

September 4, 2024

Eric Miller
City Ventures
3121 Michaelson Drive, Suite 150
Irvine, CA 92555

Subject: 1845 Oak Road Residential Development – Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Energy Impact Study – Simi Valley, CA Response to Comments #1

Dear Mr. Miller:

MD Acoustics, LLC (MD) has received comments from VCAPCD dated August 19, 2024. MD has provided these responses to the comments as outlined below:

Item 1 AQMP Consistency Analysis, Page 19. The methodology for conducting the AQMP Consistency analysis does not conform with the Ventura County Air Quality Assessment Guidelines, or AQAG, which contains instructions for how to conduct the project population comparison with the existing and forecasted population growth. Please refer to the AQAG's Section 4 for more information and use the 2022 AQMP /2020 Connect SoCal population data. For example, the project's expected population growth should be compared with the City of Simi Valley's current population, not Ventura County population as a whole, and the next 5-yr interval from SCAG's Connect SoCal population forecast for 2025 (interpolated from data).

MD Response: The AQMP consistency discussion has been revised with a comparison to population growth per VCAPCD guidelines.

Item 2 Nonattainment status, Page 19. Please also add PM10 as a state nonattainment status for Ventura County.

MD Response: Revised to note PM10 as a state nonattainment status.

Item 3 Generator, Page 20. The DMND states that a generator for stationary emission sources of project was included. If the generator will be powered by a diesel engine over 50 HP, it will require an APCD Permit to Operate.

MD Response: An emergency diesel generator has been added to the model as a worst case scenario.

Item 4 Appendix B, traffic. The Traffic Impact Study indicates the project will have 65 units. However, the DMND project description and air emissions modelling indicate a unit

amount of 70. Please correct the incorrect value and adjust air emissions modelling, if applicable.

MD Response: This is based on a previous site plan. The current site plan has 70 units and this has been accounted for in a memo provided by TJW Engineering.

Item 5 Construction emissions, Page 20-21. The construction emissions section in the DMND does not include the construction emissions quantified. As a reminder, APCD does not have adopted construction thresholds. However, we do recommend construction emissions be quantified and compared against the operational threshold for the project location, in this case 25 lbs./day for ROC or NOx (AQAG, Section 5.2). If emissions are over the recommended threshold, emission reduction measures, such as requiring Tier 3 and/or Tier diesel-rated off-road construction equipment and requiring low to zero-VOC paints for construction activities, should be included as standard conditions of approval. It is not clear what the ROC/VOC content of paint was used in the CalEEMod model but going above what was modelled and possibly quantifying the reduction in another model run may help determine the appropriate VOC content to reduce ROC emissions below 25 lbs./day.

MD Response: The model has been updated to limit the VOC content of interior and exterior paint to 18 grams per liter (g/L) and use Tier 3 equipment during grading. The grading phase was also extended from the default of 6 days to 10 days to account for a more reasonable yet still conservative schedule of soil import (worst case scenario of 137.5 heavy-heavy duty truck trips for hauling).

Item 6 Appendix A, AQGGEIS. The Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Energy Impact Study states that the project will generate a total of 472 trips per day. However, Table 6 of the Traffic Impact Study has a total ADT of 438. Please correct the incorrect value. Note- the CalEEMod report uses an ADT of 472.

MD Response: See response to Item 4. 472 trips per day is the current and correct number per the revision memo from TJW Engineering.

Item 7 Toxics, Page 22. The DMND's toxics section should include the potential of asbestos exposure to nearby sensitive receptors from the proposed demolition activities. Please include this and how the project will comply with APCD's Rule 62.7, Asbestos- Demolition and Renovation, which primarily consist of notification requirements to the air district.

MD Response: A discussion of asbestos and compliance with Rule 62.7 has been added as section 6.4.

Please do not hesitate to call our office at 805-426-4477 with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,
MD Acoustics, LLC



Tyler Klassen, EIT
Air Quality Specialist

1845 Oak Road Residential Development Air Quality, Greenhouse Gas, and Energy Impact Study City of Simi Valley, CA

Prepared for:

Eric Miller

City Ventures

3121 Michaelson Drive, Suite 150
Irvine, CA 92555

Prepared by:

MD Acoustics, LLC

Tyler Klassen, EIT

1197 Los Angeles Ave, Ste C-256
Simi Valley, CA 93065

Date: 9/4/2024



Noise Study Reports | Vibration Studies | Air Quality | Greenhouse Gas | Health Risk Assessments

P) AZ - 602.774.1950

P) CA - 805.426.4477

www.mdacoustics.com
info@mdacoustics.com

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose of Analysis and Study Objectives	1
1.2	Project Summary	1
1.2.1	Site Location	1
1.2.2	Project Description	1
1.2.3	Sensitive Receptors	1
1.3	Executive Summary of Findings and Mitigation Measures	2
2.0	Regulatory Framework and Background.....	6
2.1	Air Quality Regulatory Setting	6
2.1.1	National and State	6
2.1.2	Local	8
2.1.3	City of Simi Valley	10
2.2	Greenhouse Gas Regulatory Setting	10
2.2.1	International	10
2.2.2	National	11
2.2.3	California	12
2.2.4	Local	19
3.0	Setting.....	20
3.1	Existing Physical Setting	20
3.1.1	Local Climate and Meteorology	20
3.1.2	Local Air Quality	21
3.1.3	Attainment Status	22
3.2	Greenhouse Gases	23
4.0	Modeling Parameters and Assumptions	25
4.1	Construction	25
4.2	Operations	25
5.0	Thresholds of Significance	27
5.1	Air Quality Thresholds of Significance	27
5.1.1	CEQA Guidelines for Air Quality	27
5.1.2	Regional Significance Thresholds	27
5.2	Greenhouse Gas Thresholds of Significance	28
5.2.1	CEQA Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas	28
5.3	Toxic Air Contaminants	29
6.0	Air Quality Emissions Impact.....	31
6.1	Construction Air Quality Emissions Impact	31
6.1.1	Temporary Construction Emissions	31
6.1.3	Odors	32

6.2	Operational Air Quality Emissions Impact	32
6.2.1	Operational Emissions	32
6.3	CO Hot Spot Emissions	33
6.4	Asbestos	34
6.5	Cumulative Regional Air Quality Impacts	34
6.6	Air Quality Compliance	35
7.0	Greenhouse Gas Impact Analysis.....	36
7.1	Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact	36
7.2	Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact	36
7.3	Greenhouse Gas Plan Consistency	37
8.0	Energy Analysis.....	39
8.1	Construction Energy Demand	39
8.1.1	Construction Equipment Electricity Usage Estimates	39
8.1.2	Construction Equipment Fuel Estimates	40
8.1.3	Construction Worker Fuel Estimates	41
8.1.4	Construction Vendor/Hauling Fuel Estimates	41
8.1.5	Construction Energy Efficiency/Conservation Measures	42
8.2	Operational Energy Demand	43
8.2.1	Transportation Fuel Consumption	43
8.2.2	Facility Energy Demands (Electricity and Natural Gas)	44
8.3	Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Plan Consistency	44
9.0	References.....	46

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A:**
CalEEMod Emission Output
- Appendix B:**
EMFAC 2017 Output

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit A	4
Location Map	4
Exhibit B	5
Site Plan	5

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Land Use Summary.....	1
Table 2: Ambient Air Quality Standards	7
Table 3: Meteorological Summary.....	20
Table 4: Local Area Air Quality Levels.....	21
Table 5: Description of Greenhouse Gases.....	24
Table 6: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions	31
Table 7: Estimated Maximum Daily Operational Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions	32
Table 8: Estimated Annual Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions.....	36
Table 9: Opening Year Project-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions	37
Table 10: Project Consistency with City of Simi Valley Climate Action Plan	38
Table 11: Project Construction Power Cost and Electricity Usage	39
Table 12: Construction Equipment Fuel Consumption Estimates	40
Table 13: Construction Worker Fuel Consumption Estimates	41
Table 14: Construction Vendor Fuel Consumption Estimates (MHD Trucks) ¹	42
Table 15: Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption Estimates (HHD Trucks) ¹	42
Table 16: Estimated Vehicle Operations Fuel Consumption	43
Table 17: Project Unmitigated Annual Operational Energy Demand Summary ¹	44

GLOSSARY OF TERMS

CAAQS	California Ambient Air Quality Standards
CARB	California Air Resources Board
CEQA	California Environmental Quality Act
CFCs	Chlorofluorocarbons
CH ₄	Methane
CNG	Compressed natural gas
CO	Carbon monoxide
CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
CO ₂ e	Carbon dioxide equivalent
DPM	Diesel particulate matter
GHG	Greenhouse gas
HFCs	Hydrofluorocarbons
MTCO ₂ e	Metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
MMTCO ₂ e	Million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO _x	Nitrogen Oxides
NO ₂	Nitrogen dioxide
N ₂ O	Nitrous oxide
O ₃	Ozone
PFCs	Perfluorocarbons
PM	Particle matter
PM ₁₀	Particles that are less than 10 micrometers in diameter
PM _{2.5}	Particles that are less than 2.5 micrometers in diameter
PMI	Point of maximum impact
PPM	Parts per million
PPB	Parts per billion
RTIP	Regional Transportation Improvement Plan
RTP	Regional Transportation Plan
SF ₆	Sulfur hexafluoride
SIP	State Implementation Plan
SO _x	Sulfur Oxides
SRA	Source/Receptor Area
TAC	Toxic air contaminants
VCAPCD	Ventura County Air Pollution Control District
VOC	Volatile organic compounds
WRCC	Western Regional Climate Center

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of Analysis and Study Objectives

This air quality and greenhouse gas (GHG) analysis was prepared to evaluate whether the estimated criteria pollutants and GHG emissions generated from the project would cause a significant impact to the air resources in the project area. This assessment was conducted within the context of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA, California Public Resources Code Sections 21000, et seq.). The assessment is consistent with the methodology and emission factors endorsed by Ventura County Air Pollution control district (VCAPCD), California Air Resource Board (CARB), and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).

1.2 Project Summary

1.2.1 Site Location

The project site is located at 1845 Oak Road, east of the Yosemite Avenue and Los Angeles Avenue intersection in the City of Simi Valley, California, as shown in Exhibit A. The project site lot is designated as general commercial and medium-density residential. Land uses surrounding the site include commercial and high-density residential to the west, medium-density and mobile home to the south, commercial and very high-density residential to the east, and commercial and high-density residential to the north.

1.2.2 Project Description

The project proposes to develop 70 homes on 3.61 acres. There will be 168 parking spaces (2 garage spaces per home plus an addition 38 spaces). The site plan used for this project, provided by City Ventures, is shown in Exhibit B.

Construction activities within the Project area will consist of on-site demolition, grading, building, paving, and architectural coating. Table 1 summarizes the land use description for the Project Site.

Table 1: Land Use Summary

Land Use	Unit Amount	Size Metric
Condo/Townhouse	70	Units
Garage	130	Spaces
Parking Lot	38	Spaces

1.2.3 Sensitive Receptors

Sensitive receptors are considered land uses or other types of population groups that are more sensitive to air pollution than others due to their exposure. As identified by the California Air Resources

Board (CARB), sensitive population groups include children, the elderly, the acutely and chronically ill, and those with cardio-respiratory diseases. For CEQA purposes, a sensitive receptor would be a location where a sensitive individual could remain for 24-hours or longer, such as residences, hospitals, and schools (etc).

The closest existing sensitive receptors (to the site area) are the single-family residential land uses located 25 feet to the southeast.

1.3 Executive Summary of Findings and Mitigation Measures

The following is a summary of the analysis results:

Construction-Source Emissions

Project construction-source emissions would not exceed the VCAPCD's nor the City of Simi Valley's significance thresholds for criteria pollutants.

Project construction-source emissions would not conflict with any state or regional plan. As discussed herein, the project will comply with all applicable VCAPCD construction-source emission reduction rules and guidelines. Project construction source emissions would not cause or substantively contribute to violation of the California Ambient Air Quality Standards (CAAQS) or National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

Established requirements addressing construction equipment operations, and construction material use, storage, and disposal requirements act to minimize odor impacts that may result from construction activities. Moreover, construction-source odor emissions would be temporary, short-term, and intermittent in nature and would not result in persistent impacts that would affect substantial numbers of people. Potential construction-source odor impacts are therefore considered less-than-significant.

Operational-Source Emissions

Operational-sourced emissions would not exceed the VCAPCD's nor the City of Simi Valley's significance thresholds; therefore, impacts during project operation would be less than significant. Project-related traffic will not cause or result in CO concentrations exceeding applicable state and/or federal standards (CO "hotspots"). Project operational-source emissions would therefore not adversely affect sensitive receptors within the vicinity of the project.

The project does not propose any such uses or activities that would result in potentially significant operational-source odor impacts. Potential operational-source odor impacts are therefore considered less-than significant. The project would not conflict with the goals of SB-32, the CARB Scoping Plan, or the City of Simi Valley Climate Action Plan; therefore, the project would not generate significant GHG emissions and would not conflict with an applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. Impacts are considered to be less than significant.

Mitigation Measures

A. Construction Measures

No construction mitigation required. However, the following standard conditions have been included in order to meet the operational emission thresholds set by the VCAPCD:

Standard Condition 1: Equipment used during grading shall have engine ratings of Tier 3 or higher.

Standard Condition 2: The VOC content of interior and exterior paint shall be limited to 18 grams per liter (g/L)

B. Operational Measures to Reduce Emissions

No operational mitigation required.

Exhibit A Location Map



Exhibit B Site Plan

Zoning Summary

Existing Zoning: CPD, RM
 Proposed Zoning: RH - Residential High

Max. Density: 20 Homes per Acre
 Building Setbacks: Front Yard - 45' *
 Interior Side Yard: 15'
 Street Side Yard: 10'
 Rear Yard: 20'

Max. Building Height: 40' and 3 Stories

* Note: 20 ft. with an additional 1 ft of setback shall be required for each additional 1 ft or portion of bldg. height over 15 ft.

Deviation Chart of RH Development Standards		
Setbacks	RH Zone	Proposed Project
Front	45'	20'
Interior Side	15'	10'
Street Side	10'	5'
Rear	20'	10'

General Plan

Existing General Plan: General Commercial, Residential Medium Density
 Proposed General Plan: Residential High Density

Ventura County Fire Department Standards

Buildings over 30' in height require aerial access.

Aerial Access:
 - 30' drive aisle
 - Buildings set back between 15-30' from curb on one side



Vicinity Map
 N.T.S.



Project Summary

Total Site Area: ± 3.61 Acres

- Total Units:** 70 Homes
- (10) Plan 1: ± 725 SF, 1 Bedroom, 1 Bath
 - (2) Plan 2: ± 1,385 SF, 3 Bedroom, 3 Bath
 - (28) Plan 3: ± 1,525 SF, 3 Bedroom, 2 Bath / 2 Powder
 - (30) Plan 4: ± 1,710 SF, 4 Bedroom, 3.5 Bath

Density: 19.39 Homes per Acre

- Parking:**
- Required: 130 Spaces (Per Density Bonus Law)
- (10) 1 Bedroom x 1 Spaces = 10 Spaces
 - (30) 3 Bedroom x 1.5 Spaces = 45 Spaces
 - (30) 4 Bedroom x 2.5 Spaces = 75 Spaces

- Provided: 168 Spaces (2.52 spaces per home)
- Garage: 130 Spaces
 - Driveway: 18 Spaces (1 space/drive)
 - Head In: 6 Spaces (9' x 18')
 - Parallel: 14 Spaces (9' x 22')

Notes:

- Site plan is for conceptual purposes only.
- Site plan must be reviewed by planning, building, and fire departments for code compliance.
- Review information per civil engineer.
- Civil engineer to verify all setbacks and grading information.
- Building Footprints might change due to the final design elevation study.
- Open space area is subject to change due to the balcony design of the elevation.
- Building setbacks are measured from property lines to building foundation lines.



SITE PLAN OAK ROAD AND EAST LOS ANGELES AVE

SIMI VALLEY, CA



0 20 40 80
 CONCEPT STUDY
 © 2022 WILLIAM HEZMALHALCH ARCHITECTS, INC. dba WHA | 2021373 | 02-26-24



2.0 Regulatory Framework and Background

2.1 Air Quality Regulatory Setting

Air pollutants are regulated at the national, state, and air basin level; each agency has a different level of regulatory responsibility. The United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulates at the national level. The California Air Resources Board (ARB) regulates at the state level. The Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD) regulates at the air basin level.

2.1.1 National and State

The EPA is responsible for global, international, and interstate air pollution issues and policies. The EPA sets national vehicle and stationary source emission standards, oversees approval of all State Implementation Plans, provides research and guidance for air pollution programs, and sets National Air Quality Standards, also known as federal standards. There are six common air pollutants, called criteria pollutants, which were identified from the provisions of the Clean Air Act of 1970.

- Ozone
- Nitrogen Dioxide
- Lead
- Particulate Matter (PM10 and PM2.5)
- Carbon Monoxide
- Particulate Matter
- Sulfur Dioxide

The federal standards were set to protect public health, including that of sensitive individuals; thus, the standards continue to change as more medical research is available regarding the health effects of the criteria pollutants. Primary federal standards are the levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety, to protect the public health.

A State Implementation Plan is a document prepared by each state describing existing air quality conditions and measures that will be followed to attain and maintain federal standards. The State Implementation Plan for the State of California is administered by the ARB, which has overall responsibility for statewide air quality maintenance and air pollution prevention. California's State Implementation Plan incorporates individual federal attainment plans for regional air districts—air district prepares their federal attainment plan, which sent to ARB to be approved and incorporated into the California State Implementation Plan. Federal attainment plans include the technical foundation for understanding air quality (e.g., emission inventories and air quality monitoring), control measures and strategies, and enforcement mechanisms. See <http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aqs/aqs.htm> for additional information on criteria pollutants and air quality standards.

The federal and state ambient air quality standards are summarized in Table 2 and can also be found at <http://www.arb.ca.gov/research/aqs/aqs2.pdf>.

