OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Special Meeting

Simi Valley City Hall 2929 Tapo Canyon Road Simi Valley, CA 93063 October 12, 2012 3:00 p.m.

- 1. Call to Order
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance
- 3. Roll Call
- 4. Agenda Review
- 4A. Move that all resolutions presented be read in title only and all further reading be waived.
- 5. Public Statements

Time allotted for public statements or comments on all items on the agenda. Each individual speaker is limited to three (3) minutes.

6. Approval of Minutes:

Consideration of Resolution Approving the Minutes of the October 5, 2012 Meeting of the Oversight Board

- 7. Consent Calendar: None
- 8. Continued Business: None
- 9. New Business:
- 9A. Adoption of a Resolution Approving the Due Diligence Review as Mandated by Assembly Bill 1484

OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

October 12, 2012

10. Board Comments:

11. Adjournment: To be determined

Brian Paul Gabler

Director of Economic Development/

Assistant City Manager

Oversight Board Secretary

If any interested individual has a disability, which may require accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the City Manager's Office at 583-6701. Upon advance notification of the need for accommodation, reasonable arrangements will be made to provide accessibility to the meeting.

Agenda Item: 6 Date: 10/12/12

OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MEMORANDUM

October 12, 2012

TO:

Oversight Board

FROM:

Office of the City Manager, Simi Valley Successor Agency

SUBJECT:

CONSIDERATION OF RESOLUTION APPROVING THE MINUTES OF

THE OCTOBER 5, 2012 MEETING OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended the Oversight Board adopt a Resolution approving Minutes of the October 5, 2012 meeting of the Oversight Board.

BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

On June 27, 2012, the State of California approved Assembly Bill (AB) 1484 which is a trailer bill to the original 2011 Dissolution Act legislation. AB1484 made significant changes to how a Successor Agency and an Oversight Board will report information and conduct business. Included in this legislation is a new requirement that all actions of the Oversight Board be made through adoption of resolution.

FINDINGS AND ALTERNATIVES

As a result of new legislation (AB1484), all actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be adopted by resolution. Staff recommends the Oversight Board adopt the attached Resolution approving the Minutes of the October 5, 2012 meeting of the Oversight Board.

Brian Paul Gabler, Director of Economic Development/Assistant City Manager

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE MINUTES OF THE OCTOBER 5, 2012 MEETING OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD

WHEREAS, on June 27, 2012 the State of California Legislature passed and the Governor signed Assembly Bill 1484 which modifies how an oversight board may take action; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 1484 dictates that all actions taken by an oversight board shall be adopted by resolution; and

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to the Simi Valley Community Development Agency ("Oversight Board") held a duly noticed meeting on August 28, 2012; and

WHEREAS, the Oversight Board desires to approve the Minutes of the October 5, 2012 meeting.

NOW, THEREFORE, THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY DOES HEREBY RESOLVE AS FOLLOWS:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The Oversight Board finds and determines that the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

SECTION 2. The Oversight Board approves the Minutes of the October 5, 2012 meeting of the Oversight Board attached as Exhibit A.

<u>SECTION 3</u>. The Successor Agency shall maintain on file as a public record this Resolution and the Minutes as approved hereby.

PASSED and ADOPTED

Mike Sedell, Chair of the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to Simi Valley Community Development Agency

ATTEST:

MINUTES OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

Simi Valley, California

October 5, 2012

AGENDA ITEM

- 1. Called to Order: 2:06 p.m.
- 2. Pledge of Allegiance: Led by Mike Sedell
- 3. Roll Call: Present: Michael Clear, Paul Derse, Bruce Hamous, Iris Ingram, Mike Sedell,

Absent: Abbe Berns, Jeff Yaller

- 4. Agenda Review: None
- 4A. <u>ACTION</u>: Board Member Ingram moved that all resolutions presented be read in title only and all further reading be waived; Board Member Clear seconded the motion. There being no objections, the motion carried through acclamation.
- 5. Public Statements: None
- 6. Approval of Minutes:

Resolution No. OB 2012 – 15 A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE MINUTES OF THE AUGUST 28, 2012 MEETING OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD

ACTION: Board Member Clear moved to adopt Resolution No. OB 2012-15; Board member Hamous seconded the motion:

ROLL CALL

AYES:

Board Members, Clear, Hamous, Ingram, Vice Chair Derse, & Chair

Sedell

NAYS:

None ·

ABSENT:

Board Members Yaller, Berns

ABSTAIN:

None

AGENDA ITEM

7. Consent Calendar: None

8. Continued Business: None

9. New Business:

9A. Consideration of Resolution Approving the Recognized Obligations Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013

Resolution No. OB 2012 – 16 A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY RECEIVING FROM THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY, AND CONVENING A PUBLIC COMMENT SESSION OF, THE INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT REGARDING THE HOUSING DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 34179.5 FOR THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND

ACTION: Vice Chair Derse moved to adopt Resolution No. OB 2012-16; Board Member Ingram seconded the motion:

ROLL CALL

AYES:

Board Members Clear, Hamous, Ingram, Vice Chair Derse, & Chair Sedell

NAYS:

None

ABSENT:

Board Members Yaller, Berns

ABSTAIN:

None

- 10. Board Comments: None
- 11. Adjournment: Chair Sedell adjourned the meeting at 2:21 a.m. to October 12, 2012 at 3:00 p.m.

