development Block Grant *	14.218	B-10-MC-06-0535	\$ 121,472
		B-11-MC-06-0535	625,526
			746,998
ARRA - Community Development Block Grant ARRA			
Entitlement Grant (CDBG-R) (Recovery Act Funded) *	14.253	B-09-MY-06-0535	15,980
Total Community Development Block Cluster			762,978
Passed through the State of California Department of Housing and Community Development:			
Home Investment Partnership Program	14.239	03-HOME-0681	15,784
· -	14.239	03-HOME-000 I	10,704
Total U.S. Department of Housing			
and Urban Development			778,762
II.C. Department of Justice	*		
U.S. Department of Justice Direct Program:			*
Federal Asset Forfeiture	16.922	N/A	71,494
•	10.922	IN/A	7 1,404
Passed through the City of Oxnard:	40.570	00/01/0000 04 51	4 700
Edward Byrne Memorial Formula Grant Program	16.579	2010-H6985-CA-DJ	4,783
Edward ByrnE Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	2011-H3396-CA-DJ	14,913
ARRA - Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant			
(JAG) Program/Grants to Units of Local Government			
(Recovery Act Funded)	16.804	2009-F1629-CA-SU	4,049
Total U.S. Department of Justice			95,239
U.S. Department of Transportation			
Passed through the State of California,		•	
Department of Transportation:			
Highway Planning & Construction	20.205	HPLUL-5405 (048)	17,334
		HSIPL-5405 (059)	36,081
		CML-5405 (063)	158,193
			211,608
Direct Program:	•	•	
Federal Transit Formula Grants *	20.507	CA-90-Z010-00	2,041,985
		CA-37-X175	400,000
	•	CA-95-X121-00	130,675
			2,572,660
ARRA - Federal Transit Formula Grant			
(Recovery Act Funded)*	20.507	CA-96-X005	115,481
Total Federal Transit Formula Grant Cluster			2,688,141
			_,500,. 11
Passed through the State of California:	20 E16	640677	20.722
Job Access Reverse Commute	20.516	640677	32,732
Passed through the State of California	•		
Passed through the State of California Office of Traffic Safety:			
Passed through the State of California	20.600	CT11 407	1,360

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grantor's Number	Expenditures
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
U.S. Department of Treasury Direct Program:			
Federal Asset Forfeiture	21.000	N/A	3,816
Total U.S. Department of Treasury			3,816
U.S. Department of Energy Direct Program: ARRA - Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG) (Recovery Act Funded)	81.128	DE-SC0001754	75,446
Total U.S. Department of Energy			75,446
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Passed through the County of Ventura: Aging Cluster Special Programs for the Aging Title III, Part C Nutrition Services	93.045	3C-009-071012-R3	68,990_
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			68,990
U.S. Department of Homeland Security Passed through the County of Ventura:	07.040	2010 0044	20,800
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042	2010-0044	29,866
Urban Area Security Initiative (UASI) Grant Program	97.067	201-00085	11,727
State Homeland Security Program (SHSP)	97.073	111-00000	21,560
Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security			63,153
Total Federal Expenditures			\$ 4,019,247

^{*} Major Program

- Note a: Refer to Note 1 to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards for a description of significant accounting policies used in preparing this schedule.
- Note b: There were no federal awards expended in the form of noncash assistance and insurance in effect during the year.
- Note c: Total amount provided to subrecipients during the year were for CFDA #14.218 Community Development Block Grant was \$186,203.

NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

Note 1: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Applicable to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

a. Scope of Presentation

The accompanying schedule presents only the expenditures incurred by the City of Simi Valley, California, that are reimbursable under federal programs of federal financial assistance. For the purposes of this schedule, federal awards include both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a non-federal agency or other organization. Only the portion of program expenditures reimbursable with such federal funds is reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal reimbursement authorized or the portion of the program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

b. Basis of Accounting

The expenditures included in the accompanying schedule were reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Under the modified accrual basis of accounting, expenditures are incurred when the City becomes obligated for payment as a result of the receipt of the related goods and services. Expenditures reported included any property or equipment acquisitions incurred under the federal program.

Note 2: Loans Receivable

Loans from CDBG funds in the amount of \$525,141, which includes interest of \$70,187 and HOME funds in the amount of \$2,626,096, which includes interest of \$213,397 are outstanding as of June 30, 2012. During fiscal year 2011-12, there were no new loans made with CDBG funds or HOME funds.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITORS' RESULTS

Financial Statements			
Type of auditors' report issued: Unqualified Op	pinion		•
Internal control over financial reporting:			:
Significant deficiencies identified?		yes	_X_no
Material weaknesses identified?		X_yes	none reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?		yes	_X_no
Federal Awards			
Internal control over major programs:			
Significant deficiencies identified?		yes	Xno
Material weaknesses identified?		yes	X_none reported
Type of auditors' report issued on compliance f	or major progra	ms: Unqualified	Opinion
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to reported in accordance with Section 510(a) Circular A-133?		yes	<u>X</u> no
Identification of major programs:			
<u>CFDA Number(s)</u> 14.218, 14.253 20.507	Name of Federal Program or Cluster Community Development Block Grant Cluster ARRA – Federal Transit Formula Grant		
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B program	\$300,000		
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	•	_X_yes	no

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2012

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2012-1

Net Assets Restatement

As a result of our audit procedures, we noted an item that required a net assets restatement. There were various project costs restated relating to capital assets. We recommend proper controls to be put in place over the review process of the capital assets which will prevent restatements in the future.

Management's Response

The responsible City Departments shall review the capitalization process to determine the proper controls to prevent restatements in the future.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.

SCHEDULE OF PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2011

SECTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2011-1

Council on Aging and Cultural Arts Center

We have identified the Council on Aging and Cultural Arts Center on the Audit Difference Evaluation Form because there is financial activity not being accounted for through the City's financial software. Although not material to the City's overall financial reporting we recommend the financing of both of these activities to run completely through the City's financial software or that they file for a separate federal identification number, legally separating them from the financial responsibility of the City.

Current Status

The Council on Aging and Cultural Arts Center was included in the City's financial reporting software for the 2012 fiscal year.

SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.