Table 2: Ambient Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	Averaging Time	California Standards ¹		National Standards ²		
		Concentrations ³	Method ⁴	Primary ^{3,5}	Secondary ^{3,6}	Method ⁷
Ozone (O ₃)	1-Hour	0.09 ppm	Ultraviolet Photometry	--	Same as Primary Standard	Ultraviolet Photometry
	8-Hour	0.070 ppm		0.070 ppm (147 µg/m ³)		
Respirable Particulate Matter (PM ₁₀) ⁸	24-Hour	50 µg/m ³	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	150 µg/m ³	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric Analysis
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	20 µg/m ³		--		
Fine Particulate Matter (PM _{2.5}) ⁸	24-Hour	--	--	35 µg/m ³	Same as Primary Standard	Inertial Separation and Gravimetric Analysis
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	12 µg/m ³	Gravimetric or Beta Attenuation	12 µg/m ³	15 µg/m ³	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)	1-Hour	20 ppm (23 µg/m ³)	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)	35 ppm (40 µg/m ³)	--	Non-Dispersive Infrared Photometry (NDIR)
	8-Hour	9.0 ppm (10 µg/m ³)		9 ppm (10 µg/m ³)	--	
	8-Hour (Lake Tahoe)	6 ppm (7 µg/m ³)		--	--	
Nitrogen Dioxide (NO ₂) ⁹	1-Hour	0.18 ppm (339 µg/m ³)	Gas Phase Chemiluminescence	100 ppb (188 µg/m ³)	--	Gas Phase Chemiluminescence
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	0.030 ppm (357 µg/m ³)		0.053 ppm (100 µg/m ³)	Same as Primary Standard	
Sulfur Dioxide (SO ₂) ¹⁰	1-Hour	0.25 ppm (655 µg/m ³)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence	75 ppb (196 µg/m ³)	--	Ultraviolet Fluorescence; Spectrophotometry (Pararosaniline Method)
	3-Hour	--		--	0.5 ppm (1300 µg/m ³)	
	24-Hour	0.04 ppm (105 µg/m ³)		0.14 ppm (for certain areas) ¹⁰	--	
	Annual Arithmetic Mean	--		0.130 ppm (for certain areas) ¹⁰	--	
Lead ^{11,12}	30 Day Average	1.5 µg/m ³	Atomic Absorption	--	Same as Primary Standard	High Volume Sampler and Atomic Absorption
	Calendar Qtr	--		1.5 µg/m ³ (for certain areas) ¹²		
	Rolling 3-Month Average	--		0.15 µg/m ³		
Visibility Reducing Particles ¹³	8-Hour	See footnote 13	Beta Attenuation and Transmittance through Filter Tape	No National Standards		
Sulfates	24-Hour	25 µg/m ³	Ion Chromatography			
Hydrogen Sulfide	1-Hour	0.03 ppm (42 µg/m ³)	Ultraviolet Fluorescence			
Vinyl Chloride ¹¹	24-Hour	0.01 ppm (26 µg/m ³)	Gas Chromatography			

Notes:

- California standards for ozone, carbon monoxide (except 8-hour Lake Tahoe), sulfur dioxide (1 and 24 hour), nitrogen dioxide, and particulate matter (PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, and visibility reducing particles), are values that are not to be exceeded. All others are not to be equal or exceeded. California ambient air quality standards are listed in the Table of Standards in Section 70200 of Title 17 of the California Code of Regulations.
- National standards (other than ozone, particulate matter, and those based on annual arithmetic mean) are not to be exceeded more than once a year. The ozone standard is attained when the fourth highest 8-hour concentration measured at each site in a year, averaged over three years, is equal to or less than the standard. For PM₁₀, the 24 hour standard is attained when the expected number of days per calendar year with a 24-hour average concentration above 150 µg/m³ is equal to or less than one. For PM_{2.5}, the 24 hour standard is attained when 98 percent of the daily concentrations, averaged over three years, are equal to or less than the standard. Contact the U.S. EPA for further clarification and current national policies.
- Concentration expressed first in units in which it was promulgated. Equivalent units given in parentheses are based upon a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr. Most measurements of air quality are to be corrected to a reference temperature of 25°C and a reference pressure of 760 torr; ppm in this table refers to ppm by volume, or micromoles of pollutant per mole of gas.
- Any equivalent measurement method which can be shown to the satisfaction of the ARB to give equivalent results at or near the level of the air quality standard may be used.
- National Primary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary, with an adequate margin of safety to protect the public health.
- National Secondary Standards: The levels of air quality necessary to protect the public welfare from any known or anticipated adverse effects of a pollutant.
- Reference method as described by the U.S. EPA. An "equivalent method" of measurement may be used but must have a "consistent relationship to the reference method" and must be approved by the U.S. EPA.

8. On December 14, 2012, the national annual PM2.5 primary standard was lowered from 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ to 12.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The existing national 24-hour PM2.5 standards (primary and secondary) were retained at 35 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, as was the annual secondary standard of 15 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$. The existing 24-hour PM10 standards (primary and secondary) of 150 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ also were retained. The form of the annual primary and secondary standards is the annual mean, averaged over 3 years.
9. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 98th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 100 ppb. Note that the national 1-hour standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the national 1-hour standard to the California standards the units can be converted from ppb to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 100 ppb is identical to 0.100 ppm.
10. On June 2, 2010, a new 1-hour SO₂ standard was established and the existing 24-hour and annual primary standards were revoked. To attain the 1-hour national standard, the 3-year average of the annual 99th percentile of the 1-hour daily maximum concentrations at each site must not exceed 75 ppb. The 1971 SO₂ national standards (24-hour and annual) remain in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2010 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1971 standards, the 1971 standards remain in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2010 standards are approved.

Note that the 1-hour national standard is in units of parts per billion (ppb). California standards are in units of parts per million (ppm). To directly compare the 1-hour national standard to the California standard the units can be converted to ppm. In this case, the national standard of 75 ppb is identical to 0.075 ppm.

11. The ARB has identified lead and vinyl chloride as 'toxic air contaminants' with no threshold level of exposure for adverse health effects determined. These actions allow for the implementation of control measures at levels below the ambient concentrations specified for these pollutants.
12. The national standard for lead was revised on October 15, 2008 to a rolling 3-month average. The 1978 lead standard (1.5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ as a quarterly average) remains in effect until one year after an area is designated for the 2008 standard, except that in areas designated nonattainment for the 1978 standard, the 1978 standard remains in effect until implementation plans to attain or maintain the 2008 standard are approved.
13. In 1989, the ARB converted both the general statewide 10-mile visibility standard and the Lake Tahoe 30-mile visibility standard to instrumental equivalents, which are "extinction of 0.23 per kilometer" and "extinction of 0.07 per kilometer" for the statewide and Lake Tahoe Air Basin standards, respectively.

Several pollutants listed in Table 2 are not addressed in this analysis. Analysis of lead is not included in this report because the project is not anticipated to emit lead. Visibility-reducing particles are not explicitly addressed in this analysis because particulate matter is addressed. The project is not expected to generate or be exposed to vinyl chloride because proposed project uses do not utilize the chemical processes that create this pollutant and there are no such uses in the project vicinity. The proposed project is not expected to cause exposure to hydrogen sulfide because it would not generate hydrogen sulfide in any substantial quantity.

2.1.2 Local

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District

The VCAPCD is the agency principally responsible for comprehensive air pollution control in the County. The VCAPCD works directly with SCAG, the County Transportation Commission, and local governments and cooperates actively with federal and state government agencies. The VCAPCD develops rules and regulations to reduce emissions, protect public health and agriculture, and to achieve and maintain state and federal air quality standards. In addition, the VCAPCD establishes permitting requirements for stationary sources, inspects emissions sources, and enforces such measures through educational programs or fines, when necessary.

The following lists the VCAPCD rules that are applicable but not limited to all warehouse projects in the Air Basin.

Rule 55 – Fugitive Dust Control

Rule 55 governs emissions of fugitive dust during construction activities and requires the following:

1. Visible Dust Beyond the Property Line: No person shall cause or allow the emissions of fugitive dust from any applicable source such that the dust remains visible beyond the midpoint (width) of a public street or road adjacent to the property line of the emission source or beyond 50 feet from the property line if there is not an adjacent public street or road.
2. Opacity: No person shall cause or allow the emissions of fugitive dust from any applicable source such that the dust causes 20 percent opacity or greater during each observation and the total duration of such observations (not necessarily consecutive) is a cumulative 3 minutes or more in any one (1) hour. Only opacity readings from a single source shall be included in the cumulative total used to determine compliance.
3. Track-Out
 - a. No person shall allow track-out to extend 25 feet or more in length unless at least one of the following three control measures is utilized:
 - i. Track-Out Area Improvement: Pave or apply chemical stabilization at sufficient concentration and frequency to maintain a stabilized surface starting from the point of intersection with public paved surface, and extend for a centerline distance of at least 100 feet with an acceptable width to accommodate traffic ingress and egress from the site.
 - ii. Track-Out Prevention: Check and clean the undercarriage and wheels on all vehicles before leaving unpaved surface or install a properly functioning and well-maintained track-out control device(s) that prevents track-out of soil onto paved public roads.
 - iii. Track-Out Removal: Remove track-out from pavement as soon as possible but no later than one hour after it has been deposited on the paved road. If a street sweeper is used to remove any track-out, only PM10-efficient street sweepers certified to meet South Coast AQMD Rule 1186 requirements shall be used. The make and model information and certification documentation of any sweeper used shall be made available upon request.
 - b. Notwithstanding the preceding, all track-out shall be removed at the conclusion of each workday or evening shift subject to the same condition regarding PM-10 efficient street sweepers as outlined in Subsection B.3.a.iii. The use of blowers for removal of track-out is expressly prohibited under any circumstances.

Rule 62.7 – Asbestos – Demolition and Renovation

Rule 62.7 governs demolition and renovation operations and the associated disturbance of asbestos-containing material (ACM) and requires the owner or operator to remove all ACM from a facility being demolished before any activity begins that would break up, dislodge or similarly disturb the ACM or permanently preclude access to the ACM for subsequent removal. Rule 62.7 additionally includes notification requirements for operations where ACM is present.

Rule 74.2 – Architectural Coatings

Rule 74.2 governs the sale, use, and manufacturing of architectural coatings and limits the VOC content in sealers, coatings, paints and solvents. This rule regulates the VOC contents of paints available during construction. Therefore, all paints and solvents used during construction and operation of the proposed project must comply with Rule 74.2.

2.1.3 City of Simi Valley

City of Simi Valley General Plan

The Natural Resources Element of the City of Simi Valley's General Plan contains the following air-quality related goals and policies that are applicable to the proposed project:

Goal NR-9 Air Quality. Air Quality in the City and the Simi Valley environs is improved.

Policies

NR-9.1 Regional Cooperation. Ensure that air quality standards are consistent with the Countywide recommendations of the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, which are intended to reduce air quality impacts. In addition, cooperate with the Southern California Association of Government's efforts to implement provisions of the region's Air Quality Management Plan. (Imp A-1, A-2, LU-2, LU-18, NR-2, NR-13)

NR-9.3 Improved Technology. Promote and implement state and federal regulations that improve transportation technology, vehicle mileage performance, and cleaner fuels. (Imp A-1, A-2, LU-7, LU-18, IU-10, NR-4, NR-6)

NR-9.5 Dust and Particulate Control. Adopt procedures to regulate and minimize particulate emissions from paved and unpaved roads, parking lots, and building construction activities. (Imp A-1, A-2, LU-2, LU-18, NR-2)⁴

NR-9.6 Construction and Operation. Evaluate development project applications, including for particulate matter, by using the procedures and thresholds established in the most recent version of the Ventura County Air Quality Assessment Guidelines as published by the Ventura County Air Pollution Control District, and ensure that projects incorporate all applicable construction and operation mitigation measures contained therein. (Imp A-1, A-2, LU-2, LU-18, NR-2, NR-13)

2.2 Greenhouse Gas Regulatory Setting

2.2.1 International

Many countries around the globe have made an effort to reduce GHGs since climate change is a global issue.

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In 1988, the United Nations and the World Meteorological Organization established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change to assess the scientific, technical and socio-economic information relevant to understanding the scientific basis of risk of human-induced climate change, its potential impacts, and options for adaptation and mitigation.

United Nations. The United States participates in the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (signed on March 21, 1994). Under the Convention, governments gather and share information on greenhouse gas emissions, national policies, and best practices; launch national strategies for addressing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to expected impacts, including the provision of financial and technological support to developing countries; and cooperate in preparing for adaptation to the impacts of climate change.

The 2014 UN Climate Change Conference in Lima Peru provided a unique opportunity to engage all countries to assess how developed countries are implementing actions to reduce emissions.

Kyoto Protocol. The Kyoto Protocol is a treaty made under the UNFCCC and was the first international agreement to regulate GHG emissions. On December 8, 2012, the Doha Amendment to the Kyoto Protocol was adopted. The amendment included: New commitments for Annex I Parties to the Kyoto Protocol who agreed to take on commitments in a second commitment period from 2013 – 2020; a revised list of greenhouse gases (GHG) to be reported on by Parties in the second commitment period; and Amendments to several articles of the Kyoto Protocol which specifically referenced issues pertaining to the first commitment period and which needed to be updated for the second commitment period.

2.2.2 National

Greenhouse Gas Endangerment. On December 2, 2009, the EPA announced that GHGs threaten the public health and welfare of the American people. The EPA also states that GHG emissions from on-road vehicles contribute to that threat. The decision was based on *Massachusetts v. EPA* (Supreme Court Case 05-1120) which argued that GHGs are air pollutants covered by the Clean Air Act and that the EPA has authority to regulate those emissions.

Clean Vehicles. Congress first passed the Corporate Average Fuel Economy law in 1975 to increase the fuel economy of cars and light duty trucks. The law has become more stringent over time. On May 19, 2009, President Obama put in motion a new national policy to increase fuel economy for all new cars and trucks sold in the United States. On April 1, 2010, the EPA and the Department of Transportation's National Highway Safety Administration announced a joint final rule establishing a national program that would reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve fuel economy for new cars and trucks sold in the United States.

The first phase of the national program would apply to passenger cars, light-duty trucks, and medium-duty passenger vehicles, covering model years 2012 through 2016. They require these vehicles to meet an estimated combined average emissions level of 250 grams of carbon dioxide per mile, equivalent to 35.5 miles per gallon if the automobile industry were to meet this carbon dioxide level solely through fuel economy improvements. Together, these standards would cut carbon dioxide emissions by an estimated 960 million metric tons and 1.8 billion barrels of oil over the lifetime of the vehicles sold under the program (model years 2012-2016). The second phase of the national program involved proposing new fuel economy and greenhouse gas standards for model years 2017 – 2025 by September 1, 2011.

On October 25, 2010, the EPA and the U.S. Department of Transportation proposed the first national standards to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve fuel efficiency of heavy-duty trucks and buses. For combination tractors, the agencies are proposing engine and vehicle standards that begin in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 20 percent reduction in carbon dioxide emissions and fuel consumption by the 2018 model year. For heavy-duty pickup trucks and vans, the agencies are proposing separate gasoline and diesel truck standards, which phase in starting in the 2014 model year and achieve up to a 10 percent reduction for gasoline vehicles and 15 percent reduction for diesel vehicles by 2018 model year (12 and 17 percent respectively if accounting for air conditioning leakage). Lastly, for vocational vehicles, the agencies are proposing engine and vehicle standards starting in the 2014 model year which would achieve up to a 10 percent reduction in fuel consumption and carbon dioxide emissions by 2018 model year.

Issued by NHTSA and EPA in March 2020 (published on April 30, 2020 and effective after June 29, 2020), the Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient Vehicles Rule would maintain the CAFE and CO2 standards applicable in model year 2020 for model years 2021 through 2026. The estimated CAFE and CO2 standards for model year 2020 are 43.7 mpg and 204 grams of CO2 per mile for passenger cars and 31.3 mpg and 284 grams of CO2 per mile for light trucks, projecting an overall industry average of 37 mpg, as compared to 46.7 mpg under the standards issued in 2012. This Rule also excludes CO2-equivalent emission improvements associated with air conditioning refrigerants and leakage (and, optionally, offsets for nitrous oxide and methane emissions) after model year 2020.¹

Mandatory Reporting of Greenhouse Gases. On January 1, 2010, the EPA started requiring large emitters of heat-trapping emissions to begin collecting GHG data under a new reporting system. Under the rule, suppliers of fossil fuels or industrial greenhouse gases, manufacturers of vehicles and engines, and facilities that emit 25,000 metric tons or more per year of greenhouse gas emissions are required to submit annual reports to the EPA.

Climate Adaption Plan. The EPA Plan identifies priority actions the Agency will take to incorporate considerations of climate change into its programs, policies, rules and operations to ensure they are effective under future climatic conditions. The following link provides more information on the EPA Plan: <https://www.epa.gov/arc-x/planning-climate-change-adaptation>

2.2.3 California

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 6. CCR Title 24, Part 6: California's Energy Efficiency Standards for Residential and Nonresidential Buildings (Title 24) were first established in 1978 in response to a legislative mandate to reduce California's energy consumption. The standards are updated periodically to allow consideration and possible incorporation of new energy efficiency

¹ National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA), 2018. Federal Register / Vol. 83, No. 165 / Friday, August 24, 2018 / Proposed Rules, The Safer Affordable Fuel-Efficient (SAFE) Vehicles Rule for Model Years 2021–2026 Passenger Cars and Light Trucks 2018. Available at: <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2018-08-24/pdf/2018-16820.pdf>.

technologies and methods. Although it was not originally intended to reduce GHG emissions, electricity production by fossil fuels results in GHG emissions and energy efficient buildings require less electricity. Therefore, increased energy efficiency results in decreased GHG emissions.

The Energy Commission adopted 2008 Standards on April 23, 2008 and Building Standards Commission approved them for publication on September 11, 2008. These updates became effective on August 1, 2009. 2013 and 2016 standards have been approved and became effective July 1, 2014 and January 1, 2016, respectively. 2022 standards were published July 1, 2022 and became effective January 1, 2023.

California Code of Regulations (CCR) Title 24, Part 11. All buildings for which an application for a building permit is submitted on or after January 1, 2023 must follow the 2022 standards. Energy efficient buildings require less electricity; therefore, increased energy efficiency reduces fossil fuel consumption and decreases greenhouse gas emissions. The following links provide more information on Title 24, Part 11:

<https://www.dgs.ca.gov/BSC/Codes>

<https://www.energy.ca.gov/programs-and-topics/programs/building-energy-efficiency-standards/2022-building-energy-efficiency>

California Green Building Standards. On January 12, 2010, the State Building Standards Commission unanimously adopted updates to the California Green Building Standards Code, which went into effect on January 1, 2011. The Housing and Community Development (HCD) updated CALGreen through the 2015 Triennial Code Adoption Cycle, during the 2016 to 2017 fiscal year. During the 2022-2023 fiscal year, the Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) updated CALGreen through the 2023 Triennial Code Adoption Cycle.