Mike Sedell, Chair of the Oversight Board to the Successor Agency of the Simi Valley Community Development Agency

OVERSIGHT BOARD FOR THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

MEMORANDUM

October 12, 2012

TO:

Oversight Board

FROM:

Office of the City Manager, Simi Valley Successor Agency

SUBJECT:

ADOPTION OF A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE DUE DILIGENCE

REVIEW AS MANDATED BY ASSEMBLY BILL 1484

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended the Oversight Board adopt the attached Resolution approving the Due Diligence Review for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.

BACKGROUND AND OVERVIEW

The Dissolution Act, Parts 1.8 and 1.85 of the California Health and Safety Code, and as amended by Assembly Bill 1484 chaptered and effective June 27, 2012 require the Successor Agency to retain a licensed accountant for the purposes of determining the unobligated fund balances available for transfer to the taxing agencies, including the City's General Fund. Two due diligence reviews are required, one related to housing assets and obligations and the other related to non-housing assets and obligations.

This first accountant's report (attached) pertains to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund ("LMIHF"). The Successor Agency caused the Due Diligence Review (Review) to be transmitted to the Oversight Board. At its meeting of October 5, 2012, the Oversight Board conducted its public review session. The Oversight Board has duly considered public input, if any, as well as any comments received from public entities and members of the Oversight Board regarding the Review

A non-housing review will be similarly conducted and is due by December 15, 2012

FINDINGS AND ALTERNATIVES

The Review is required to be accomplished by a CPA that is selected by the Successor Agency and approved by the County Auditor-Controller with the cost of the Review is borne by the Successor Agency from the Administrative Budget. The Review is intended to cover the following items:

- Value of assets transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the successor agency as of February 1, 2012.
- Value of asset transfers from the redevelopment agency to the city since January 1, 2011.
- Revenue and expenditures for each of the 12 months ending June 30, 2011 and 2012 that reconciles a balance sheet of the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 back to the balance sheet of the former redevelopment agency as of June 30, 2010.
- An itemized listing of amounts that are legally restricted and cannot be provided to the taxing entities (i.e. bond funds).
- An itemized listing of all assets that are not cash and cash equivalents (i.e. securities, receivables from other parties).
- An itemized listing of any asset that is legally restricted to the payment of an enforceable obligation.
- A listing of all approved enforceable obligations.

Under Health and Safety Code Section 34179.5, the Review requires the independent accountant to reconcile assets, balances and liabilities with previous reports made to the State. Further, this review includes valuation of cash and cash equivalents, and obligations.

The Successor Agency selected and the County Auditor-Controller approved Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP an accounting firm with experience and expertise in local government accounting to conduct the Due Diligence Reviews to determine the unobligated balances available for transfer to taxing entities relating to housing and non-housing assets and obligations in order to ascertain unobligated cash or cash equivalent balances that would be available for transfer to local taxing entities. Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP delayed commencing work on the Due Diligence Review until the Review standards were developed and issued by the California Department of Finance (DOF).

Key dates include the following for both Reviews:

DUE DULIGENCE REVIEW SCHEDULE AND DEADLINES

	Housing Review	Non-housing Review		
Due Diligence Review Due from Successor Agency to Oversight Board	October 1, 2012	December 15, 2012		
Oversight Board Deadline to Conduct Hearing, Review, Approve and Submit Due Diligence Review	October 15, 2012	January 15, 2013		
DOF Deadline to Issue "Finding of Completion"	November 9, 2012	April 1, 2013		
Successor Agency Deadline to Request Meet and Confer with DOF about Reviews	Five (5) Days of DOF Action, no Later than November 16, 2012	Five (5) Days of DOF Action, no Later than April 6, 2013		
Successor Agency Deadline to Make Transfers to County Auditor-Controller based on DOF Findings	November 28, 2012	April 10, 2013		

Attached to Staff's report and the Resolution is the housing Due Diligence Review report. Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP reviewed cash and non-cash balances, expenditures, revenues and transfers prior to and following dissolution on February 1, 2012. In general, the activities noted in the report reflect transactions associated with the former Agency in the course of implementation of its affordable housing responsibilities, the subsequent transfer of all housing assets to the Successor Agency on February 1, and finally the transfer of some assets to the Housing Authority as the housing successor.

The findings indicate, which the Successor Agency has known, is the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund was overcommitted at June 30, 2012 by \$1,744,671. The former Simi Valley Community Development Agency had made financial commitments beyond the then cash on hand under the assumption that future revenues would fund all projects.

As required by the Dissolution Act, the Oversight Board must meet not less than two times regarding the Review: (1) at one meeting to receive the report and convene a public comment session, and (2) at a second meeting before October 15, 2012 to consider the public comments

and the results/opinions of the County Auditor-Controller to the Review, approve and authorize transmittal of the review again to the County Auditor-Controller, State Controller's Office and DOF not later than October 15, 2012 for review and final determination by the DOF. The Oversight Board conducted the first meeting concerning the Review at its meeting of October 5, 2012 at 2:00 p.m.. During the public comment period, no comments were received by the Successor Agency concerning the Review.

The following Alternatives are available to the Oversight Board:

- 1. Adopt a Resolution approving the Due Diligence Review for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.
- 2. Provide further direction.

It is recommended the Oversight Board approve Alternative No. 1.

SUMMARY

Successor Agency staff recommends that Oversight Board adopt the attached Resolution approving the independent accountant's report from the Housing Due Diligence Review conducted pursuant to section 34179.5 for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund.

Brian Paul Gabler, Director of Economic

Development/Assistant City Manager

RESOLUTION NO.

A RESOLUTION OF THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY APPROVING THE INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT REGARDING THE HOUSING DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW CONDUCTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 34179.5 FOR THE LOW AND MODERATE INCOME HOUSING FUND, TAKING INTO CONSIDERATION THE PUBLIC COMMENTS ALL PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 34179.5 AND 34179.6 OF THE DISSOLUTION ACT

WHEREAS, the Simi Valley Community Development Agency ("Agency") was established as a redevelopment agency that was previously organized and existing under the California Community Redevelopment Law, Health and Safety Code Section 33000, et seq. ("CRL"), and previously authorized to transact business and exercise powers of a redevelopment agency pursuant to action of the City Council of the City of Simi Valley ("City"); and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill x1 26, chaptered and effective on June 27, 2011, added Parts 1.8 and 1.85 to Division 24 of the California Health & Safety Code, which caused the dissolution of all redevelopment agencies and winding down of the affairs of former agencies, including as such laws were amended by Assembly Bill 1484 chaptered and effective on June 27, 2012 (together, the "Dissolution Act"); and

WHEREAS, as of February 1, 2012 the Agency was dissolved pursuant to the Dissolution Act and as a separate legal entity the City serves as the Successor Agency to the Simi Valley Community Development Agency ("Successor Agency"); and

WHEREAS, the Successor Agency administers the enforceable obligations of the former Agency and otherwise unwinds the Agency's affairs, all subject to the review and approval by a seven-member oversight board ("Oversight Board"); and

WHEREAS, Section 34179.5 requires the Successor Agency to employ a licensed accountant approved by the Ventura County Auditor-Controller to perform a due diligence review and report on the amount of unobligated funds available for transfer to taxing entities; and

WHEREAS, on July 31, 2012, the Ventura County Auditor-Controller provided written approval to Successor Agency staff of their selection of *Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP* as the licensed accountant to perform the due diligence review for the Successor Agency; and

WHEREAS, on August 30, 2012, the Department of Finance posted on its official website the agreed-upon procedures to conduct the due diligence reviews; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with the provisions of the agreed-upon procedures and provisions of Section 34179.5, Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP completed the housing due

diligence review and report (the "Report"), a copy of which is attached hereto and incorporated by this reference; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with Section 34179.5 and 34179.6, the Oversight Board provided a public review session at its meeting of October 5, 2012; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 34179(h) as amended by Assembly Bill 1484 effective June 27, 2012, written notice and information about all actions taken by the Oversight Board shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF's choosing. Generally, an oversight board's action shall become effective five (5) business days after notice in the manner specified by the DOF unless the DOF requests a review provided however the subject report will be considered and acted on pursuant to the process and timing of Sections 34179.5 and 34179.6.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE OVERSIGHT BOARD OF THE SUCCESSOR AGENCY TO THE SIMI VALLEY COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY:

<u>SECTION 1</u>. The foregoing recitals are incorporated into this Resolution by this reference, and constitute a material part of this Resolution.

SECTION 2. Pursuant to the Dissolution Act, the Oversight Board approves the Report in the form submitted. The Executive Director of the Successor Agency or their authorized designee is directed to post this Resolution on the Successor Agency website pursuant to the Dissolution Act.

SECTION 3. Pursuant to Section 34179(h) as amended by Assembly Bill 1484 effective June 27, 2012, a copy of this Resolution shall be provided to the DOF by electronic means and in a manner of DOF's choosing.

SECTION 4. The Secretary of the Oversight Board shall certify to the adoption of this Resolution.

SECTION 5. The Successor Agency shall maintain on file as a public record this Resolution and the Minutes as approved hereby.