The Code is a comprehensive and uniform regulatory code for all residential, commercial and school buildings. CCR Title 24, Part 11: California Green Building Standards (Title 24) became effective in 2001 in response to continued efforts to reduce GHG emissions associated with energy consumption. CCR Title 24, Part 11 now require that new buildings reduce water consumption, employ building commissioning to increase building system efficiencies, divert construction waste from landfills, and install low pollutant-emitting finish materials. One focus of CCR Title 24, Part 11 is water conservation measures, which reduce GHG emissions by reducing electrical consumption associated with pumping and treating water. CCR Title 24, Part 11 has approximately 52 nonresidential mandatory measures and an additional 130 provisions for optional use. Some key mandatory measures for commercial occupancies include specified parking for clean air vehicles, a 20 percent reduction of potable water use within buildings, a 50 percent construction waste diversion from landfills, use of building finish materials that emit low levels of volatile organic compounds, and commissioning for new, nonresidential buildings over 10,000 square feet.

The CEC estimates that over 30 years the 2022 Energy Code will provide \$1.5 billion in consumer benefits and reduce 10 million metric tons of GHG. Changes compared to the 2019 Energy Code include increases to on-site renewable energy generation from solar, increases to electric load flexibility to support grid reliability, reduction of emissions from newly constructed buildings, reduction

of air pollution for improved public health, and increased adoption of environmentally beneficial efficient electric technologies.

The California Green Building Standards Code does not prevent a local jurisdiction from adopting a more stringent code as state law provides methods for local enhancements. The Code recognizes that many jurisdictions have developed existing construction and demolition ordinances, and defers to them as the ruling guidance provided, they provide a minimum 50-percent diversion requirement. The code also provides exemptions for areas not served by construction and demolition recycling infrastructure. State building code provides the minimum standard that buildings need to meet in order to be certified for occupancy. Enforcement is generally through the local building official. The following link provides more on CalGreen Building Standards:

<http://www.bsc.ca.gov/Home/CALGreen.aspx>

Executive Order S-3-05. California Governor issued Executive Order S-3-05, GHG Emission, in June 2005, which established the following targets:

- By 2010, California shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 2000 levels;
- By 2020, California shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels.
- By 2050, California shall reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 80 percent below 1990 levels.

The executive order directed the secretary of the California Environmental Protection Agency (CalEPA) to coordinate a multi-agency effort to reduce GHG emissions to the target levels. To comply with the Executive Order, the secretary of CalEPA created the California Climate Action Team (CAT), made up of members from various state agencies and commissions. The team released its first report in March 2006. The report proposed to achieve the targets by building on the voluntary actions of businesses, local governments, and communities and through State incentive and regulatory programs.

Executive Order S-01-07. Executive Order S-1-07 was issued in 2007 and proclaims that the transportation sector is the main source of GHG emissions in the State, since it generates more than 40 percent of the State's GHG emissions. It established a goal to reduce the carbon intensity of transportation fuels sold in the State by at least ten percent by 2020. This Order also directs CARB to determine whether this Low Carbon Fuel Standard (LCFS) could be adopted as a discrete early-action measure as part of the effort to meet the mandates in AB 32.

On April 23, 2009 CARB approved the proposed regulation to implement the low carbon fuel standard and began implementation on January 1, 2011. The low carbon fuel standard was anticipated to reduce GHG emissions by about 16 MMT per year by 2020. CARB reported a 7.42% reduction in fuel intensity from 2011 to 2020 as a result of the standard². CARB approved some amendments to the LCFS in

² <https://ww3.arb.ca.gov/fuels/lcfs/dashboard/dashboard.htm>

December 2011, which were implemented on January 1, 2013. In September 2015, the Board approved the re-adoption of the LCFS, which became effective on January 1, 2016, to address procedural deficiencies in the way the original regulation was adopted. In 2018, the Board approved amendments to the regulation, which included strengthening and smoothing the carbon intensity benchmarks through 2030 in-line with California's 2030 GHG emission reduction target enacted through SB 32, adding new crediting opportunities to promote zero emission vehicle adoption, alternative jet fuel, carbon capture and sequestration, and advanced technologies to achieve deep decarbonization in the transportation sector.

The LCFS is designed to encourage the use of cleaner low-carbon transportation fuels in California, encourage the production of those fuels, and therefore, reduce GHG emissions and decrease petroleum dependence in the transportation sector. Separate standards are established for gasoline and diesel fuels and the alternative fuels that can replace each. The standards are “back-loaded”, with more reductions required in the last five years, than the first five years. This schedule allows for the development of advanced fuels that are lower in carbon than today’s fuels and the market penetration of plug-in hybrid electric vehicles, battery electric vehicles, fuel cell vehicles, and flexible fuel vehicles. It is anticipated that compliance with the low carbon fuel standard will be based on a combination of both lower carbon fuels and more efficient vehicles.

Reformulated gasoline mixed with corn-derived ethanol at ten percent by volume and low sulfur diesel fuel represent the baseline fuels. Lower carbon fuels may be ethanol, biodiesel, renewable diesel, or blends of these fuels with gasoline or diesel as appropriate. Compressed natural gas and liquefied natural gas also may be low carbon fuels. Hydrogen and electricity, when used in fuel cells or electric vehicles are also considered as low carbon fuels for the low carbon fuel standard.

SB 97. Senate Bill 97 (SB 97) was adopted August 2007 and acknowledges that climate change is a prominent environmental issue that requires analysis under CEQA. SB 97 directed the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR), which is part of the State Resource Agency, to prepare, develop, and transmit to CARB guidelines for the feasible mitigation of GHG emissions or the effects of GHG emissions, as required by CEQA, by July 1, 2009. The Resources Agency was required to certify and adopt those guidelines by January 1, 2010.

Pursuant to the requirements of SB 97 as stated above, on December 30, 2009 the Natural Resources Agency adopted amendments to the state CEQA guidelines that address GHG emissions. The CEQA Guidelines Amendments changed 14 sections of the CEQA Guidelines and incorporate GHG language throughout the Guidelines. However, no GHG emissions thresholds of significance are provided and no specific mitigation measures are identified. The GHG emission reduction amendments went into effect on March 18, 2010 and are summarized below:

- Climate action plans and other greenhouse gas reduction plans can be used to determine whether a project has significant impacts, based upon its compliance with the plan.
- Local governments are encouraged to quantify the greenhouse gas emissions of proposed projects, noting that they have the freedom to select the models and methodologies that best meet their

needs and circumstances. The section also recommends consideration of several qualitative factors that may be used in the determination of significance, such as the extent to which the given project complies with state, regional, or local GHG reduction plans and policies. OPR does not set or dictate specific thresholds of significance. Consistent with existing CEQA Guidelines, OPR encourages local governments to develop and publish their own thresholds of significance for GHG impacts assessment.

- When creating their own thresholds of significance, local governments may consider the thresholds of significance adopted or recommended by other public agencies, or recommended by experts.
- New amendments include guidelines for determining methods to mitigate the effects of greenhouse gas emissions in Appendix F of the CEQA Guidelines.
- OPR is clear to state that “to qualify as mitigation, specific measures from an existing plan must be identified and incorporated into the project; general compliance with a plan, by itself, is not mitigation.”
- OPR’s emphasizes the advantages of analyzing GHG impacts on an institutional, programmatic level. OPR therefore approves tiering of environmental analyses and highlights some benefits of such an approach.
- Environmental impact reports (EIRs) must specifically consider a project's energy use and energy efficiency potential.

AB 32. The California State Legislature enacted AB 32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. AB 32 requires that greenhouse gases emitted in California be reduced to 1990 levels by the year 2020. “Greenhouse gases” as defined under AB 32 include carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, hydrofluorocarbons, perfluorocarbons, and sulfur hexafluoride. ARB is the state agency charged with monitoring and regulating sources of greenhouse gases. AB 32 states the following:

Global warming poses a serious threat to the economic well-being, public health, natural resources, and the environment of California. The potential adverse impacts of global warming include the exacerbation of air quality problems, a reduction in the quality and supply of water to the state from the Sierra snowpack, a rise in sea levels resulting in the displacement of thousands of coastal businesses and residences, damage to marine ecosystems and the natural environment, and an increase in the incidences of infectious diseases, asthma, and other human health-related problems.

The ARB Board approved the 1990 greenhouse gas emissions level of 427 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO_{2e}) on December 6, 2007 (California Air Resources Board 2007). Therefore, emissions generated in California in 2020 were required to be equal to or less than 427 MMTCO_{2e}. Emissions in 2020 in a “business as usual” scenario were estimated to be 596 MMTCO_{2e}.

Under AB 32, the ARB published its Final Expanded List of Early Action Measures to Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions in California. Discrete early action measures are currently underway or are enforceable by January 1, 2010. The ARB has 44 early action measures that apply to the transportation, commercial, forestry, agriculture, cement, oil and gas, fire suppression, fuels, education, energy efficiency, electricity, and waste sectors. Of these early action measures, nine are considered discrete early action measures, as they are regulatory and enforceable by January 1, 2010.

The ARB estimates that the 44 recommendations are expected to result in reductions of at least 42 MMTCO₂e by 2020, representing approximately 25 percent of the 2020 target.

The ARB's Climate Change Scoping Plan (Scoping Plan) contains measures designed to reduce the State's emissions to 1990 levels by the year 2020 (California Air Resources Board 2008). The Scoping Plan identifies recommended measures for multiple greenhouse gas emission sectors and the associated emission reductions needed to achieve the year 2020 emissions target—each sector has a different emission reduction target. Most of the measures target the transportation and electricity sectors. As stated in the Scoping Plan, the key elements of the strategy for achieving the 2020 greenhouse gas target included:

- Expanding and strengthening existing energy efficiency programs as well as building and appliance standards;
- Achieving a statewide renewables energy mix of 33 percent;
- Developing a California cap-and-trade program that links with other Western Climate Initiative partner programs to create a regional market system;
- Establishing targets for transportation-related greenhouse gas emissions for regions throughout California and pursuing policies and incentives to achieve those targets;
- Adopting and implementing measures pursuant to existing State laws and policies, including California's clean car standards, goods movement measures, and the Low Carbon Fuel Standard; and
- Creating targeted fees, including a public goods charge on water use, fees on high global warming potential gases, and a fee to fund the administrative costs of the State's long-term commitment to AB 32 implementation.

In addition, the Scoping Plan differentiates between "capped" and "uncapped" strategies. "Capped" strategies are subject to the proposed cap-and-trade program. The Scoping Plan states that the inclusion of these emissions within the cap-and-trade program will help ensure that the year 2020 emission targets are met despite some degree of uncertainty in the emission reduction estimates for any individual measure. Implementation of the capped strategies was calculated to achieve a sufficient amount of reductions by 2020 to achieve the emission target contained in AB 32. "Uncapped" strategies that will not be subject to the cap-and-trade emissions caps and requirements are provided as a margin of safety by accounting for additional greenhouse gas emission reductions.⁴

The goal of reducing emissions to 1990 levels was achieved in 2016. The further goal of reducing emission levels by 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030 was introduced in SB 32 on September 8, 2016.

SB 375. Senate Bill 375 (SB 375) was adopted September 2008 and aligns regional transportation planning efforts, regional GHG emission reduction targets, and land use and housing allocation. SB 375 requires Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPO) to adopt a sustainable communities strategy (SCS) or alternate planning strategy (APS) that will prescribe land use allocation in that MPOs Regional

Transportation Plan (RTP). CARB, in consultation with each MPO, will provide each affected region with reduction targets for GHGs emitted by passenger cars and light trucks in the region for the years 2020 and 2035. These reduction targets will be updated every eight years but can be updated every four years if advancements in emissions technologies affect the reduction strategies to achieve the targets. CARB is also charged with reviewing each MPO's sustainable communities strategy or alternate planning strategy for consistency with its assigned targets.

The proposed project is located within the Southern California Association of Governments (SCAG), which has authority to develop the SCS or APS. For the SCAG region, the targets set by CARB are at eight percent below 2005 per capita GHG emissions levels by 2020 and 13 percent below 2005 per capita GHG emissions levels by 2035. On April 4, 2012, SCAG adopted the 2012-2035 Regional Transportation Plan / Sustainable Communities Strategy (RTP/SCS), which meets the CARB emission reduction requirements.

On September 3, 2020, SCAG's Regional Council approved and fully adopted the Connect SoCal (2020–2045 Regional Transportation Plan/Sustainable Communities Strategy), and the addendum to the Connect SoCal Program Environmental Impact Report. Connect SoCal is a long-range visioning plan that builds upon and expands land use and transportation strategies established over several planning cycles to increase mobility options and achieve a more sustainable growth pattern. Connect SoCal outlines more than \$638 billion in transportation system investments through 2045. Connect SoCal is supported by a combination of transportation and land use strategies that help the region achieve state greenhouse gas emission reduction goals and federal Clean Air Act requirements, preserve open space areas, improve public health and roadway safety, support our vital goods movement industry and utilize resources more efficiently. By integrating the Forecasted Development Pattern with a suite of financially constrained transportation investments, Connect SoCal can reach the regional target of reducing greenhouse gases, or GHGs, from autos and light-duty trucks by 8 percent per capita by 2020, and 19 percent by 2035 (compared to 2005 levels).

City and County land use policies, including General Plans, are not required to be consistent with the RTP and associated SCS or APS. However, new provisions of CEQA would incentivize, through streamlining and other provisions, qualified projects that are consistent with an approved SCS or APS and categorized as "transit priority projects."

Assembly Bill 939, Assembly Bill 341, and Senate Bill 1374. Assembly Bill 939 (AB 939) requires that each jurisdiction in California to divert at least 50 percent of its waste away from landfills, whether through waste reduction, recycling or other means. AB 341 requires at least 75 percent of generated waste be source reduced, recycled, or composted by the year 2020. Senate Bill 1374 (SB 1374) requires the California Integrated Waste Management Board to adopt a model ordinance by March 1, 2004 suitable for adoption by any local agency to require 50 to 75 percent diversion of construction and demolition of waste materials from landfills.

Executive Order S-13-08. Executive Order S-13-08 indicates that "climate change in California during the next century is expected to shift precipitation patterns, accelerate sea level rise and increase

temperatures, thereby posing a serious threat to California’s economy, to the health and welfare of its population and to its natural resources.” Pursuant to the requirements in the order, the 2009 California Climate Adaptation Strategy (California Natural Resource Agency 2009) was adopted, which is the “... first statewide, multi-sector, region-specific, and information-based climate change in California, identifying and exploring strategies to adapt to climate change, and specifying a direction for future research.

Executive Order B-30-15. Executive Order B-30-15, establishing a new interim statewide greenhouse gas emission reduction target to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030, was signed by Governor Brown in April 2015.

Executive Order B-29-15. Executive Order B-29-15, mandates a statewide 25% reduction in potable water usage and was signed into law on April 1, 2015.

Executive Order B-37-16. Executive Order B-37-16, continuing the State’s adopted water reduction, was signed into law on May 9, 2016. The water reduction builds off the mandatory 25% reduction called for in EO B-29-15.

Executive Order N-79-20. Executive Order N-79-20 was signed into law on September 23, 2020 and mandates 100 percent of in-state sales of new passenger cars and trucks be zero-emission by 2035; 100 percent of medium- and heavy-duty vehicles in the state be zero-emission vehicles by 2045 for all operations where feasible and by 2035 for drayage trucks; and to transition to 100 percent zero-emission off-road vehicles and equipment by 2035 where feasible.

2.2.4 Local

City of Simi Valley

City of Simi Valley Climate Action Plan

The City of Simi Valley adopted a Climate Action Plan (CAP) June 4, 2012. The plan inventoried existing CO2 emissions, projected emissions growth to 2030, and evaluated a wide range of CO2 reduction measures. Measures included in the Climate Action Plan focus on transportation, energy, area source, water, and solid waste. The CAP reflects guidance from the AB-32 Scoping Plan which recommends that local governments pursue reduction goals of at or below 1990 GHG emissions, approximated at 15% below baseline year 2010 GHG emissions for Simi Valley, by year 2020 and 30% below 2010 emissions by year 2035.

3.0 Setting

3.1 Existing Physical Setting

The project site is located in the City of Simi Valley, which is part of the South Central Coast Air Basin (Air Basin) that includes San Luis Obispo County, Santa Barbara County, and Ventura County. Ventura County is divided into two airsheds for air quality planning purposes: the Ojai Valley Airshed and the Oxnard Plain Airshed.

3.1.1 Local Climate and Meteorology

The regional climate within the Air Basin is dominated by the intensity and location of the semi-permanent Pacific high pressure zone, which, from spring to fall, induces regional subsidence and temperature inversion layers. The region is characterized by warm summers, mild winters, infrequent seasonal rainfall, and moderate humidity, with the predominate wind patterns follow a diurnal land/sea breeze cycle, with typical daytime winds from the west. The diurnal land/sea breeze pattern is a common occurrence in the Air Basin and it recirculates air contaminants. Air pollutant are pushed toward the ocean during the early morning by the land breeze and toward the east during the afternoon, by the sea breeze. This creates a “sloshing” effect, causing pollutants to remain in the area for several days. This pollutant “sloshing” effect happens most predominately from May through October, which is the “smog” season for the Air Basin.

The temperature and precipitation levels for the City of Thousand Oaks, closest station with data, are in Table 3. Table 3 shows that July is typically the warmest month and January is typically the coolest month. Rainfall in the project area varies considerably in both time and space. Almost all the annual rainfall comes from the fringes of mid-latitude storms from late November to early April, with summers being almost completely dry.

Table 3: Meteorological Summary

Month	Temperature (°F)		Average Precipitation (inches)
	Average High	Average Low	
January	61.7	43.2	2.94
February	65.0	45.0	3.41
March	67.9	45.4	0.99
April	71.8	47.2	0.33
May	73.7	51.5	0.33
June	80.9	55.0	0.00
July	85.9	60.0	0.00
August	84.5	58.9	0.01
September	81.3	55.1	0.07
October	74.7	52.4	1.52
November	71.3	48.0	0.21
December	65.2	43.9	0.67
Annual Average	73.7	50.5	10.5
Notes:			
¹ Source: https://wrcc.dri.edu/cgi-bin/cliMAIN.pl?ca1758			

3.1.2 Local Air Quality

The Ventura County APCD operates and maintains monitoring stations located throughout the region. The purpose of these stations is to measure concentrations of the criteria pollutants and determine whether the ambient air quality meets the NAAQS and the CAAQS. The nearest air monitoring station to the project site is the Simi Valley Cochrane Street Monitoring Station (Simi Valley Station). The Simi Valley Station is located approximately 0.7 miles northwest of the project site at 5400 Cochrane Street. Table 4 presents the monitored pollutant levels within the vicinity. However, it should be noted that due to the air monitoring station distance from the project site, recorded air pollution levels at the air monitoring station reflect with varying degrees of accuracy, local air quality conditions at the project site.