PASSED and ADOPTED this

Mike Sedell, Chair of the Oversight Board of the Successor Agency to Simi Valley Community Development Agency

ATTEST:



Successor Agency of the Former Simi Valley Community Development Agency

Due Diligence Review
of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund
Pursuant to Sections 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(3)
and Sections 34179.5(c)(5) through 34179.5(c)(6)
of Assembly Bill No. 1484 of 2012

Lance Soll & Lunghard, LLP

203 North Brea Blvd Suite 203 Brea, CA 92821

41185 Golden Gate Circle Suite 103 Murrieta, CA 92562 Successor Agency of the Former Simi Valley Community Development Agency

Due Diligence Review of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund Pursuant to Sections 34179.5(c)(1) through 34179.5(c)(3) and Sections 34179.5(c)(5) through 34179.5(c)(6) of Assembly Bill No. 1484 of 2012



- · Brandon W. Burrows, CPA
- David E. Hale, CPA, CFP
 A Professional Corporation
- Donald G. Slater, CPA
- Richard K. Kikuchi, CPA
- Susan F. Matz, CPA
- · Shelly K. Jackley, CPA
- Bryan S. Gruber, CPA
- · Deborah A. Harper, CPA

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS' REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

To the Successor Agency of the Former Simi Valley Community Development Agency City of Simi Valley, California

We have performed the procedures enumerated in Attachment A for the Low and Moderate Housing Fund, which were agreed to by the California State Controller's Office and the State of California Department of Finance (State Agencies) solely to assist you in ensuring that the dissolved redevelopment agency is complying with Assembly Bill 1484, Chapter 26, Section 17's amendment to health and safety code 34179.5. This agreed-upon procedures engagement was conducted in accordance with attestation standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Management of the successor agency is responsible for providing all the information obtained in performing these procedures. The sufficiency of these procedures is solely the responsibility of those parties specified in the report. Consequently, we make no representations regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose.

As stated above, the scope of this engagement was limited to performing the procedures identified in Attachment A, which specified the "List of Procedures for the Due Diligence Review" obtained from the California Department of Finance Website.

The results of the procedures performed are identified in Attachment B1 through B11.

We were not engaged to and did not conduct an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of a certified opinion as to the appropriateness of the results of the procedures performed. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to the Successor Agency.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Successor Agency Oversight Board, the Successor Agency and the applicable State Agencies, and is not intended to be, and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties. This restriction is not intended to limit distribution of this report, which is a matter of public record.

Brea, California September 19, 2012

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP

- Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets that were transferred from the former redevelopment agency to the Successor Agency on February 1, 2012. Agree the amounts on this listing to account balances established in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. Identify in the Agreed-Upon Procedures (AUP) report the amount of the assets transferred to the Successor Agency as of that date.
- 2. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - a. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - b. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the redevelopment agency for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - c. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.
- 3. If the State Controller's Office has completed its review of transfers required under both Sections 34167.5 and 34178.8 and issued its report regarding such review, attach a copy of that report as an exhibit to the AUP report. If this has not yet occurred, perform the following procedures:
 - a. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the former redevelopment agency to any other public agency or to private parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - b. Obtain a listing prepared by the Successor Agency of transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) from the Successor Agency to any other public agency or private parties for the period from February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012. For each transfer, the Successor Agency should describe the purpose of the transfer and describe in what sense the transfer was required by one of the Agency's enforceable obligations or other legal requirements. Provide this listing as an attachment to the AUP report.
 - c. For each transfer, obtain the legal document that formed the basis for the enforceable obligation that required any transfer. Note in the AUP report the absence of any such legal document or the absence of language in the document that required the transfer.

2

- 4. Perform the following procedures:
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency a summary of the financial transactions of the Redevelopment Agency and the Successor Agency in the format set forth in the attached schedule for the fiscal periods indicated in the schedule. For purposes of this summary, the financial transactions should be presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting. End of year balances for capital assets (in total) and long-term liabilities (in total) should be presented at the bottom of this summary schedule for information purposes.
 - b. Ascertain that for each period presented, the total of revenues, expenditures, and transfers accounts fully for the changes in equity from the previous fiscal period.
 - c. Compare amounts in the schedule relevant to the fiscal year ended June 30, 2010 to the state controller's report filed for the Redevelopment Agency for that period.
 - d. Compare amounts in the schedule for the other fiscal periods presented to account balances in the accounting records or other supporting schedules. Describe in the report the type of support provided for each fiscal period.
- 5. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012 and a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) for the report that is due December 15, 2012. When this procedure is applied to the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund, the schedule attached as an exhibit will include only those assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund that were held by the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 and will exclude all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function previously performed by the former redevelopment agency. Agree the assets so listed to recorded balances reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency. The listing should be attached as an exhibit to the appropriate AUP report.
- 6. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of asset balances held on June 30, 2012 that are restricted for the following purposes:
 - a. Unspent bond proceeds:
 - i. Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures, amounts set aside for debt service payments, etc.).
 - ii. Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
 - iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
 - b. Grant proceeds and program income that are restricted by third parties:
 - Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
 - Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).

- iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the grant agreement that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by the Successor Agency as restricted.
- c. Other assets considered to be legally restricted:
 - Obtain the Successor Agency's computation of the restricted balances (e.g., total proceeds less eligible project expenditures).
 - Trace individual components of this computation to related account balances in the accounting records, or to other supporting documentation (specify in the AUP report a description of such documentation).
 - iii. Obtain from the Successor Agency a copy of the legal document that sets forth the restriction pertaining to these balances. Note in the AUP report the absence of language restricting the use of the balances that were identified by Successor the Agency as restricted.
- d. Attach the above mentioned Successor Agency prepared schedule(s) as an exhibit to the AUP report. For each restriction identified on these schedules, indicate in the report the period of time for which the restrictions are in effect. If the restrictions are in effect until the related assets are expended for their intended purpose, this should be indicated in the report.

7. Perform the following:

- a. Obtain from the Successor Agency a listing of assets as of June 30, 2012 that are **not** liquid or otherwise available for distribution (such as capital assets, land held for resale, long-term receivables, etc.) and ascertain if the values are listed at either purchase cost (based on book value reflected in the accounting records of the Successor Agency) or market value as recently estimated by the Successor Agency.
- b. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at purchase cost, trace the amounts to a previously audited financial statement (or to the accounting records of the Successor Agency) and note any differences.
- c. For any differences noted in 7(B), inspect evidence of disposal of the asset and ascertain that the proceeds were deposited into the Successor Agency trust fund. If the differences are due to additions (this generally is not expected to occur), inspect the supporting documentation and note the circumstances.
- d. If the assets listed at 7(A) are listed at recently estimated market value, inspect the evidence (if any) supporting the value and note the methodology used. If no evidence is available to support the value and\or methodology, note the lack of evidence.

8. Perform the following:

- a. If the Successor Agency believes that asset balances need to be retained to satisfy enforceable obligations, obtain from the Successor Agency an itemized schedule of asset balances (resources) as of June 30, 2012 that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations and perform the following procedures. The schedule should identify the amount dedicated or restricted, the nature of the dedication or restriction, the specific enforceable obligation to which the dedication or restriction relates, and the language in the legal document that is associated with the enforceable obligation that specifies the dedication of existing asset balances toward payment of that obligation.
 - Compare all information on the schedule to the legal documents that form the basis for the dedication or restriction of the resource balance in question.

- Compare all current balances to the amounts reported in the accounting records of the Successor Agency or to an alternative computation.
- iii. Compare the specified enforceable obligations to those that were included in the final Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule approved by the California Department of Finance.
- iv. Attach as an exhibit to the report the listing obtained from the Successor Agency. Identify in the report any listed balances for which the Successor Agency was unable to provide appropriate restricting language in the legal document associated with the enforceable obligation.
- b. If the Successor Agency believes that future revenues together with balances dedicated or restricted to an enforceable obligation are insufficient to fund future obligation payments and thus retention of current balances is required, obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule of approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of the annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of the annual revenues available to fund those requirements and perform the following procedures:
 - i. Compare the enforceable obligations to those that were approved by the California Department of Finance. Procedures to accomplish this may include reviewing the letter from the California Department of Finance approving the Recognized Enforceable Obligation Payment Schedules for the six month period from January 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012 and for the six month period July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012.
 - Compare the forecasted annual spending requirements to the legal document supporting each enforceable obligation.
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions relating to the forecasted annual spending requirements and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. For the forecasted annual revenues:
 - a. Obtain from the Successor Agency its assumptions for the forecasted annual revenues and disclose in the report major assumptions associated with the projections.
- c. If the Successor Agency believes that projected property tax revenues and other general purpose revenues to be received by the Successor Agency are insufficient to pay bond debt service payments (considering both the timing and amount of the related cash flows), obtain from the Successor Agency a schedule demonstrating this insufficiency and apply the following procedures to the information reflected in that schedule.
 - i. Compare the timing and amounts of bond debt service payments to the related bond debt service schedules in the bond agreement.
 - ii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted property tax revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
 - iii. Obtain the assumptions for the forecasted other general purpose revenues and disclose major assumptions associated with the projections.
- d. If procedures A, B, or C were performed, calculate the amount of current unrestricted balances necessary for retention in order to meet the enforceable obligations by performing the following procedures.

- Combine the amount of identified current dedicated or restricted balances and the amount of forecasted annual revenues to arrive at the amount of total resources available to fund enforceable obligations.
- Reduce the amount of total resources available by the amount forecasted for the annual spending requirements. A negative result indicates the amount of current unrestricted balances that needs to be retained.
- iii. Include the calculation in the AUP report.
- 9. If the Successor Agency believes that cash balances as of June 30, 2012 need to be retained to satisfy obligations on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) for the period of July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, obtain a copy of the final ROPS for the period of July 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012 and a copy of the final ROPS for the period January 1, 2013 through June 30, 2013. For each obligation listed on the ROPS, the Successor Agency should add columns identifying (1) any dollar amounts of existing cash that are needed to satisfy that obligation and (2) the Successor Agency's explanation as to why the Successor Agency believes that such balances are needed to satisfy the obligation. Include this schedule as an attachment to the AUP report.
- 10. Include (or present) a schedule detailing the computation of the Balance Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities. Amounts included in the calculation should agree to the results of the procedures performed in each section above. The schedule should also include a deduction to recognize amounts already paid to the County Auditor-Controller on July 12, 2012 as directed by the California Department of Finance. The amount of this deduction presented should be agreed to evidence of payment. The attached example summary schedule may be considered for this purpose. Separate schedules should be completed for the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund and for all other funds combined (excluding the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund).
- 11. Obtain a representation letter from Successor Agency management acknowledging their responsibility for the data provided to the practitioner and the data presented in the report or in any attachments to the report. Included in the representations should be an acknowledgment that management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former redevelopment agency or the Successor Agency to other parties for the period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been properly identified in the AUP report and its related exhibits. Management's refusal to sign the representation letter should be noted in the AUP report as required by attestation standards.