Table 4: Local Area Air Quality Levels

Pollutant (Standard) ²	Year		
	2020	2021	2022
Ozone:			
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)	0.108	0.090	0.094
Days > CAAQS (0.09 ppm)	5	0	0
Maximum 8-Hour Concentration (ppm)	0.095	0.077	0.082
Days > NAAQS (0.07 ppm)	22	8	10
Days > CAAQS (0.070 ppm)	25	8	11
Carbon Monoxide:			
Maximum 8-Hour Concentration (ppm)	*	*	*
Days > NAAQS (9 ppm)	*	*	*
Nitrogen Dioxide:			
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)	0.042	0.035	0.046
Days > NAAQS (0.25 ppm)	0	0	0
Sulfur Dioxide:			
Maximum 1-Hour Concentration (ppm)	*	*	*
Days > CAAQS (0.25 ppm)	*	*	*
Inhalable Particulates (PM10):			
Maximum 24-Hour Concentration (ug/m ³)	90.5	103.7	45.8
Days > NAAQS (150 ug/m ³)	0	0	0
Days > CAAQS (50 ug/m ³)	6	3	0
Annual Average (ug/m ³)	20.8	22.7	21.4
Annual > NAAQS (50 ug/m ³)	No	No	No
Annual > CAAQS (20 ug/m ³)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Ultra-Fine Particulates (PM2.5):			
Maximum 24-Hour Concentration (ug/m ³)	34.9	32.9	22.7
Days > NAAQS (35 ug/m ³)	0	0	0
Annual Average (ug/m ³)	7.5	8.6	7.1
Annual > NAAQS (15 ug/m ³)	No	No	No
Annual > CAAQS (12 ug/m ³)	No	No	No
¹ Source: obtained from https://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/topfour/topfour1.php ² CAAQS = California Ambient Air Quality Standard; NAAQS = National Ambient Air Quality Standard; ppm = parts per million * No data and/or insufficient data available.			

The monitoring data presented in Table 4 shows that ozone and particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5) are the air pollutants of primary concern in the project area, which are detailed below.

Ozone

During the 2020 to 2022 monitoring period, the State 1-hour concentration standard for ozone was exceeded for five days in 2020 at the Simi Valley Station. The State 8-hour ozone standard has been exceeded between eight and 25 days over the past three years at the Simi Valley Station. The Federal 8-hour ozone standard has been exceeded between eight and 22 days over the past three years at the Simi Valley Station.

Carbon Monoxide

CO is another important pollutant that is due mainly to motor vehicles. The Simi Valley Station did not record an exceedance of the state or federal 1-hour or 8-hour CO standards for the last three years.

Nitrogen Dioxide

The Simi Valley Station did not record an exceedance of the State or Federal NO₂ standards for the last three years.

Sulfur Dioxide

The Simi Valley Station did not record an exceedance of the State SO₂ standards for the last three years.

Particulate Matter

During the 2020 to 2022 monitoring period, the Federal 24-hour and annual concentration standards for PM10 have not been exceeded at the Simi Valley Station. During the same time period, the State 24-hour standard was exceeded six times in 2020 and three times in 2021 and the annual standard was exceeded each year.

During the 2020 to 2022 monitoring period, the Federal 24-hour standard for PM2.5 was not exceeded at the Simi Valley Station

According to the EPA, some people are much more sensitive than others to breathing fine particles (PM10 and PM2.5). People with influenza, chronic respiratory and cardiovascular diseases, and the elderly may suffer worsening illness and premature death due to breathing these fine particles. People with bronchitis can expect aggravated symptoms from breathing in fine particles. Children may experience decline in lung function due to breathing in PM10 and PM2.5. Other groups considered sensitive are smokers and people who cannot breathe well through their noses. Exercising athletes are also considered sensitive, because many breathe through their mouths during exercise.

3.1.3 Attainment Status

The EPA and the ARB designate air basins where ambient air quality standards are exceeded as “nonattainment” areas. If standards are met, the area is designated as an “attainment” area. If there is inadequate or inconclusive data to make a definitive attainment designation, they are considered

“unclassified.” National nonattainment areas are further designated as marginal, moderate, serious, severe, or extreme as a function of deviation from standards. Each standard has a different definition, or ‘form’ of what constitutes attainment, based on specific air quality statistics. For example, the Federal 8-hour CO standard is not to be exceeded more than once per year; therefore, an area is in attainment of the CO standard if no more than one 8-hour ambient air monitoring values exceeds the threshold per year. In contrast, the federal annual PM_{2.5} standard is met if the three-year average of the annual average PM_{2.5} concentration is less than or equal to the standard.

The County has been designated by the Federal Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a nonattainment area for ozone and PM₁₀. Currently, the Air Basin is in attainment with the ambient air quality standards for CO, SO₂, NO₂, and PM_{2.5}.

3.2 Greenhouse Gases

Constituent gases of the Earth’s atmosphere, called atmospheric greenhouse gases (GHG), play a critical role in the Earth’s radiation amount by trapping infrared radiation emitted from the Earth’s surface, which otherwise would have escaped to space. Prominent greenhouse gases contributing to this process include carbon dioxide (CO₂), methane (CH₄), ozone, water vapor, nitrous oxide (N₂O), and chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs). This phenomenon, known as the Greenhouse Effect, is responsible for maintaining a habitable climate. Anthropogenic (caused or produced by humans) emissions of these greenhouse gases in excess of natural ambient concentrations are responsible for the enhancement of the Greenhouse Effect and have led to a trend of unnatural warming of the Earth’s natural climate, known as global warming or climate change. Emissions of gases that induce global warming are attributable to human activities associated with industrial/manufacturing, agriculture, utilities, transportation, and residential land uses. Transportation is responsible for 41 percent of the State’s greenhouse gas emissions, followed by electricity generation. Emissions of CO₂ and nitrous oxide (NO₂) are byproducts of fossil fuel combustion. Methane, a potent greenhouse gas, results from off-gassing associated with agricultural practices and landfills. Sinks of CO₂, where CO₂ is stored outside of the atmosphere, include uptake by vegetation and dissolution into the ocean. Table 5 provides a description of each of the greenhouse gases and their global warming potential.

Additional information is available: <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/inventory/data/data.htm>

<Table 5 on next page>

Table 5: Description of Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse Gas	Description and Physical Properties	Sources
Nitrous oxide	Nitrous oxide (N ₂ O), also known as laughing gas is a colorless gas. It has a lifetime of 114 years. Its global warming potential is 298.	Microbial processes in soil and water, fuel combustion, and industrial processes. In addition to agricultural sources, some industrial processes (nylon production, nitric acid production) also emit N ₂ O.
Methane	Methane (CH ₄) is a flammable gas and is the main component of natural gas. It has a lifetime of 12 years. Its global warming potential is 25.	A natural source of CH ₄ is from the decay of organic matter. Methane is extracted from geological deposits (natural gas fields). Other sources are from the decay of organic material in landfills, fermentation of manure, and cattle farming.
Carbon dioxide	Carbon dioxide (CO ₂) is an odorless, colorless, natural greenhouse gas. Carbon dioxide's global warming potential is 1. The concentration in 2005 was 379 parts per million (ppm), which is an increase of about 1.4 ppm per year since 1960.	Natural sources include decomposition of dead organic matter; respiration of bacteria, plants, animals, and fungus; evaporation from oceans; and volcanic outgassing. Anthropogenic sources are from burning coal, oil, natural gas, and wood.
Chlorofluorocarbons	CFCs are nontoxic, nonflammable, insoluble, and chemically unreactive in the troposphere (the level of air at the earth's surface). They are gases formed synthetically by replacing all hydrogen atoms in methane or methane with chlorine and/or fluorine atoms. Global warming potentials range from 3,800 to 8,100.	Chlorofluorocarbons were synthesized in 1928 for use as refrigerants, aerosol propellants, and cleaning solvents. They destroy stratospheric ozone, therefore their production was stopped as required by the Montreal Protocol.
Hydrofluorocarbons	Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) are a group of greenhouse gases containing carbon, chlorine, and at least one hydrogen atom. Global warming potentials range from 140 to 11,700.	Hydrofluorocarbons are synthetic manmade chemicals used as a substitute for chlorofluorocarbons in applications such as automobile air conditioners and refrigerants.
Perfluorocarbons	Perfluorocarbons (PFCs) have stable molecular structures and only break down by ultraviolet rays about 60 kilometers above the Earth's surface. They have a lifetime 10,000 to 50,000 years. They have a global warming potential range of 6,200 to 9,500.	Two main sources of perfluorocarbons are primary aluminum production and semiconductor manufacturing.
Sulfur hexafluoride	Sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) is an inorganic, odorless, colorless, and nontoxic, nonflammable gas. It has a lifetime of 3,200 years. It has a high global warming potential, 23,900.	This gas is manmade and used for insulation in electric power transmission equipment, in the magnesium industry, in semiconductor manufacturing, and as a tracer gas for leak detection.
Notes: 1. Sources: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014a and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2014b. https://www.ipcc.ch/publications_and_data/ar4/wg1/en/ch2s2-10-2.html		

4.0 Modeling Parameters and Assumptions

4.1 Construction

Typical emission rates from construction activities were obtained from CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.28. The CalEEMod program uses the EMFAC2017 computer program to calculate the emission rates specific for the southwestern portion of Ventura County for construction-related employee vehicle trips and the OFFROAD2011 computer program to calculate emission rates for heavy truck operations. EMFAC2017 and OFFROAD2011 are computer programs generated by CARB that calculates composite emission rates for vehicles. Emission rates are reported by the program in grams per trip and grams per mile or grams per running hour. Using CalEEMod, the peak daily air pollutant emissions were calculated and presented below. These emissions represent the highest level of emissions for each of the construction phases in terms of air pollutant emissions.

The analysis assesses the emissions associated with the construction of the proposed project as indicated in Table 1. The proposed project is to be operational in by 2025. Therefore, using CalEEMod default timelines for construction phases and the proposed operational date, the proposed project was modeled as beginning construction no earlier than June 2024 and being completed by June 2025. The phases of the construction activities which have been analyzed below are: 1) demolition, 2) grading, 3) building, 4) paving, and 5) architectural coating. A conservative estimate of 10,000 cubic yards of soil export was assumed for the grading phase. For details on construction modeling and construction equipment for each phase, please see Appendix A.

4.2 Operations

Operational or long-term emissions occur over the life of the Project. Both mobile and area sources generate operational emissions. Area source emissions arise from consumer product usage, heaters that consume natural gas, gasoline-powered landscape equipment, and architectural coatings (painting). Mobile source emissions from motor vehicles are the largest single long-term source of air pollutants from the operation of the Project. Small amounts of emissions would also occur from area sources such as the consumption of natural gas for heating, hearths, from landscaping emissions, and consumer product usage. The operational emissions were estimated using the latest version of CalEEMod.

Mobile Sources

Mobile sources include emissions from the additional vehicle miles generated from the proposed project. The vehicle trips associated with the proposed project are based upon the trip generation rates provided by TJW Engineering which uses the ITE 11th Trip Generation Manual. The trip generation shows a trip generation rate of 6.74 trips per dwelling unit with the project generating a total of 472 trips per day.

The program then applies the emission factors for each trip which is provided by the EMFAC2017 model to determine the vehicular traffic pollutant emissions. The CalEEMod default trip lengths were used in this analysis. Please see CalEEMod output comments sections in Appendix A for details.

Area Sources

Area sources include emissions from consumer products, landscape equipment and architectural coatings. Landscape maintenance includes fuel combustion emissions from equipment such as lawn mowers, rototillers, shredders/grinders, blowers, trimmers, chain saws, and hedge trimmers, as well as air compressors, generators, and pumps. As specifics were not known about the landscaping equipment fleet, CalEEMod defaults were used to estimate emissions from landscaping equipment.

Energy Usage

2022.1.1.28 CalEEMod defaults were utilized.

5.0 Thresholds of Significance

5.1 Air Quality Thresholds of Significance

5.1.1 CEQA Guidelines for Air Quality

The CEQA Guidelines define a significant effect on the environment as “a substantial, or potentially substantial, adverse change in the environment.” To determine if a project would have a significant impact on air quality, the type, level, and impact of emissions generated by the project must be evaluated.

The following air quality significance thresholds are contained in Appendix G of the CEQA Guidelines. A significant impact would occur if the project would:

- a) Conflict with or obstruct implementation of the applicable air quality plan;
- b) Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is nonattainment under an applicable national or state ambient air quality standard;
- c) Expose sensitive receptors to substantial pollutant concentrations; or
- d) Result in other emissions (such as those leading to odors) adversely affecting a substantial number of people.

While the final determination of whether a project is significant is within the purview of the Lead Agency pursuant to Section 15064(b) of the CEQA Guidelines, VCAPCD recommends that its quantitative air pollution thresholds be used to determine the significance of project emissions. If the Lead Agency finds that the project has the potential to exceed these air pollution thresholds, the project should be considered to have significant air quality impacts.

5.1.2 Regional Significance Thresholds

The following CEQA significance thresholds for operational emissions are established for the Basin:

- 25 pounds per day (lbs/day) of VOC
- 25 lbs/day of NO_x

Projects in the basin with operational-related emissions that exceed any of the emission thresholds are considered to be significant under VCAPCD guidelines. Additionally, the City of Simi Valley has established a threshold of 13.7 tons per year for both VOC and NO_x emissions.

5.2 Greenhouse Gas Thresholds of Significance

5.2.1 CEQA Guidelines for Greenhouse Gas

The VCAPCD has not yet adopted any GHG thresholds. However, at its September 13, 2011 Board meeting, the Ventura County Air Pollution Control Board (VCAPCB) requested that VCAPCD staff report back on possible GHG significance thresholds for evaluating GHG impacts of land use projects in Ventura County under CEQA. As such, the VCAPCD staff prepared the *Greenhouse Gas Thresholds of Significance Options for Land Use Development Projects in Ventura County*, November 8, 2011. The Report presented a number of options for setting GHG significance thresholds and analyzed some of the adopted thresholds as well as others that were currently under consideration by other air districts in California. The report concluded that establishing local CEQA significance thresholds for global-scale environmental concerns is a major challenge, and that each of the numerous approaches and options that have been put forth to assess GHG emissions from land use development projects for CEQA purposes has their own set of advantages and disadvantages. While the report did not establish a specific approach that would be used by the VCAPCD to analyze GHG impacts under CEQA, it indicated that because Ventura County is adjacent to the SCAQMD's jurisdiction and is a part of the SCAG region, it would be most desirable for the VCAPCD to set local GHG emission thresholds of significance for land use development projects at levels consistent with those set by the SCAQMD. Therefore, based on the Report recommendations, the VCAPCD would continue to evaluate and develop suitable interim GHG threshold options for Ventura County with preference for GHG threshold consistency with the SCAQMD and the SCAG region.

This analysis follows guidance from the South Coast AQMD's Interim CEQA GHG Significance Thresholds (SCAQMD 2008). On December 5, 2008, the SCAQMD Governing Board adopted the staff proposal for an interim GHG significance threshold for projects where the SCAQMD is lead agency. As it has been determined above that the SCAQMD recommendations are to be followed in determining significance of GHG emissions for the Project, this approach will be utilized. South Coast AQMD's thresholds are a tiered approach; projects may be determined to be less than significant under each tier or require further analysis under subsequent tiers. As identified in the Draft Guidance Document, the five tiers are:

- Tier 1 consists of evaluating whether or not the project qualifies for any applicable exemption under CEQA.
- Tier 2 consists of determining whether or not the project is consistent with a greenhouse gas reduction plan. If a project is consistent with a qualifying local greenhouse gas reduction plan, it does not have significant greenhouse gas emissions.
- Tier 3 consists of screening values, which the lead agency can choose but must be consistent. A project's construction emissions are averaged over 30 years and are added to a project's operational emissions. If a project's emissions are under one of the following screening thresholds, then the project is less than significant:
 - All land use types: 3,000 MTCO₂e per year

- Based on land use types: residential is 3,500 MTCO₂e per year; commercial is 1,400 MTCO₂e per year; and mixed use is 3,000 MTCO₂e per year
- Tier 4 has the following options:
 - Option 1: Reduce emissions from business as usual by a certain percentage; this percentage is currently undefined
 - Option 2: Early implementation of applicable AB 32 Scoping Plan measures
 - Option 3: Year 2020 target for service populations (SP), which includes residents and employees: 4.8 MTCO₂e/SP/year for projects and 6.6 MTCO₂e/SP/year for plans;
 - Option 3, 2035 target: 3.0 MTCO₂e/SP/year for projects and 4.1 MTCO₂e/SP/year for plans
- Tier 5 involves mitigation offsets to achieve target significance threshold.

Tier 1 and Tier 2 thresholds are based on planning consistency. This approach, which is referred to in the CEQA Guidelines as “tiering,” allows agencies to rely on programmatic analysis of GHG emissions to determine that subsequent development consistent with the regional plan would result in incremental GHG emissions contribution that represent a less than significant contribution to cumulative effects.

Tier 3 significance screening levels from SCAQMD guidance are based on the concept of establishing a 90 percent GHG emission market capture rate. A 90 percent emission capture rate means that 90 percent of total emissions from new development projects would be subject to CEQA analysis and mitigation. The market capture rate of 90 percent was developed to capture a substantial fraction of GHG emissions from new development projects while excluding small projects that will in aggregate contribute a relatively small fraction of the cumulative statewide GHG emissions. This market capture rate approach is based on guidance from the CAPCOA report CEQA & Climate Change, dated January 2008 (CAPCOA 2008). Following rationale presented in the CAPCOA Guidance, the aggregate emissions from all projects with individual annual emissions that are equal to or less than the identified screening levels for 90 percent market capture rate would not impede achievement of the statewide GHG emissions reduction targets. SCAQMD recommends Tier 3 if there is no qualified plan.

Tier 4 and Tier 5 interim thresholds are intended to demonstrate project consistency with the AB 32 goal of achieving 1990 emission levels by 2020 and the SB 32 goal of reducing GHG emissions to 40 percent below 1990 levels by 2030.

Therefore, as the project’s proposed use is residential use this analysis utilizes SCAQMD’s Tier 3 threshold of 3,000 MTCO₂e.