ATTACHMENT B1

Procedure 1
List of Assets Transferred from the Former Redevelopment Agency to the Successor Agency
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
As of February 1, 2012

	Asset		· ·	*		Bala	ance at 2/1/2012
Cash	÷					\$	12,433,831
					Total Assets transferred:	. .	12.433.831

Procedure 2 Listing of Transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) to the City Low and Moderate Housing Fund For the Period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

Describe Purpose of Transfer	Enforceable Obligation (EO)/ Other Legal Requirement (LR)	Amount	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/	
From former Redevelopment Agency to City for January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012				
No transfers for this period.				
From Successor Agency to City for February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012				-
Property Held for Sale	LR	\$ 1,126,437	Υ	а
Interest Receivable - long-term	LR	1,008,524	Υ	а
Loans Receivable - long-term	LR	855,137	Υ	а
SHA-CDA Rehab Loan Receivable	LR	922,294	Υ	а
SHA CDA FTHB Receivable	LR	9,832,208	Υ	а
Cabrillo Receivable	LR	2,120,000	Υ	а
SHA - Paseo Sr Apt Rec	LR	1,672,500	. Y	
SHA - ARC Receivable	LR	175,000	Υ	
SHA ESG Property Receivable	LR	 3,240,000	Υ	
• •	Şub-total:	 20,952,100		

Footnotes:

a) Approved through the Housing Asset Form from the Department of Finance with a variance in the amount when compared to the February 1, 2012 general ledger

Procedure 3

ATTACHMENT B3

Listing of Transfers (excluding payments for goods and services) to other public agencies or private parties Low and Moderate Housing Fund

For the Period from January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012

Enforceable
Obligation (EO)/
Other Legal
Requirement (LR)

Legal
Documentation
t Obtained? (Y/N)

Describe Purpose of Transfer

Amount

From former Redevelopment Agency to other public agencies or private parties for January 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012

None

From Successor Agency to other public agencies or private parties for February 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012

None

Procedure 4
Summary of the financial transactions of Redevelopment Agency and Successor Agency
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
Per schedule attached to List of Procedures for Due Diligence Review

ATTACHMENT B4

NOT APPLICABLE TO THE LOW AND MODERATE HOUSING FUND DUE DILIGENCE REVIEW

Procedure 5
Listing of All Assets (excluding all assets held by the entity that assumed the housing function)
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
As of June 30, 2012

ATTACHMENT B5

Asset						Amount
Cash	1100	Cash Constant	TOTAL CASH:	\$ 11,895,127	_\$	11,895,127
	÷			TOTAL ASSETS AT 6/30/2012:	\$	11,895,127

Procedure 6 Listing of Assets that are restricted Low and Moderate Housing Fund As of June 30, 2012 NO RESTRICTED ASSETS AT JUNE 30, 2012

ATTACHMENT B7

Procedure 7
Listing of Assets That Are Not Liquid or Otherwise Available for Distribution
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
As of June 30, 2012

NO NON-LIQUID ASSETS AT JUNE 30, 2012

Procedure 8a
Listing of Assets (resources) that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
As of June 30, 2012

_	item #	Project Name	Reference	0	Approved bligation Amount	Peri	ount Paid in iod Ending ne 30, 2012	For From	unt Restricted Obligation June 30, 2012 Balance	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
	1	CD-S-1012; Los Arboles/Royal & Corto LLC	ROPS I, line item #5	\$	150,000	\$	-	\$	150,000	Y
	2	Senior Rent Subsidy Program Contract	ROPS I, line item #8		194,340		121,000		-	Y
	3	Mobile Home Rent Subsidy Program for Seniors	ROPS I, line item #9		6,120		5,791	-	-	Y
	4	Parkview Units Cost of Ownership	ROPS I, line item #10		7,560		7,560		-	Υ
_	5	Simi Village Units Cost of Ownership	ROPS I, line item #11 ROPS I, line		480		484		~	Υ
4	6	Le Parc Units Cost of Ownership	item #12		1,824		1,823		-	ΥΥ
	7.	Housing Units Cost of Ownership	ROPS I, line item #13		480		543		- ,	. Y
	8	Housing Units Cost of Ownership	ROPS I, line item #14 ROPS I, line		180		131		-	Y
	9	de Leon Housing Unit Litigation	item #15 ROPS I, line		95,000		61,629		33,371	Υ
	10	de Leon Housing Unit Litigation	item #16 ROPS I, line		190,000		-		190,000	Y
	11	Werner home Rehabilitation Loan	item #17 ROPS I, line		1,000		1,000		•	Y
	12	Housing Unit Monitoring	item #18 ROPS I, line		29,100		19,602		-	Y
	13	Housing Employee Costs	item #19		1,038,356		454,265		-	Y
	14	CD-S-1012; Los Arboles/Royal & Corto LLC	ROPS II, line item #5 ROPS II, line		500,000		-		500,000	Υ
	15	Parkview Units Cost of Ownership	item #8		7,560				7,560	Y
	16	Simi Village Units Cost of Ownership	ROPS II, line item #9		480		-		480	Y