5.3 Toxic Air Contaminants

Non criteria pollutants such as Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) or Toxic Air Contaminants (TACs) are also regulated by the VCAPCD. The VCAPCD requires that projects that could potentially increase cancer risk to between 1 and 10 in one million need to implement toxics best available control technology (T-BACT) or impose the most effective emission limitation, emission control device or

control technique to reduce the cancer risk. At no time shall the project increase the cancer risk to over 10 in one million or a health hazard index (chronic and acute) greater than one. Projects creating cancer risks less than one in one million are not required to implement TBACT technology.

Therefore, the threshold for toxic air contaminants (TACs) is a maximum incremental cancer risk of 10 per million and a non-cancer (acute and chronic) hazard index of 1.0 or greater. An exceedance to these values would be considered a significant impact.

6.0 Air Quality Emissions Impact

6.1 Construction Air Quality Emissions Impact

The latest version of CalEEMod was used to estimate the construction emissions. CalEEMod output calculations are provided in Appendix A.

6.1.1 Temporary Construction Emissions

The VCAPCD Guidelines details that construction-related ROG, NOx, and fugitive dust (PM10 and PM2.5) emissions should be quantified. The worst-case construction emissions for the project are shown in Table 6. Construction is anticipated to last from 2024 to 2025.

As detailed in the VCAPCD Guidelines, the VCAPCD has not established quantitative thresholds for construction. However, it is recommended that construction emissions be compared to the thresholds established for operational emissions. As shown in Table 6, unmitigated construction emissions would exceed both thresholds. Therefore, standard conditions have been included to require equipment during grading to have engine ratings of Tier 3 or higher as well as limit the VOC content of interior and exterior paint to 18 grams per liter (g/L). With both standard conditions, the project would be below the VCAPCD thresholds and would therefore have a less than significant impact.

Table 6: Estimated Maximum Daily Construction Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions

Activity	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day) ¹					
	VOC	NOx	CO	SO ₂	PM10	PM2.5
Unmitigated						
2024	1.91	29.10	19.10	0.09	6.27	2.87
2025	67.70	11.60	16.60	0.03	1.49	0.64
Maximum Daily Emissions	67.70	29.10	19.10	0.09	6.27	2.87
VCAPCD Threshold	25	25	*	*	*	*
Exceeds Threshold?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
With Standard Conditions of Approval						
2024	1.71	24.40	18.00	0.09	5.98	2.60
2025	24.95	11.60	16.60	0.03	1.49	0.64
Maximum Daily Emissions	24.95	24.40	18.00	0.09	5.98	2.60
VCAPCD Threshold	25	25	*	*	*	*
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Notes: Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.28						

The VCAPCD has not established quantitative thresholds for particulate matter (PM10 and PM2.5). However, the VCAPCD indicates that a project that may generate fugitive dust emissions in such quantities as to cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons, or which may endanger the comfort, repose, health, or safety of any such person, or which may cause or have a natural tendency to cause injury or damage to business or property would have a significant air quality impact.

In order to reduce air quality impacts from construction activities, the VCAPCD requires that all projects minimize construction emissions through adherence to the VCAPCD Rule 55 fugitive dust control measures and minimize ROG through adherence to the VCAPCD Rule 74.2 architectural coating VOC content limits. Compliance with VCAPCD Rules 55 and 74.2 would ensure that construction emission would not be generated in such quantities as to cause injury, detriment, nuisance, or annoyance to any considerable number of persons, or that may endanger the comfort, repose, health or safety of any such person or the public. Therefore, a less than significant air quality impact would occur from construction of the proposed project.

6.1.3 Odors

Potential sources that may emit odors during construction activities include the application of materials such as asphalt pavement. The objectionable odors that may be produced during the construction process are of short-term in nature and the odor emissions are expected cease upon the drying or hardening of the odor producing materials. Diesel exhaust and VOCs would be emitted during construction of the project, which are objectionable to some; however, emissions would disperse rapidly from the project site and therefore should not reach an objectionable level at the nearest sensitive receptors. Due to the short-term nature and limited amounts of odor producing materials being utilized, no significant impact related to odors would occur during construction of the proposed project.

Land uses and industrial operations typically associated with odor complains include agricultural uses, wastewater treatment plants, food processing plants, chemical plants, refineries, landfills, dairies, and fiberglass molding. The proposed operations including a residential use that includes 70 multifamily dwelling units. The anticipated uses for the proposed residential use are not typically associated with objectionable odors. Therefore, no significant impact related to odors would occur during the on-going operations of the proposed project.

6.2 Operational Air Quality Emissions Impact

6.2.1 Operational Emissions

The operations-related criteria air quality impacts created by the proposed project have been analyzed through the use of CalEEMod model. The operating emissions were based on year 2025, which is the anticipated opening year for the project. The summer and winter emissions created by the proposed project’s long-term operations were calculated and the highest emissions from either summer or winter are summarized in Table 7. CalEEMod output calculations for the project are provided in Appendix A.

Table 7: Estimated Maximum Daily Operational Criteria Air Pollutant Emissions

Activity	Pollutant Emissions (pounds/day) ¹					
	VOC	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10	PM2.5
Pounds per Day						
Area Sources ²	3.14	0.06	6.22	0.00	0.01	0.00
Energy Usage ³	0.01	0.24	0.10	0.00	0.02	0.02
Mobile Sources ⁴	2.14	1.92	15.30	0.04	3.28	0.85

Total Emissions	5.29	2.22	21.62	0.04	3.31	0.87
VCAPCD Threshold	25	25	*	*	*	*
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
Tons per Year						
Area Sources ²	0.51	0.01	0.56	0.00	0.00	0.00
Energy Usage ³	0.00	0.04	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00
Mobile Sources ⁴	0.38	0.34	2.72	0.01	0.59	0.15
Total Emissions	0.89	0.39	3.30	0.01	0.60	0.16
City of Simi Valley Threshold	13.7	13.7	*	*	*	*
Exceeds Threshold?	No	No	No	No	No	No
¹ Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.28 ² Area sources consist of emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, and landscaping equipment. ³ Energy usage consists of emissions from on-site natural gas usage. ⁴ Mobile sources consist of emissions from vehicles and road dust.						

The data in Table 9 shows that emissions from the operation of the proposed project does not exceed VCAPCD or City thresholds. Therefore, the impact is considered less than significant.

6.3 CO Hot Spot Emissions

CO is the pollutant of major concern along roadways because the most notable source of CO is motor vehicles. For this reason, CO concentrations are usually indicative of the local air quality generated by a roadway network and are used as an indicator of potential local air quality impacts. Local air quality impacts can be assessed by comparing future without and with project CO levels to the State and Federal CO standards which were presented in above in Section 5.0.

To determine if the proposed project could cause emission levels in excess of the CO standards discussed above in Section 5.0, a sensitivity analysis is typically conducted to determine the potential for CO “hot spots” at a number of intersections in the general project vicinity. Because of reduced speeds and vehicle queuing, “hot spots” potentially can occur at high traffic volume intersections with a Level of Service E or worse.

Micro-scale air quality emissions have traditionally been analyzed in environmental documents where the air basin was a non-attainment area for CO. However, the VCAPCD has demonstrated in the CO attainment redesignation request to EPA that there are no “hot spots” anywhere in the air basin, even at intersections with much higher volumes, much worse congestion, and much higher background CO levels than anywhere in Orange County. If the worst-case intersections in the air basin have no “hot spot” potential, any local impacts will be below thresholds.

Traffic analysis from TJW Engineering showed that the project would generate 472 average daily trips. The 1992 Federal Attainment Plan for Carbon Monoxide (1992 CO Plan) showed that an intersection which has a daily traffic volume of approximately 100,000 vehicles per day would not violate the CO standard. The volume of traffic at project buildout would be well below 100,000 vehicles and below the necessary volume to even get close to causing a violation of the CO standard. Therefore, no CO “hot spot” modeling was performed and no significant long-term air quality impact is anticipated to local air quality with the on-going use of the proposed project.

6.4 Asbestos

Asbestos is a term used for several types of naturally occurring fibrous minerals that are a human health hazard when airborne. The most common type of asbestos is chrysotile, but other types such as tremolite and actinolite are also found in California. Asbestos is classified as a known human carcinogen by state, federal, and international agencies and was identified as a toxic air contaminant by the California Air Resources Board (CARB) in 1986. All types of asbestos are hazardous and may cause lung disease and cancer.

VCAPCD Rule 62.7 requires the removal all asbestos containing material (ACM) from a facility being demolished before any activity begins that would break up, dislodge or similarly disturb the ACM or permanently preclude access to the ACM for subsequent removal. The project will comply with all requirements in Rule 62.7 should ACM be present during demolition of the existing onsite structures.

6.5 Cumulative Regional Air Quality Impacts

Cumulative projects include local development as well as general growth within the project area. However, as with most development, the greatest source of emissions is from mobile sources, which travel well out of the local area. Therefore, from an air quality standpoint, the cumulative analysis would extend beyond any local projects and when wind patterns are considered, would cover an even larger area. Accordingly, the cumulative analysis for the project's air quality must be generic by nature.

For cumulative impacts from the project, the analysis must specifically evaluate contribution to the cumulative increase in pollutants for which the Air Basin is designated as nonattainment for the CAAQS and NAAQS. If the project does not exceed thresholds and is determined to have less than-significant project-specific impacts, it may still contribute to a significant cumulative air quality impact if the emissions from the project, in combination with the emissions from other proposed or reasonably foreseeable future projects, are in excess of established thresholds. However, the project would only be considered to have a significant cumulative impact if the project's contribution accounts for a significant proportion of the cumulative total emissions (i.e., it represents a "cumulatively considerable contribution" to the cumulative air quality impact).

The project area is out of attainment for O₃ for federal standards. Construction and operation of cumulative projects will further degrade the local air quality, as well as the air quality of the Basin. As discussed previously, the construction and operation related emissions would not result in significant impacts to air quality. Construction will be short-term and consistent with the size and scale of the project. Construction of the project will potentially be conducted at the same time and in the same general vicinity as other major construction projects; however, project construction is not anticipated to result in a cumulatively significant impact related to particulate matter emissions as the other identified cumulative projects are not close enough to the project site to generate cumulatively considerable particulate matter emission levels. Operation of the project will not exceed any thresholds of significance. Impacts would be less than significant.

6.6 Air Quality Compliance

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a discussion of any inconsistencies between a proposed project and applicable General Plans and Regional Plans (CEQA Guidelines Section 15125). According to the VCAPCD, a project is non-conforming if it conflicts with or delays implementation of any applicable attainment or maintenance plan.

A project is conforming if it complies with all applicable District rules and regulations, complies with all proposed control measures that are not yet adopted from the applicable plan(s), and is consistent with the growth forecasts in the applicable plan(s) (or is directly included in the applicable plan). Conformity with growth forecasts can be established by demonstrating that the project is consistent with the land use plan that was used to generate the growth forecast. An example of a non-conforming project would be one that increases the gross number of dwelling units, increases the number of trips, and/or increases the overall vehicle miles traveled in an affected area (relative to the applicable land use plan).

The project site is located within the City of Simi Valley. The project site lot is designated as general commercial and medium-density residential. As shown by the results of this air analysis, the project's emissions do not exceed any VCAPCD or City thresholds during either short-term construction or long-term operation of the project. Therefore, as the project is a commercial use, the proposed project is not anticipated to exceed the Attainment Plan assumptions for the project site.

Per data from SCAG's 2024 Connect SoCal population data, the City of Simi Valley had a population of 126,800 in 2019 and is anticipated to have a population of 126,275 in 2025, a decrease of 525.³ The project is estimated to have 211 residents, which would be approximately 0.166% of the 2019 Simi Valley population and 0.167% of the 2025 Simi Valley population. The population change from the project would be negligible compared to the existing and future population and is therefore consistent with AQMP growth assumptions.

Based on the above, the proposed project would not conflict with implementation of the VDAPCD Attainment Plans, impacts are considered to be less than significant.

³ https://scag.ca.gov/sites/main/files/file-attachments/03_scag_drtp24_citytier2taz_092523.xlsx?1695685277. 2025 data has been interpolated from the provided 2019 and 2035 data.

7.0 Greenhouse Gas Impact Analysis

7.1 Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact

The greenhouse gas emissions from project construction equipment and worker vehicles are shown in Table 8. The emissions are from all phases of construction. Construction-related emissions are amortized over a 30-year period in conjunction with the proposed project’s operational emissions as recommended by Association of Environmental Professionals (AEP 2007).

The total construction emissions amortized over a period of 30 years are estimated at 15.57 metric tons of CO₂e per year. Annual CalEEMod output calculations are provided in Appendix A. Construction is anticipated to last from 2024 through 2025.

Table 8: Estimated Annual Construction Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Year	Metric Tons Per Year					
	Bio-CO2	NBio-CO2	Total CO2	CH4	N2O	CO2e (MT)
2024	0.00	298.00	298.00	0.01	0.02	303.00
2025	0.00	171.00	171.00	0.01	0.01	173.00
Total	0.00	460.00	460.00	0.02	0.03	476.00
Annualized Construction Emissions						15.87
Notes: ¹ MTCO ₂ e=metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalents (includes carbon dioxide, methane and nitrous oxide). ² The emissions are averaged over 30 years. * CalEEMod output (Appendix A)						

7.2 Operational Greenhouse Gas Emissions Impact

Operational emissions occur over the life of the project. Table 9 shows that the total for the proposed project’s emissions (baseline emissions without credit for any reductions from sustainable design and/or regulatory requirements) would be 790.67 metric tons of CO₂e per year. These emissions do not exceed the SCAQMD screening threshold of 3,000 metric tons of CO₂e per year discussed in Section 5.2.1. Therefore, the project’s GHG emissions are considered to be less than significant. Annual CalEEMod output calculations for the project are provided in Appendix A.

<Table 9, next page>

Table 9: Opening Year Project-Related Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Category	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (Metric Tons/Year) ¹					
	Bio-CO2	NonBio-CO ₂	CO ₂	CH ₄	N ₂ O	CO ₂ e
Area Sources ²	0.00	1.63	1.63	0.00	0.00	1.75
Energy Usage ³	0.00	155.00	155.00	0.01	0.00	156.00
Mobile Sources ⁴	0.00	583.00	583.00	0.03	0.03	593.00
Solid Waste ⁵	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	16.20
Water ⁶	0.84	4.52	5.37	0.09	0.00	8.15
Subtotal Emissions	5.47	744.15	749.63	0.59	0.03	775.10
Amortized Construction Emissions						15.87
Total Emissions						790.97
Notes:						
¹ Source: CalEEMod Version 2022.1.1.28						
² Area sources consist of GHG emissions from consumer products, architectural coatings, and landscape equipment.						
³ Energy usage consist of GHG emissions from electricity and natural gas usage.						
⁴ Mobile sources consist of GHG emissions from vehicles.						
⁵ Solid waste includes the CO ₂ and CH ₄ emissions created from the solid waste placed in landfills.						
⁶ Water includes GHG emissions from electricity used for transport of water and processing of wastewater.						
⁷ Construction GHG emissions based on a 30-year amortization rate.						

7.3 Greenhouse Gas Plan Consistency

The proposed project could have the potential to conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. The project’s GHG impacts are evaluated by assessing the project’s consistency with applicable statewide, regional, and local GHG reduction plans and strategies.

The Governor’s Office of Planning and Research (OPR) encourages lead agencies to make use of programmatic mitigation plans and programs from which to tier when they perform individual project analyses. The City has adopted the City of Simi Valley CAP which encourages and requires applicable projects to implement energy efficiency measures. In addition, the California Climate Action Team (CAT) Report provides recommendations for specific emission reduction strategies for reducing GHG emissions and reaching the targets established in AB 32 and Executive Order S-3-05. On a statewide level, the 2008 Climate Change Scoping Plan provides measures to achieve AB 32 targets. Thus, if the project complies with these plans, policies, regulations, and requirements, the project would result in a less than significant impact because it would be consistent with the overarching state, regional, and local plans for GHG reduction.

A consistency analysis is provided below and describes the project’s compliance with or exceedance of performance-based standards included in the regulations outlined in the applicable portions of the City of Simi Valley CAP.

City of Simi Valley CAP Consistency Analysis

The focus of the City’s updated CAP included promoting energy- and water-efficient buildings, smart growth and clean transit, zero waste policies, and increased local energy generation and water resources. Table 10 summarizes applicable reduction strategies from the CAP and evaluates project

consistency with each strategy. The project would be consistent with all applicable CAP reduction strategies; therefore, the project would not conflict with the CAP.

Table 10: Project Consistency with City of Simi Valley Climate Action Plan

Measure	Summary	Project Consistency
Energy		
R2-E1: Residential Energy Efficiency Program	Increases the energy efficiency requirements for new development to 20%, a 10% point increase from the minimum requirements of the City measures	Consistent. The project will comply with energy efficient requirements.
Solid Waste		
R2-W1: City Diversion Program	Implements a Citywide waste diversion goal of diverting 75% of all waste from landfills	Consistent. The project will comply with waste diversion requirements.
R2-W2: Construction Diversion Program	Implements a Citywide construction waste diversion goal of diverting 85% of all construction and demolition waste from landfills	Consistent. The project will comply with waste diversion requirements.
Transportation		
R2-T3/M2-T3: Land Use-Based Trip and VMT Reduction Policies	Reduce VMT by increasing population density and creating walkable neighborhoods	Consistent. The project will be located within a quarter mile of a grocery store, numerous restaurants, and other commercial uses.

Therefore, the project will not conflict with any applicable plan, policy or regulation of an agency adopted for the purpose of reducing the emissions of greenhouse gases. Impacts are considered to be less than significant.

8.0 Energy Analysis

Information from the CalEEMod 2022.1.1.28 Daily and Annual Outputs contained in the air quality and greenhouse gas analyses above was utilized for this analysis. The CalEEMod outputs detail project related construction equipment, transportation energy demands, and facility energy demands.

8.1 Construction Energy Demand

8.1.1 Construction Equipment Electricity Usage Estimates

Electrical service will be provided by Southern California Edison (SCE). Based on the 2017 National Construction Estimator, Richard Pray (2017)⁴, the typical power cost per 1,000 square feet of building construction per month is estimated to be \$2.32. The project plans to develop the site with 104,020 square feet of new multi-family houses over the course of approximately 13 months.⁵ Based on Table 11, the total power cost of the on-site electricity usage during the construction of the proposed project is estimated to be approximately \$3,137.24. As shown in Table 11, the total electricity usage from Project construction related activities is estimated to be approximately 49,019 kWh.⁶

Table 11: Project Construction Power Cost and Electricity Usage

Power Cost (per 1,000 square foot of building per month of construction)	Total Building Size (1,000 Square Foot) ¹	Construction Duration (months)	Total Project Construction Power Cost
\$2.32	104.02	13	\$3,137.24

Cost per kWh	Total Project Construction Electricity Usage (kWh)
\$0.06	48,218

*Assumes the project will be under the GS-1 General Service rate under SCE.

⁴ Pray, Richard. 2017 National Construction Estimator. Carlsbad: Craftsman Book Company, 2017.

⁵ As stated in the project description, the project involves the demolition of approximately 280,000 square feet of existing buildings.

⁶ Southern California Edison (SCE). Rates & Pricing Choices: General Service/Industrial Rates.

https://library.sce.com/content/dam/sce-doclub/public/regulatory/historical/electric/2020/schedules/general-service-&-industrial-rates/ELECTRIC_SCHEDULES_GS-1_2020.pdf

8.1.2 Construction Equipment Fuel Estimates

Using the CalEEMod data input, the project’s construction phase would consume electricity and fossil fuels as a single energy demand, that is, once construction is completed their use would cease. CARB’s 2017 Emissions Factors Tables show that on average aggregate fuel consumption (gasoline and diesel fuel) would be approximately 18.5 hp-hr-gal.⁷ As presented in Table 12 below, project construction activities would consume an estimated 24,738 gallons of diesel fuel.

Table 12: Construction Equipment Fuel Consumption Estimates

Phase	Number of Days	Offroad Equipment Type	Amount	Usage Hours	Horse Power	Load Factor	HP hrs/day	Total Fuel Consumption (gal diesel fuel) ¹
Demolition	20	Concrete/Industrial Saws	1	8	33	0.73	193	208
	20	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8	367	0.4	1174	1270
	20	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	3	8	84	0.37	746	806
Grading	10	Graders	1	8	148	0.41	485	262
	10	Rubber Tired Dozers	1	8	367	0.4	1,174	635
	10	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	2	7	84	0.37	435	235
Building Construction	220	Cranes	1	7	367	0.29	745	8,860
	220	Forklifts	2	7	82	0.2	230	2,730
	220	Generator Sets	1	8	14	0.74	83	986
	220	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	6	84	0.37	186	2,218
	220	Welders	3	8	46	0.45	497	5,908
Paving	10	Cement and Mortar Mixers	1	8	10	0.56	45	24
	10	Pavers	1	8	81	0.42	272	147
	10	Paving Equipment	1	8	89	0.36	256	139
	10	Rollers	2	8	36	0.38	219	118
	10	Tractors/Loaders/Backhoes	1	8	84	0.37	249	134
Architectural Coating	10	Air Compressors	1	6	37	0.48	107	58
CONSTRUCTION FUEL DEMAND (gallons of diesel fuel)								24,738

⁷ Aggregate fuel consumption rate for all equipment was estimated at 18.5 hp-hr/day (from CARB’s 2017 Emissions Factors Tables and fuel consumption rate factors as shown in Table D-21 of the Moyer Guidelines: https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/moyer/guidelines/2017gl/2017_gl_appendix_d.pdf).

Notes:

¹Using Carl Moyer Guidelines Table D-21 Fuel consumption rate factors (bhp-hr/gal) for engines less than 750 hp.
(Source: https://www.arb.ca.gov/msprog/moyer/guidelines/2017gl/2017_gl_appendix_d.pdf)

8.1.3 Construction Worker Fuel Estimates

It is assumed that all construction worker trips are from light duty autos (LDA) along area roadways. With respect to estimated VMT, the construction worker trips would generate an estimated 305,768 VMT. Vehicle fuel efficiencies for construction workers were estimated in the air quality and greenhouse gas analysis using information generated using CARB’s EMFAC model (see Appendix B for details). Table 13 shows that an estimated 9,879 gallons of fuel would be consumed for construction worker trips.

Table 13: Construction Worker Fuel Consumption Estimates

Phase	Number of Days	Worker Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Demolition	20	12.5	18.5	4625	30.95	149
Site Preparation	10	10	18.5	1,110	30.95	60
Grading	220	72.2	18.5	293,854	30.95	9,494
Building Construction	10	15	18.5	2,775	30.95	90
Paving	10	14.4	18.5	2,664	30.95	86
Architectural Coating	20	12.5	18.5	4625	30.95	149
Total Construction Worker Fuel Consumption						9,879

Notes:

¹Assumptions for the worker trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2022.1.1.28 defaults.

8.1.4 Construction Vendor/Hauling Fuel Estimates

Tables 14 and 15 show the estimated fuel consumption for vendor and hauling during building construction and architectural coating. With respect to estimated VMT, the vendor and hauling trips would generate an estimated 73,144 VMT. For the architectural coatings it is assumed that the contractors would be responsible for bringing coatings and equipment with them in their light duty vehicles.⁸ Tables 14 and 15 show that an estimated 9,475 gallons of fuel would be consumed for vendor and hauling trips.

<Tables 14 & 15, next page>

⁸ Vendors delivering construction material or hauling debris from the site during grading would use medium to heavy duty vehicles with an average fuel consumption of 9.22 mpg for medium heavy-duty trucks and 6.74 mpg for heavy heavy-duty trucks (see Appendix B for details).

Table 14: Construction Vendor Fuel Consumption Estimates (MHD Trucks)¹

Phase	Number of Days	Vendor Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Demolition	20	0	10.2	0	9.22	0
Grading	10	0	10.2	0	9.22	0
Building Construction	220	16	10.2	35,904	9.22	3,894
Paving	10	5	10.2	510	9.22	55
Architectural Coating	10	0	10.2	0	9.22	0
Total Vendor Fuel Consumption						3,949

Notes:

¹ Assumptions for the vendor trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2022.1.1.28 defaults.

Table 15: Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption Estimates (HHD Trucks)¹

Phase	Number of Days	Hauling Trips/Day	Trip Length (miles)	Vehicle Miles Traveled	Average Vehicle Fuel Economy (mpg)	Estimated Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Demolition	20	24	20	9640	6.74	1,430
Grading	10	138	20	27,600	6.74	4,095
Building Construction	220	0	20	0	6.74	0
Paving	10	0	20	0	6.74	0
Architectural Coating	10	0	20	0	6.74	0
Total Construction Hauling Fuel Consumption						5,525

Notes:

¹ Assumptions for the hauling trip length and vehicle miles traveled are consistent with CalEEMod 2022.1.1.28 defaults.

8.1.5 Construction Energy Efficiency/Conservation Measures

Construction equipment used over the approximately 13-month construction phase would conform to CARB regulations and California emissions standards and is evidence of related fuel efficiencies. In addition, the CARB Airborne Toxic Control Measure limits idling times of construction vehicles to no more than five minutes, thereby minimizing unnecessary and wasteful consumption of fuel due to unproductive idling of construction equipment. Furthermore, the project has been designed in compliance with California’s Energy Efficiency Standards and 2022 CALGreen Standards.

Construction of the proposed residential development would require the typical use of energy resources. There are no unusual project characteristics or construction processes that would require the use of equipment that would be more energy intensive than is used for comparable activities; or equipment that would not conform to current emissions standards (and related fuel efficiencies). Equipment employed in construction of the project would therefore not result in inefficient wasteful, or unnecessary consumption of fuel.

8.2 Operational Energy Demand

Energy consumption in support of or related to project operations would include transportation energy demands (energy consumed by employee and patron vehicles accessing the project site) and facilities energy demands (energy consumed by building operations and site maintenance activities).

8.2.1 Transportation Fuel Consumption

The largest source of operational energy use would be vehicle operation of customers. The site is located in an urbanized area just in close proximity to transit stops. Using the CalEEMod output, an average trip for all vehicles was assumed to be 9.74 miles. To show a worst-case analysis, as the proposed project is a residential project, it was assumed that vehicles would operate 365 days per year. Table 16 shows the worst-case estimated annual fuel consumption for all classes of vehicles from autos to heavy-heavy trucks.⁹ Table 16 shows that an estimated 64,589 gallons of fuel would be consumed per year for the operation of the proposed project.

Table 16: Estimated Vehicle Operations Fuel Consumption

Vehicle Type	Vehicle Mix	Number of Vehicles	Average Trip (miles) ¹	Daily VMT	Average Fuel Economy (mpg)	Total Gallons per Day	Total Annual Fuel Consumption (gallons)
Light Auto	Automobile	266.8	9.74	2,598	31.82	81.65	29,803
Light Truck	Automobile	28.6	9.74	278	27.16	10.24	3,738
Light Truck	Automobile	85.0	9.74	827	25.6	32.32	11,798
Medium Truck	Automobile	65.7	9.74	640	20.81	30.77	11,231
Light Heavy Truck	2-Axle Truck	13.7	9.74	133	13.81	9.66	3,524
Light Heavy Truck 10,000 lbs +	2-Axle Truck	3.7	9.74	36	14.18	2.55	932
Medium Heavy Truck	3-Axle Truck	5.6	9.74	55	9.58	5.70	2,081
Heavy Heavy Truck	4-Axle Truck	3.0	9.74	29	7.14	4.06	1,481
Total		472	--	4,597	--	176.96	--
Total Annual Fuel Consumption							64,589

Notes:

¹ The trip generation assessment, the project is to generate 472 total new trips. Default CalEEMod vehicle fleet mix utilized.

² Based on the size of the site and relative location, trips were assumed to be local rather than regional.

Trip generation generated by the proposed project are consistent with other similar residential uses of similar scale and configuration as reflected in the traffic analysis from TJW Engineering. That is, the proposed project does not propose uses or operations that would inherently result in excessive and wasteful vehicle trips, nor associated excess and wasteful vehicle energy consumption. Therefore, project transportation energy consumption would not be considered inefficient, wasteful, or otherwise unnecessary.

⁹ Average fuel economy based on aggregate mileage calculated in EMFAC 2017 for opening year (2023). See Appendix B for EMFAC output.

8.2.2 Facility Energy Demands (Electricity and Natural Gas)

The annual natural gas and electricity demands were provided per the CalEEMod output and are provided in Table 17.

Table 17: Project Unmitigated Annual Operational Energy Demand Summary¹

Natural Gas Demand		kBTU/year
Condo/Townhouse		958,662
Total		958,662
Electricity Demand		kWh/year
Townhouse High Rise		238,115
Garage		182,074
Parking		13,050
Total		433,239

Notes:

¹Taken from the CalEEMod 2022.1.1.28 annual output.

As shown in Table 17, the estimated electricity demand for the proposed project is approximately 433,239 kWh per year. In 2022, the residential sector of the County of Ventura consumed approximately 2,037 million kWh of electricity.¹⁰ In addition, the estimated natural gas consumption for the proposed project is approximately 958,662 kBTU per year. In 2022, the residential sector of the County of Ventura consumed approximately 103 million therms of gas.¹¹ Therefore, the increase in both electricity and natural gas demand from the proposed project is insignificant compared to the County’s 2022 demand.

8.3 Renewable Energy and Energy Efficiency Plan Consistency

Regarding federal transportation regulations, the project site is located in an already developed area. Access to/from the project site is from existing roads. These roads are already in place so the project would not interfere with, nor otherwise obstruct intermodal transportation plans or projects that may be proposed pursuant to the ISTEA because SCAG is not planning for intermodal facilities in the project area.

Regarding the State’s Energy Plan and compliance with Title 24 CCR energy efficiency standards, the applicant is required to comply with the California Green Building Standard Code requirements for energy efficient buildings and appliances as well as utility energy efficiency programs implemented by the SCE.

Regarding the State’s Renewable Energy Portfolio Standards, the project would be required to meet or exceed the energy standards established in the California Green Building Standards Code, Title 24, Part

¹⁰ California Energy Commission, Electricity Consumption by County. <https://ecdms.energy.ca.gov/elecbycounty.aspx>

¹¹ California Energy Commission, Gas Consumption by County. <http://ecdms.energy.ca.gov/gasbycounty.aspx>

11 (CALGreen). CalGreen Standards require that new buildings reduce water consumption, employ building commissioning to increase building system efficiencies, divert construction waste from landfills, and install low pollutant-emitting finish materials.

9.0 References

The following references were used in the preparing this analysis.

California Air Pollution Control Officers Association

2009 Health Risk Assessments for Proposed Land Use Projects

California Air Resources Board

2005 Air Quality and Land Use Handbook: A Community Health Perspective. April.

2008 Resolution 08-43

2008 Recommended Approaches for Setting Interim Significance Thresholds for Greenhouse Gases under the California Environmental Quality Act

2008 ARB Recommended Interim Risk Management Policy for Inhalation-Based Residential Cancer Risk – Frequently Asked Questions

2008 Climate Change Scoping Plan, a framework for change.

2011 Supplement to the AB 32 Scoping Plan Functional Equivalent Document

2013 Revised Emission Factors for Gasoline Marketing Operations at California Gasoline Dispensing Facilities

2014 First Update to the Climate Change Scoping Plan, Building on the Framework Pursuant to AB32, the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006. May.

2017 The 2017 Climate Change Scoping Plan, The Strategy for Achieving California's 2030 Greenhouse Gas Target, Draft. October 27, 2017.

2021 Historical Air Quality, Top 4 Summary

City of Simi Valley

2012a City of Simi Valley General Plan. June.

2012b City of Simi Valley Climate Action Plan. June 4.

Governor's Office of Planning and Research

2008 CEQA and Climate: Addressing Climate Change Through California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Review

2009 CEQA Guideline Sections to be Added or Amended

Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC)

2014 Fifth Assessment Report (AR5), Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report.

Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment

2015 Air Toxics Hot Spots Program Risk Assessment Guidelines

South Coast Air Quality Management District (SCAQMD)

2008 Draft Guidance Document – Interim CEQA Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Significance Threshold. October 2008.

2009 Greenhouse Gas CEQA Significance Threshold Stakeholder Working Group 14. November 19, 2009.

TJW Engineering

2022 Scoping Agreement for Traffic Impact Analysis. August.

Ventura County Air Pollution Control District (VCAPCD)

2003 Ventura County Air Quality Assessment Guidelines

Appendix A:

CalEEMod Emission Output

Simi Valley Oak Rd & Los Angeles Ave Detailed Report

Table of Contents

1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.1. Basic Project Information
 - 1.2. Land Use Types
 - 1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector
2. Emissions Summary
 - 2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated
 - 2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated
 - 2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds
 - 2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated
 - 2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated
3. Construction Emissions Details
 - 3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated
 - 3.2. Demolition (2024) - Mitigated
 - 3.3. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

3.4. Grading (2024) - Mitigated

3.5. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

3.6. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

3.7. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

3.8. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

3.9. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

3.10. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

3.11. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

3.12. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

4.1.2. Mitigated

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

4.3.2. Mitigated

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

4.4.2. Mitigated

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

4.5.2. Mitigated

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

4.6.2. Mitigated

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

4.7.2. Mitigated

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

4.8.2. Mitigated

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

4.9.2. Mitigated

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

5.2.2. Mitigated

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

5.3.2. Mitigated

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

5.5. Architectural Coatings

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

5.7. Construction Paving

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

5.9.2. Mitigated

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

5.11.2. Mitigated

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

5.12.2. Mitigated

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

5.13.2. Mitigated

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

5.14.2. Mitigated

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

5.15.2. Mitigated

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

5.16.2. Process Boilers

5.17. User Defined

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

8. User Changes to Default Data

1. Basic Project Information

1.1. Basic Project Information

Data Field	Value
Project Name	Simi Valley Oak Rd & Los Angeles Ave
Construction Start Date	6/1/2024
Operational Year	2025
Lead Agency	—
Land Use Scale	Project/site
Analysis Level for Defaults	County
Windspeed (m/s)	2.50
Precipitation (days)	19.2
Location	1845 Oak Rd, Simi Valley, CA 93063, USA
County	Ventura
City	Simi Valley
Air District	Ventura County APCD
Air Basin	South Central Coast
TAZ	3519
EDFZ	8
Electric Utility	Southern California Edison
Gas Utility	Southern California Gas
App Version	2022.1.1.28

1.2. Land Use Types

Land Use Subtype	Size	Unit	Lot Acreage	Building Area (sq ft)	Landscape Area (sq ft)	Special Landscape Area (sq ft)	Population	Description
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	70.0	Dwelling Unit	1.09	104,020	0.00	—	211	—

Enclosed Parking Structure	130	Space	1.17	52,000	0.00	—	—	—
Parking Lot	38.0	Space	0.34	0.00	10,000	—	—	—

1.3. User-Selected Emission Reduction Measures by Emissions Sector

Sector	#	Measure Title
Construction	C-5	Use Advanced Engine Tiers
Construction	C-13	Use Low-VOC Paints for Construction

2. Emissions Summary

2.1. Construction Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	67.7	29.1	19.1	0.09	0.86	5.40	6.27	0.80	2.07	2.87	—	12,307	12,307	0.33	1.58	22.3	12,807
Mit.	25.0	24.4	18.0	0.09	0.69	5.40	5.98	0.64	2.07	2.60	—	12,307	12,307	0.33	1.58	22.3	12,807
% Reduced	63%	16%	6%	—	20%	—	5%	21%	—	10%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	1.65	12.3	16.6	0.03	0.46	1.08	1.55	0.43	0.26	0.69	—	3,644	3,644	0.15	0.13	0.15	3,686
Mit.	1.65	12.3	16.6	0.03	0.46	1.08	1.55	0.43	0.26	0.69	—	3,644	3,644	0.15	0.13	0.15	3,686
% Reduced	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unmit.	2.31	5.93	7.01	0.01	0.22	0.65	0.87	0.20	0.17	0.37	—	1,798	1,798	0.07	0.10	1.19	1,831
Mit.	1.13	5.80	6.98	0.01	0.21	0.65	0.86	0.19	0.17	0.36	—	1,798	1,798	0.07	0.10	1.19	1,831
% Reduced	51%	2%	< 0.5%	—	4%	—	1%	4%	—	2%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.42	1.08	1.28	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	298	298	0.01	0.02	0.20	303
Mit.	0.21	1.06	1.27	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	298	298	0.01	0.02	0.20	303
% Reduced	51%	2%	< 0.5%	—	4%	—	1%	4%	—	2%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