7

Procedure 8a
Listing of Assets (resources) that are dedicated or restricted for the funding of enforceable obligations
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
As of June 30, 2012

	Item #	Project Name	Reference	C	Approved Obligation Amount	Perio	int Paid in d Ending 30, 2012	Amount Restricted For Obligation From June 30, 2012 Balance	Legal Documentation Obtained? (Y/N)
_			ROPS II, line						
	17	Le Parc Units Cost of Ownership	item #10 ROPS II, line		1,824		-	1,824	Υ Υ
÷	18	Housing Units Cost of Ownership	item #11 ROPS II, line		480		-	480	Υ
	19	Housing Units Cost of Ownership	item #12 ROPS II, line		180		••····································	180	Y
	20	Housing Unit Monitoring	item #15 ROPS III, line		29,100		· <u>-</u>	29,100	Y
	21	PD-S-964; Mehdi Humkar	item #1 ROPS III, line		234,000		<u>-</u>	234,000	Y
	22	PD-S-942; Palmdale 47th LLC	item #2 ROPS III, line		446,000		-	446,000	Υ
15	23	PD-S-983; Casden Simi Valley LLC	item #3 ROPS III, line		8,601,000			8,601,000	Y
	24	PD-S-1001; SMV Patricia LLC	item #4 ROPS III, line		512,000		-	512,000	Υ
	25	CD-S-1012; Los Arboles/Royal & Corto LLC	item #5 ROPS III, line		165,000		-	165,000	Υ
	26	Peppertree Apartments; Many Mansions	item #6 ROPS III, line		2,245,030		-	2,245,030	Y
	27	Peppertree Apartments; Many Mansions	item #7 ROPS III, line	٠	484,137		-	484,137	Y
•	28	Parkview Units Cost of Ownership	item #8 ROPS III, line		7,560		-	7,560	Y
	29	Simi Village Units Cost of Ownership	item #9 ROPS III, line		492			492	Y
	30	Le Parc Units Cost of Ownership	item #10 ROPS III, line		1,824		-	1,824	Y
	31	Housing Units Cost of Ownership	item #11 ROPS III, line		480		-	480	Υ
	32	Housing Units Cost of Ownership	item #12 ROPS III, line		180			180	Υ
	33	Housing Unit Monitoring	item #15		29,100			29,100	Y
				<u>\$</u>	14,980,867	\$	673,828	\$ 13,639,798	

Procedure 8b
Listing of Assets (resources) that need to be retained due to insufficient funding for the funding of enforceable obligations
Low and Moderate Housing Fund

ATTACHMENT B8b

As of June 30, 2012

NO ASSETS REQUIRED TO BE RETAINED DUE TO INSUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE FUNDING OF ENFORCEABLE OBLIGATIONS.

ATTACHMENT B8c

Procedure 8c

Listing of Assets (resources) that need to be retained due to projected insufficient property tax revenues for bond debt payments

Low and Moderate Housing Fund

As of June 30, 2012

NO ASSETS REQUIRED TO BE RETAINED DUE TO PROJECTED INSUFFICIENT PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR BOND DEBT PAYMENTS.

ATTACHMENT B9

Procedure 9
Listing of Assets (resources) that need to be retained due to projected insufficient property tax revenues for future ROPS
Low and Moderate Housing Fund
As of June 30, 2012

NO ASSETS REQUIRED TO BE RETAINED DUE TO PROJECTED INSUFFICIENT PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FOR FUTURE ROPS.

Procedure 10 Summary of Low-Mod Balances Available for Allocation to Affected Taxing Entities		ATTACHMENT B10
Total amount of assets held by the successor agency as of June 30, 2012 (procedure 5)	\$	11,895,127
Add the amount of any assets transferred to the city or other parties for which an enforceable obligation with a third party requiring such transfer and obligating the use of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3)		
of the transferred assets did not exist (procedures 2 and 3)	To City To other parties	-
Less assets legally restricted for uses specified by debt covenants, grant restrictions, or restrictions imposed by other governments (procedure 6)		-
Less assets that are not cash or cash equivalents (e.g., physical assets) - (procedure 7)		-
Less balances that are legally restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation (net of projected annual revenues available to fund those obligations) - (procedure 8)		(13,639,798)
Less balances needed to satisfy ROPS for the 2012-13 fiscal year (procedure 9)		-
Less the amount of payments made on July 12, 2012 to the County Auditor-Controller as directed by the California Department of Finance		
Amount to be remitted to county for disbursement to taxing entities	<u>.</u> \$	(1,744,671)



September 19, 2012

Lance, Soll & Lunghard, LLP Certified Public Accountants 203 North Brea Boulevard, Suite 203 Brea, CA 92821-4056

We are providing this letter in connection with your performance of the Due Diligence Review of the Low and Moderate Housing Fund in accordance with Assembly Bill 1484 for the Successor Agency of the former Community Development Agency of the City of Simi Valley. We confirm that we are responsible for the complete and fair presentation of the previously mentioned review in conformity with the listed procedures of the Assembly Bill 1484 Due Diligence Review as published by the State Department of Finance on August 27, 2012. We are also responsible for adopting sound accounting policies, establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and preventing and detecting fraud.