2.2. Construction Emissions by Year, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.91	29.1	19.1	0.09	0.86	5.40	6.27	0.80	2.07	2.87	—	12,307	12,307	0.33	1.58	22.3	12,807
2025	67.7	11.5	16.6	0.03	0.41	1.08	1.49	0.38	0.26	0.64	—	3,660	3,660	0.14	0.13	5.29	3,707
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.65	12.3	16.6	0.03	0.46	1.08	1.55	0.43	0.26	0.69	—	3,644	3,644	0.15	0.13	0.15	3,686
2025	1.55	11.6	16.2	0.03	0.41	1.08	1.49	0.38	0.26	0.64	—	3,618	3,618	0.15	0.13	0.14	3,660
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.70	5.93	7.01	0.01	0.22	0.65	0.87	0.20	0.17	0.37	—	1,798	1,798	0.07	0.10	1.19	1,831
2025	2.31	3.34	4.67	0.01	0.12	0.30	0.42	0.11	0.07	0.18	—	1,031	1,031	0.04	0.04	0.64	1,044
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.13	1.08	1.28	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	298	298	0.01	0.02	0.20	303
2025	0.42	0.61	0.85	< 0.005	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.03	—	171	171	0.01	0.01	0.11	173

2.3. Construction Emissions by Year, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Year	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily - Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.71	24.4	18.0	0.09	0.69	5.40	5.98	0.64	2.07	2.60	—	12,307	12,307	0.33	1.58	22.3	12,807
2025	25.0	11.5	16.6	0.03	0.41	1.08	1.49	0.38	0.26	0.64	—	3,660	3,660	0.14	0.13	5.29	3,707
Daily - Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	1.65	12.3	16.6	0.03	0.46	1.08	1.55	0.43	0.26	0.69	—	3,644	3,644	0.15	0.13	0.15	3,686
2025	1.55	11.6	16.2	0.03	0.41	1.08	1.49	0.38	0.26	0.64	—	3,618	3,618	0.15	0.13	0.14	3,660
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.66	5.80	6.98	0.01	0.21	0.65	0.86	0.19	0.17	0.36	—	1,798	1,798	0.07	0.10	1.19	1,831
2025	1.13	3.34	4.67	0.01	0.12	0.30	0.42	0.11	0.07	0.18	—	1,031	1,031	0.04	0.04	0.64	1,044
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
2024	0.12	1.06	1.27	< 0.005	0.04	0.12	0.16	0.04	0.03	0.07	—	298	298	0.01	0.02	0.20	303
2025	0.21	0.61	0.85	< 0.005	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.02	0.01	0.03	—	171	171	0.01	0.01	0.11	173

2.4. Operations Emissions Compared Against Thresholds

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Un/Mit.	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	7.27	8.44	28.8	0.05	0.34	3.25	3.59	0.34	0.82	1.16	33.0	5,620	5,653	3.58	0.18	15.4	5,812
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Unmit.	6.50	8.59	22.4	0.05	0.34	3.25	3.59	0.33	0.82	1.16	33.0	5,477	5,510	3.60	0.19	1.12	5,658
Average Daily (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	4.89	2.20	18.1	0.04	0.05	3.21	3.26	0.05	0.81	0.86	33.0	4,505	4,538	3.55	0.18	7.07	4,688
Annual (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Unmit.	0.89	0.40	3.30	0.01	0.01	0.59	0.60	0.01	0.15	0.16	5.47	746	751	0.59	0.03	1.17	776

2.5. Operations Emissions by Sector, Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Sector	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.14	1.72	15.3	0.04	0.03	3.25	3.28	0.02	0.82	0.85	—	3,627	3,627	0.16	0.15	14.6	3,691
Area	3.14	0.06	6.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	19.9	19.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.0
Energy	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	939	939	0.07	0.01	—	942
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Stationary	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	7.27	8.44	28.8	0.05	0.34	3.25	3.59	0.34	0.82	1.16	33.0	5,620	5,653	3.58	0.18	15.4	5,812
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.10	1.92	15.2	0.03	0.03	3.25	3.28	0.02	0.82	0.85	—	3,503	3,503	0.18	0.17	0.38	3,557
Area	2.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	939	939	0.07	0.01	—	942
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2

Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Stationary	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	6.50	8.59	22.4	0.05	0.34	3.25	3.59	0.33	0.82	1.16	33.0	5,477	5,510	3.60	0.19	1.12	5,658
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.09	1.88	14.9	0.03	0.03	3.21	3.24	0.02	0.81	0.84	—	3,522	3,522	0.17	0.16	6.32	3,581
Area	2.78	0.03	3.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	9.82	9.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.86
Energy	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	939	939	0.07	0.01	—	942
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Stationary	0.01	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	6.90	6.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	6.92
Total	4.89	2.20	18.1	0.04	0.05	3.21	3.26	0.05	0.81	0.86	33.0	4,505	4,538	3.55	0.18	7.07	4,688
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.38	0.34	2.72	0.01	< 0.005	0.59	0.59	< 0.005	0.15	0.15	—	583	583	0.03	0.03	1.05	593
Area	0.51	0.01	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	1.63	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.63
Energy	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	155	155	0.01	< 0.005	—	156
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.84	4.52	5.37	0.09	< 0.005	—	8.15
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	—	16.2
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12
Stationary	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	1.14	1.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	1.15
Total	0.89	0.40	3.30	0.01	0.01	0.59	0.60	0.01	0.15	0.16	5.47	746	751	0.59	0.03	1.17	776

2.6. Operations Emissions by Sector, Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Simi Valley Oak Rd & Los Angeles Ave Detailed Report, 9/4/2024

Sector	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.14	1.72	15.3	0.04	0.03	3.25	3.28	0.02	0.82	0.85	—	3,627	3,627	0.16	0.15	14.6	3,691
Area	3.14	0.06	6.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	19.9	19.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.0
Energy	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	939	939	0.07	0.01	—	942
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Stationary	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	7.27	8.44	28.8	0.05	0.34	3.25	3.59	0.34	0.82	1.16	33.0	5,620	5,653	3.58	0.18	15.4	5,812
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.10	1.92	15.2	0.03	0.03	3.25	3.28	0.02	0.82	0.85	—	3,503	3,503	0.18	0.17	0.38	3,557
Area	2.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Energy	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	939	939	0.07	0.01	—	942
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Stationary	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	6.50	8.59	22.4	0.05	0.34	3.25	3.59	0.33	0.82	1.16	33.0	5,477	5,510	3.60	0.19	1.12	5,658
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	2.09	1.88	14.9	0.03	0.03	3.21	3.24	0.02	0.81	0.84	—	3,522	3,522	0.17	0.16	6.32	3,581
Area	2.78	0.03	3.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	9.82	9.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	9.86
Energy	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	939	939	0.07	0.01	—	942
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2

Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Stationary	0.01	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	6.90	6.90	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	6.92
Total	4.89	2.20	18.1	0.04	0.05	3.21	3.26	0.05	0.81	0.86	33.0	4,505	4,538	3.55	0.18	7.07	4,688
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Mobile	0.38	0.34	2.72	0.01	< 0.005	0.59	0.59	< 0.005	0.15	0.15	—	583	583	0.03	0.03	1.05	593
Area	0.51	0.01	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	1.63	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.63
Energy	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	155	155	0.01	< 0.005	—	156
Water	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.84	4.52	5.37	0.09	< 0.005	—	8.15
Waste	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	—	16.2
Refrig.	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12
Stationary	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	1.14	1.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	1.15
Total	0.89	0.40	3.30	0.01	0.01	0.59	0.60	0.01	0.15	0.16	5.47	746	751	0.59	0.03	1.17	776

3. Construction Emissions Details

3.1. Demolition (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.61	15.6	16.0	0.02	0.67	—	0.67	0.62	—	0.62	—	2,494	2,494	0.10	0.02	—	2,502
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	2.09	2.09	—	0.32	0.32	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.85	0.88	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	137	137	0.01	< 0.005	—	137
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	0.11	0.11	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	22.6	22.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.7
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	0.02	0.02	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	171	171	0.01	0.01	0.74	173
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	2.32	0.54	0.01	0.02	0.44	0.46	0.02	0.12	0.14	—	1,707	1,707	0.04	0.27	3.81	1,793
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.99	8.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.13	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	93.5	93.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	98.1
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.51
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.5	15.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	16.2

3.2. Demolition (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.61	15.6	16.0	0.02	0.67	—	0.67	0.62	—	0.62	—	2,494	2,494	0.10	0.02	—	2,502
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	2.09	2.09	—	0.32	0.32	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.09	0.85	0.88	< 0.005	0.04	—	0.04	0.03	—	0.03	—	137	137	0.01	< 0.005	—	137
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	0.11	0.11	—	0.02	0.02	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.16	0.16	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	22.6	22.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.7
Demolition	—	—	—	—	—	0.02	0.02	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.84	0.00	0.00	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	171	171	0.01	0.01	0.74	173
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.04	2.32	0.54	0.01	0.02	0.44	0.46	0.02	0.12	0.14	—	1,707	1,707	0.04	0.27	3.81	1,793
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	8.99	8.99	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	9.12
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.13	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	93.5	93.5	< 0.005	0.01	0.09	98.1
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.49	1.49	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	1.51
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	15.5	15.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	16.2

3.3. Grading (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.65	15.9	15.4	0.02	0.74	—	0.74	0.68	—	0.68	—	2,454	2,454	0.10	0.02	—	2,462

Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	2.78	2.78	—	1.34	1.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.05	0.44	0.42	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	67.2	67.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	67.5
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.04	0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.08	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	11.1	11.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.2
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	136	136	0.01	< 0.005	0.59	139
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	13.2	3.05	0.06	0.12	2.49	2.61	0.12	0.70	0.82	—	9,717	9,717	0.22	1.55	21.7	10,206

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.60	3.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.65
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.38	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	266	266	0.01	0.04	0.26	279
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.60	0.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.60
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.07	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.1	44.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	46.2

3.4. Grading (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.42	11.1	14.2	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.41	—	0.41	—	2,454	2,454	0.10	0.02	—	2,462
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	2.78	2.78	—	1.34	1.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Simi Valley Oak Rd & Los Angeles Ave Detailed Report, 9/4/2024

Off-Road Equipment	0.01	0.30	0.39	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	67.2	67.2	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	67.5
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	0.08	0.08	—	0.04	0.04	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.06	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	11.1	11.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	11.2
Dust From Material Movement	—	—	—	—	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.05	0.05	0.67	0.00	0.00	0.13	0.13	0.00	0.03	0.03	—	136	136	0.01	< 0.005	0.59	139
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.21	13.2	3.05	0.06	0.12	2.49	2.61	0.12	0.70	0.82	—	9,717	9,717	0.22	1.55	21.7	10,206
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.60	3.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	3.65
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.01	0.38	0.08	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.07	0.07	< 0.005	0.02	0.02	—	266	266	0.01	0.04	0.26	279
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.60	0.60	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.60

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	< 0.005	0.07	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.1	44.1	< 0.005	0.01	0.04	46.2

3.5. Building Construction (2024) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32	11.2	11.9	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32	11.2	11.9	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.44	3.76	4.00	0.01	0.15	—	0.15	0.14	—	0.14	—	737	737	0.03	0.01	—	739
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.08	0.69	0.73	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	122	122	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	122
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.35	0.35	4.87	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	985	985	0.04	0.04	4.26	1,001
Vendor	0.01	0.65	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	501	501	0.01	0.07	1.40	525
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.32	0.42	4.41	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	942	942	0.05	0.04	0.11	954
Vendor	0.01	0.67	0.21	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	502	502	0.01	0.07	0.04	524
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.14	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.31	0.00	0.07	0.07	—	317	317	0.02	0.01	0.61	322
Vendor	< 0.005	0.23	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	168	168	< 0.005	0.03	0.20	176
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.03	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	52.6	52.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	53.3
Vendor	< 0.005	0.04	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	29.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.6. Building Construction (2024) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32	11.2	11.9	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.32	11.2	11.9	0.02	0.46	—	0.46	0.42	—	0.42	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.44	3.76	4.00	0.01	0.15	—	0.15	0.14	—	0.14	—	737	737	0.03	0.01	—	739
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.08	0.69	0.73	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	122	122	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	122
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.35	0.35	4.87	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	985	985	0.04	0.04	4.26	1,001
Vendor	0.01	0.65	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	501	501	0.01	0.07	1.40	525
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.32	0.42	4.41	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	942	942	0.05	0.04	0.11	954
Vendor	0.01	0.67	0.21	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	502	502	0.01	0.07	0.04	524
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.10	0.14	1.47	0.00	0.00	0.31	0.31	0.00	0.07	0.07	—	317	317	0.02	0.01	0.61	322
Vendor	< 0.005	0.23	0.07	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.05	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	168	168	< 0.005	0.03	0.20	176
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.02	0.03	0.27	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	52.6	52.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.10	53.3
Vendor	< 0.005	0.04	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	27.8	27.8	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	29.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.7. Building Construction (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.24	10.6	11.9	0.02	0.40	—	0.40	0.37	—	0.37	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.24	10.6	11.9	0.02	0.40	—	0.40	0.37	—	0.37	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.33	2.86	3.20	0.01	0.11	—	0.11	0.10	—	0.10	—	594	594	0.02	< 0.005	—	597

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.52	0.58	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	98.4	98.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	98.8
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.31	0.32	4.54	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	966	966	0.04	0.04	3.90	981
Vendor	0.01	0.62	0.19	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	493	493	0.01	0.07	1.39	517
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.30	0.39	4.12	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	923	923	0.05	0.04	0.10	935
Vendor	0.01	0.64	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	494	494	0.01	0.07	0.04	516
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.10	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	251	251	0.01	0.01	0.45	255
Vendor	< 0.005	0.17	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	133	133	< 0.005	0.02	0.16	139
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.02	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	41.6	41.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	42.2
Vendor	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.1	22.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	23.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.8. Building Construction (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.24	10.6	11.9	0.02	0.40	—	0.40	0.37	—	0.37	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	1.24	10.6	11.9	0.02	0.40	—	0.40	0.37	—	0.37	—	2,201	2,201	0.09	0.02	—	2,209
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.33	2.86	3.20	0.01	0.11	—	0.11	0.10	—	0.10	—	594	594	0.02	< 0.005	—	597
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.06	0.52	0.58	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	98.4	98.4	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	98.8
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.31	0.32	4.54	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	966	966	0.04	0.04	3.90	981
Vendor	0.01	0.62	0.19	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	493	493	0.01	0.07	1.39	517

Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.30	0.39	4.12	0.00	0.00	0.94	0.94	0.00	0.22	0.22	—	923	923	0.05	0.04	0.10	935
Vendor	0.01	0.64	0.20	< 0.005	0.01	0.14	0.14	0.01	0.04	0.05	—	494	494	0.01	0.07	0.04	516
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.08	0.10	1.11	0.00	0.00	0.25	0.25	0.00	0.06	0.06	—	251	251	0.01	0.01	0.45	255
Vendor	< 0.005	0.17	0.05	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.04	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	133	133	< 0.005	0.02	0.16	139
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.01	0.02	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.01	0.01	—	41.6	41.6	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.08	42.2
Vendor	< 0.005	0.03	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	22.1	22.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.03	23.1
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.9. Paving (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.70	6.13	8.21	0.01	0.27	—	0.27	0.25	—	0.25	—	1,244	1,244	0.05	0.01	—	1,248
Paving	0.40	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.17	0.23	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	34.1	34.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	34.2
Paving	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	5.64	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.66
Paving	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.07	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	201	201	0.01	0.01	0.81	204
Vendor	< 0.005	0.19	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	154	154	< 0.005	0.02	0.44	162
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.29	5.29	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.37
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.22	4.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.42
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.88	0.88	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.89

Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.70	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.73
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.10. Paving (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.70	6.13	8.21	0.01	0.27	—	0.27	0.25	—	0.25	—	1,244	1,244	0.05	0.01	—	1,248
Paving	0.40	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.02	0.17	0.23	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	0.01	—	0.01	—	34.1	34.1	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	34.2
Paving	0.01	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.03	0.04	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	5.64	5.64	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.66
Paving	< 0.005	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.07	0.94	0.00	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.05	0.05	—	201	201	0.01	0.01	0.81	204
Vendor	< 0.005	0.19	0.06	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.04	0.05	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	—	154	154	< 0.005	0.02	0.44	162
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.29	5.29	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.37
Vendor	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	4.22	4.22	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	4.42
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.88	0.88	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.89
Vendor	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.70	0.70	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.73
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.11. Architectural Coating (2025) - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	67.5	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architectural Coatings	1.85	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.61
Architectural Coatings	0.34	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	193	193	0.01	0.01	0.78	196
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.09	5.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.17

Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.84	0.84	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.86
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3.12. Architectural Coating (2025) - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Location	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Onsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	0.13	0.88	1.14	< 0.005	0.03	—	0.03	0.03	—	0.03	—	134	134	0.01	< 0.005	—	134
Architectural Coatings	24.8	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	0.02	0.03	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	3.66	3.66	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.67
Architectural Coatings	0.68	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Off-Road Equipment	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	0.61	0.61	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.61
Architectural Coatings	0.12	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Onsite truck	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Offsite	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	0.06	0.06	0.91	0.00	0.00	0.19	0.19	0.00	0.04	0.04	—	193	193	0.01	0.01	0.78	196
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Average Daily	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	5.09	5.09	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.01	5.17
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Worker	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.84	0.84	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.86
Vendor	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Hauling	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

4. Operations Emissions Details

4.1. Mobile Emissions by Land Use

4.1.1. Unmitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.6. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available.

4.1.2. Mitigated

Mobile source emissions results are presented in Sections 2.5. No further detailed breakdown of emissions is available.