We confirm, to the best of our knowledge and belief, as of the date of this letter, the following representations made to you during your review:

- 1. We have made available to you:
 - a. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(1), the dollar value of all assets transferred from the former community development agency to the successor agency on or about February 1, 2012.
 - b. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(2), the dollar value of all assets and cash and cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the community development agency or the successor agency to the city, county, or city and county that formed the community development agency and the purpose of each transfer. We have also provided the documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.
 - c. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(3), the dollar value of any cash or cash equivalents transferred after January 1, 2011, through June 30, 2012, by the community development agency or the successor agency to any other public agency or private party and the purpose of each transfer. We have also provided documentation of any enforceable obligation that required the transfer.
 - d. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(4), the expenditure and revenue accounting information and have identified transfers and funding sources for the 2010–11 and 2011–12 fiscal years that reconciles balances, assets, and liabilities of the successor agency on June 30, 2012 to those reported to the Controller for the 2009–10 fiscal year.
 - e. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5), a listing of all assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund as of June 30, 2012 for the report that is due October 1, 2012 and a listing of all assets of all other funds of the Successor Agency as of June 30, 2012 (excluding the previously reported assets of the Low and Moderate Income Housing Fund) for the report that is due December 15, 2012.

- f. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(B), an itemized statement listing any amounts that are legally restricted as to purpose and cannot be provided to taxing entities. This could include the proceeds of any bonds, grant funds, or funds provided by other governmental entities that place conditions on their use.
- g. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(C), an itemized statement of the values of any assets that are not cash or cash equivalents. This may include physical assets, land, records, and equipment. For the purpose of this accounting, physical assets may be valued at purchase cost or at any recently estimated market value.
- h. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(D), an itemized listing of any current balances that are legally or contractually dedicated or restricted for the funding of an enforceable obligation that identifies the nature of the dedication or restriction and the specific enforceable obligation. In addition, we have provided a listing of all approved enforceable obligations that includes a projection of annual spending requirements to satisfy each obligation and a projection of annual revenues available to fund those requirements.
- i. In accordance with 34179.5(c)(5)(E), an itemized list and analysis of any amounts of current balances that are needed to satisfy obligations that will be placed on the Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules for the current fiscal year.
- 2. There are no material transactions that have not been properly recorded in the accounting records underlying this Due Diligence Review.
- 3. Management is not aware of any transfers (as defined by Section 34179.5) from either the former Community Development Agency or the Successor Agency to the City, other agencies or private parties for the period January 1, 2011 through June 30, 2012 that have not been identified in this report and related exhibits.
- 4. We acknowledge our responsibility for the design and implementation of programs and controls to prevent and detect fraud.
- We have no knowledge of any fraud or suspected fraud affecting this Due Diligence Review involving:
 - a. Management,
 - b. Employees who have significant roles in internal control, or
 - c. Others where the fraud could have a material effect on this Due Diligence Review.
- 6. We have no knowledge of any allegations of fraud or suspected fraud affecting the entity received in communications from employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, or others.
- 7. When applicable, we have taken timely and appropriate steps to remedy fraud, illegal acts, violations of provisions of contracts or grant agreements, or abuse that you have reported to us.
- 8. We have identified to you any previous audits, attestation engagements, performance audits, state controller reports or other studies related to the objectives of this Due Diligence Review and whether related recommendations have been implemented.
- The Successor Agency of the former Community Development Agency of the City of Simi Valley
 has no plans or intentions that may materially affect the carrying value or classification of assets,
 liabilities, or fund equity.

- 10. We are responsible for compliance with the laws, regulations, provisions of contracts and grant agreements applicable to us, and all provisions related to the dissolution of the Community Development Agency in accordance with ABx1 26 and AB 1484.
- 11. There are no known violations of:
 - a. Laws and regulations,
 - b. Provisions of contracts and grant agreements,
 - c. Provisions related to the dissolution of the Community Development Agency in ABx1 26 and AB 1484 whose effects should be considered for disclosure in this Due Diligence Review.
- 12. All bank accounts and investments associated with this review have been properly reflected in the general ledger accounting records.
- 13. No events, including instances of noncompliance, have occurred subsequent to the performance of this Due Diligence Review and through the date of this letter that would require adjustment to or disclosure in the aforementioned Due Diligence Review.

Signed: Lynn / Lin

ASST CITY MANAGER

_...

22