4.2. Energy

4.2.1. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	347	347	0.02	< 0.005	—	348
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	265	0.02	< 0.005	—	266
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.0	19.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.1
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	631	631	0.04	< 0.005	—	634
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	347	347	0.02	< 0.005	—	348
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	265	0.02	< 0.005	—	266

Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.0	19.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.1
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	631	631	0.04	< 0.005	—	634
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	57.5	57.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	57.7
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	43.9	43.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.1
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.15	3.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.16
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	105	105	0.01	< 0.005	—	105

4.2.2. Electricity Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	347	347	0.02	< 0.005	—	348
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	265	0.02	< 0.005	—	266
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.0	19.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.1
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	631	631	0.04	< 0.005	—	634
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Condo/T High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	347	347	0.02	< 0.005	—	348
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	265	265	0.02	< 0.005	—	266
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	19.0	19.0	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	19.1
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	631	631	0.04	< 0.005	—	634
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/T ownhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	57.5	57.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	57.7
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	43.9	43.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	44.1
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	3.15	3.15	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	3.16
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	105	105	0.01	< 0.005	—	105

4.2.3. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/T ownhouse High Rise	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	50.9	50.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	51.0
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	50.9	50.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	51.0

4.2.4. Natural Gas Emissions By Land Use - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Condo/T High Rise	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/T ownhouse High Rise	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	0.01	0.24	0.10	< 0.005	0.02	—	0.02	0.02	—	0.02	—	307	307	0.03	< 0.005	—	308
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/T ownhouse High Rise	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	50.9	50.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	51.0
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	< 0.005	0.04	0.02	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	50.9	50.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	51.0

4.3. Area Emissions by Source

4.3.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	2.23	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.73	0.06	6.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	19.9	19.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.0
Total	3.14	0.06	6.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	19.9	19.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.0
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	2.23	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	2.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.41	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Architect Coatings	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscaping Equipment	0.07	0.01	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.63	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.63
Total	0.51	0.01	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	1.63	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.63

4.3.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Source	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	2.23	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscaping Equipment	0.73	0.06	6.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	19.9	19.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.0
Total	3.14	0.06	6.22	< 0.005	0.01	—	0.01	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	19.9	19.9	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	20.0
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	2.23	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Architectural Coatings	0.19	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	2.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hearths	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Consumer Products	0.41	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coatings	0.03	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Landscape Equipment	0.07	0.01	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	—	1.63	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.63
Total	0.51	0.01	0.56	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	< 0.005	0.00	1.63	1.63	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.63

4.4. Water Emissions by Land Use

4.4.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	26.3	31.4	0.52	0.01	—	48.2
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	1.00	1.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	26.3	31.4	0.52	0.01	—	48.2
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	1.00	1.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.84	4.36	5.20	0.09	< 0.005	—	7.99
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.17	0.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.17
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.84	4.52	5.37	0.09	< 0.005	—	8.15

4.4.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Condo/T High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	26.3	31.4	0.52	0.01	—	48.2
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	1.00	1.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/T ownhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	26.3	31.4	0.52	0.01	—	48.2
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	1.00	1.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	1.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	5.08	27.3	32.4	0.52	0.01	—	49.2
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/T ownhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.84	4.36	5.20	0.09	< 0.005	—	7.99
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.17	0.17	< 0.005	< 0.005	—	0.17
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.84	4.52	5.37	0.09	< 0.005	—	8.15

4.5. Waste Emissions by Land Use

4.5.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	—	16.2

Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	—	16.2

4.5.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	27.9	0.00	27.9	2.79	0.00	—	97.7
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	—	16.2
Enclosed Parking Structure	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Parking Lot	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	—	0.00
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.63	0.00	4.63	0.46	0.00	—	16.2

4.6. Refrigerant Emissions by Land Use

4.6.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74

Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12

4.6.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.74	0.74
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	0.12	0.12

4.7. Offroad Emissions By Equipment Type

4.7.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.7.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
-------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

4.8. Stationary Emissions By Equipment Type

4.8.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emergency Generator	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emergency Generator	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emergency Generator	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	1.14	1.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	1.15
Total	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	1.14	1.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	1.15

4.8.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emergency Generator	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emergency Generator	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Total	1.97	6.42	7.15	0.01	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.29	0.00	0.29	0.00	1,007	1,007	0.04	0.01	0.00	1,011
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Emergency Generator	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	1.14	1.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	1.15
Total	< 0.005	0.01	0.01	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	< 0.005	0.00	1.14	1.14	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.00	1.15

4.9. User Defined Emissions By Equipment Type

4.9.1. Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipme nt Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.9.2. Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Equipment Type	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type

4.10.1. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
------------	-----	-----	----	-----	-------	-------	-------	--------	--------	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----	---	------

Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.2. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.3. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Unmitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.4. Soil Carbon Accumulation By Vegetation Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Vegetation	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.5. Above and Belowground Carbon Accumulation by Land Use Type - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Land Use	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

4.10.6. Avoided and Sequestered Emissions by Species - Mitigated

Criteria Pollutants (lb/day for daily, ton/yr for annual) and GHGs (lb/day for daily, MT/yr for annual)

Species	ROG	NOx	CO	SO2	PM10E	PM10D	PM10T	PM2.5E	PM2.5D	PM2.5T	BCO2	NBCO2	CO2T	CH4	N2O	R	CO2e
Daily, Summer (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Daily, Winter (Max)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Removed	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Annual	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Avoided	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Sequestered	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

Remove	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Subtotal	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

5. Activity Data

5.1. Construction Schedule

Phase Name	Phase Type	Start Date	End Date	Days Per Week	Work Days per Phase	Phase Description
Demolition	Demolition	6/1/2024	6/29/2024	5.00	20.0	—
Grading	Grading	7/1/2024	7/13/2024	5.00	10.0	—
Building Construction	Building Construction	7/14/2024	5/18/2025	5.00	220	—
Paving	Paving	5/19/2025	6/2/2025	5.00	10.0	—
Architectural Coating	Architectural Coating	6/3/2025	6/17/2025	5.00	10.0	—

5.2. Off-Road Equipment

5.2.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	82.0	0.20

Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45
Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	10.0	0.56
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.2.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
Demolition	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Demolition	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Demolition	Concrete/Industrial Saws	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	33.0	0.73
Grading	Graders	Diesel	Tier 3	1.00	8.00	148	0.41
Grading	Rubber Tired Dozers	Diesel	Tier 3	1.00	8.00	367	0.40
Grading	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Tier 3	2.00	7.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Cranes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	367	0.29
Building Construction	Forklifts	Diesel	Average	2.00	7.00	82.0	0.20
Building Construction	Generator Sets	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	14.0	0.74
Building Construction	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	84.0	0.37
Building Construction	Welders	Diesel	Average	3.00	8.00	46.0	0.45

Paving	Tractors/Loaders/Back hoes	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	84.0	0.37
Paving	Pavers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	81.0	0.42
Paving	Paving Equipment	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	89.0	0.36
Paving	Rollers	Diesel	Average	2.00	8.00	36.0	0.38
Paving	Cement and Mortar Mixers	Diesel	Average	1.00	8.00	10.0	0.56
Architectural Coating	Air Compressors	Diesel	Average	1.00	6.00	37.0	0.48

5.3. Construction Vehicles

5.3.1. Unmitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	12.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	24.1	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	138	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	72.2	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	16.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—

Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	5.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	14.4	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.3.2. Mitigated

Phase Name	Trip Type	One-Way Trips per Day	Miles per Trip	Vehicle Mix
Demolition	—	—	—	—
Demolition	Worker	12.5	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Demolition	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Demolition	Hauling	24.1	20.0	HHDT
Demolition	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Grading	—	—	—	—
Grading	Worker	10.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Grading	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Grading	Hauling	138	20.0	HHDT
Grading	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Building Construction	—	—	—	—
Building Construction	Worker	72.2	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Building Construction	Vendor	16.0	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Building Construction	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Building Construction	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Paving	—	—	—	—

Paving	Worker	15.0	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Paving	Vendor	5.00	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Paving	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Paving	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT
Architectural Coating	—	—	—	—
Architectural Coating	Worker	14.4	18.5	LDA,LDT1,LDT2
Architectural Coating	Vendor	—	10.2	HHDT,MHDT
Architectural Coating	Hauling	0.00	20.0	HHDT
Architectural Coating	Onsite truck	—	—	HHDT

5.4. Vehicles

5.4.1. Construction Vehicle Control Strategies

Non-applicable. No control strategies activated by user.

5.5. Architectural Coatings

Phase Name	Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
Architectural Coating	210,641	70,214	2,293	255	3,952

5.6. Dust Mitigation

5.6.1. Construction Earthmoving Activities

Phase Name	Material Imported (Cubic Yards)	Material Exported (Cubic Yards)	Acres Graded (acres)	Material Demolished (Building Square Footage)	Acres Paved (acres)
Demolition	0.00	0.00	0.00	42,000	—
Grading	11,000	—	6.00	0.00	—
Paving	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.51

5.6.2. Construction Earthmoving Control Strategies

Control Strategies Applied	Frequency (per day)	PM10 Reduction	PM2.5 Reduction
Water Exposed Area	2	61%	61%

5.7. Construction Paving

Land Use	Area Paved (acres)	% Asphalt
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—	0%
Enclosed Parking Structure	1.17	100%
Parking Lot	0.34	100%

5.8. Construction Electricity Consumption and Emissions Factors

kWh per Year and Emission Factor (lb/MWh)

Year	kWh per Year	CO2	CH4	N2O
2024	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005
2025	0.00	532	0.03	< 0.005

5.9. Operational Mobile Sources

5.9.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	472	472	472	172,280	4,599	4,599	4,599	1,678,539

5.9.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Trips/Weekday	Trips/Saturday	Trips/Sunday	Trips/Year	VMT/Weekday	VMT/Saturday	VMT/Sunday	VMT/Year
Total all Land Uses	472	472	472	172,280	4,599	4,599	4,599	1,678,539

5.10. Operational Area Sources

5.10.1. Hearths

5.10.1.1. Unmitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	70
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Pellet Wood Stoves	0

5.10.1.2. Mitigated

Hearth Type	Unmitigated (number)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	—
Wood Fireplaces	0
Gas Fireplaces	0
Propane Fireplaces	0
Electric Fireplaces	0
No Fireplaces	70
Conventional Wood Stoves	0
Catalytic Wood Stoves	0
Non-Catalytic Wood Stoves	0

Pellet Wood Stoves	0
--------------------	---

5.10.2. Architectural Coatings

Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Interior Area Coated (sq ft)	Non-Residential Exterior Area Coated (sq ft)	Parking Area Coated (sq ft)
210640.5	70,214	2,293	255	3,952

5.10.3. Landscape Equipment

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.10.4. Landscape Equipment - Mitigated

Season	Unit	Value
Snow Days	day/yr	0.00
Summer Days	day/yr	180

5.11. Operational Energy Consumption

5.11.1. Unmitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	238,115	532	0.0330	0.0040	958,662
Enclosed Parking Structure	182,074	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	13,050	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.11.2. Mitigated

Electricity (kWh/yr) and CO2 and CH4 and N2O and Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)

Land Use	Electricity (kWh/yr)	CO2	CH4	N2O	Natural Gas (kBTU/yr)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	238,115	532	0.0330	0.0040	958,662
Enclosed Parking Structure	182,074	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00
Parking Lot	13,050	532	0.0330	0.0040	0.00

5.12. Operational Water and Wastewater Consumption

5.12.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	2,653,240	0.00
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	129,276

5.12.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Indoor Water (gal/year)	Outdoor Water (gal/year)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	2,653,240	0.00
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	0.00
Parking Lot	0.00	129,276

5.13. Operational Waste Generation

5.13.1. Unmitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	51.8	—
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	—
Parking Lot	0.00	—

5.13.2. Mitigated

Land Use	Waste (ton/year)	Cogeneration (kWh/year)
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	51.8	—
Enclosed Parking Structure	0.00	—
Parking Lot	0.00	—

5.14. Operational Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment

5.14.1. Unmitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.14.2. Mitigated

Land Use Type	Equipment Type	Refrigerant	GWP	Quantity (kg)	Operations Leak Rate	Service Leak Rate	Times Serviced
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	Average room A/C & Other residential A/C and heat pumps	R-410A	2,088	< 0.005	2.50	2.50	10.0
Condo/Townhouse High Rise	Household refrigerators and/or freezers	R-134a	1,430	0.12	0.60	0.00	1.00

5.15. Operational Off-Road Equipment

5.15.1. Unmitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.15.2. Mitigated

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Engine Tier	Number per Day	Hours Per Day	Horsepower	Load Factor
----------------	-----------	-------------	----------------	---------------	------------	-------------

5.16. Stationary Sources

5.16.1. Emergency Generators and Fire Pumps

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number per Day	Hours per Day	Hours per Year	Horsepower	Load Factor
Emergency Generator	Diesel	1.00	24.0	60.0	50.0	0.73

5.16.2. Process Boilers

Equipment Type	Fuel Type	Number	Boiler Rating (MMBtu/hr)	Daily Heat Input (MMBtu/day)	Annual Heat Input (MMBtu/yr)
----------------	-----------	--------	--------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.17. User Defined

Equipment Type	Fuel Type
----------------	-----------

5.18. Vegetation

5.18.1. Land Use Change

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Vegetation Land Use Type	Vegetation Soil Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------------	----------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1. Biomass Cover Type

5.18.1.1. Unmitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.1.2. Mitigated

Biomass Cover Type	Initial Acres	Final Acres
--------------------	---------------	-------------

5.18.2. Sequestration

5.18.2.1. Unmitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

5.18.2.2. Mitigated

Tree Type	Number	Electricity Saved (kWh/year)	Natural Gas Saved (btu/year)
-----------	--------	------------------------------	------------------------------

6. Climate Risk Detailed Report

6.1. Climate Risk Summary

Cal-Adapt midcentury 2040–2059 average projections for four hazards are reported below for your project location. These are under Representation Concentration Pathway (RCP) 8.5 which assumes GHG emissions will continue to rise strongly through 2050 and then plateau around 2100.

Climate Hazard	Result for Project Location	Unit
Temperature and Extreme Heat	17.0	annual days of extreme heat
Extreme Precipitation	6.65	annual days with precipitation above 20 mm
Sea Level Rise	—	meters of inundation depth
Wildfire	31.3	annual hectares burned

Temperature and Extreme Heat data are for grid cell in which your project are located. The projection is based on the 98th historical percentile of daily maximum/minimum temperatures from observed historical data (32 climate model ensemble from Cal-Adapt, 2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Extreme Precipitation data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The threshold of 20 mm is equivalent to about ¾ an inch of rain, which would be light to moderate rainfall if received over a full day or heavy rain if received over a period of 2 to 4 hours. Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

Sea Level Rise data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from Radke et al. (2017), as reported in Cal-Adapt (Radke et al., 2017, CEC-500-2017-008), and consider inundation location and depth for the San Francisco Bay, the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta and California coast resulting different increments of sea level rise coupled with extreme storm events. Users may select from four scenarios to view the range in potential inundation depth for the grid cell. The four scenarios are: No rise, 0.5 meter, 1.0 meter, 1.41 meters
 Wildfire data are for the grid cell in which your project are located. The projections are from UC Davis, as reported in Cal-Adapt (2040–2059 average under RCP 8.5), and consider historical data of climate, vegetation, population density, and large (> 400 ha) fire history. Users may select from four model simulations to view the range in potential wildfire probabilities for the grid cell. The four simulations make different assumptions about expected rainfall and temperature are: Warmer/drier (HadGEM2-ES), Cooler/wetter (CNRM-CM5), Average conditions (CanESM2), Range of different rainfall and temperature possibilities (MIROC5). Each grid cell is 6 kilometers (km) by 6 km, or 3.7 miles (mi) by 3.7 mi.

6.2. Initial Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	0	0	N/A
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	0	0	N/A
Wildfire	1	0	0	N/A
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	0	0	0	N/A

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores do not include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.3. Adjusted Climate Risk Scores

Climate Hazard	Exposure Score	Sensitivity Score	Adaptive Capacity Score	Vulnerability Score
Temperature and Extreme Heat	2	1	1	3
Extreme Precipitation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Sea Level Rise	1	1	1	2
Wildfire	1	1	1	2
Flooding	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Drought	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Snowpack Reduction	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Air Quality Degradation	1	1	1	2

The sensitivity score reflects the extent to which a project would be adversely affected by exposure to a climate hazard. Exposure is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest exposure.

The adaptive capacity of a project refers to its ability to manage and reduce vulnerabilities from projected climate hazards. Adaptive capacity is rated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a score of 5 representing the greatest ability to adapt.

The overall vulnerability scores are calculated based on the potential impacts and adaptive capacity assessments for each hazard. Scores include implementation of climate risk reduction measures.

6.4. Climate Risk Reduction Measures

7. Health and Equity Details

7.1. CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Scores

The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Exposure Indicators	—
AQ-Ozone	76.9
AQ-PM	39.1
AQ-DPM	19.1
Drinking Water	50.5
Lead Risk Housing	34.3
Pesticides	0.00
Toxic Releases	22.9
Traffic	33.6
Effect Indicators	—
CleanUp Sites	0.00
Groundwater	0.00
Haz Waste Facilities/Generators	20.3
Impaired Water Bodies	87.0
Solid Waste	0.00

Sensitive Population	—
Asthma	54.5
Cardio-vascular	61.6
Low Birth Weights	21.6
Socioeconomic Factor Indicators	—
Education	39.5
Housing	87.2
Linguistic	7.38
Poverty	26.7
Unemployment	62.4

7.2. Healthy Places Index Scores

The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

Indicator	Result for Project Census Tract
Economic	—
Above Poverty	55.87065315
Employed	69.52393173
Median HI	46.58026434
Education	—
Bachelor's or higher	45.32272552
High school enrollment	100
Preschool enrollment	74.87488772
Transportation	—
Auto Access	22.57153856
Active commuting	21.26267163
Social	—
2-parent households	14.62851277
Voting	64.09598358

Neighborhood	—
Alcohol availability	43.28243295
Park access	81.35506224
Retail density	40.93417169
Supermarket access	85.75644809
Tree canopy	45.79751059
Housing	—
Homeownership	39.57397665
Housing habitability	14.70550494
Low-inc homeowner severe housing cost burden	43.37225715
Low-inc renter severe housing cost burden	26.31849095
Uncrowded housing	40.60053895
Health Outcomes	—
Insured adults	58.50121904
Arthritis	20.9
Asthma ER Admissions	56.6
High Blood Pressure	22.4
Cancer (excluding skin)	17.3
Asthma	43.1
Coronary Heart Disease	23.5
Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease	27.0
Diagnosed Diabetes	61.5
Life Expectancy at Birth	34.7
Cognitively Disabled	50.3
Physically Disabled	12.2
Heart Attack ER Admissions	15.8
Mental Health Not Good	50.5
Chronic Kidney Disease	45.1

Obesity	57.9
Pedestrian Injuries	56.8
Physical Health Not Good	48.3
Stroke	29.9
Health Risk Behaviors	—
Binge Drinking	45.1
Current Smoker	50.3
No Leisure Time for Physical Activity	49.6
Climate Change Exposures	—
Wildfire Risk	15.2
SLR Inundation Area	0.0
Children	69.7
Elderly	16.5
English Speaking	88.5
Foreign-born	40.4
Outdoor Workers	81.3
Climate Change Adaptive Capacity	—
Impervious Surface Cover	50.1
Traffic Density	36.4
Traffic Access	23.0
Other Indices	—
Hardship	48.8
Other Decision Support	—
2016 Voting	74.0

7.3. Overall Health & Equity Scores

Metric	Result for Project Census Tract
CalEnviroScreen 4.0 Score for Project Location (a)	31.0

Healthy Places Index Score for Project Location (b)	51.0
Project Located in a Designated Disadvantaged Community (Senate Bill 535)	No
Project Located in a Low-Income Community (Assembly Bill 1550)	Yes
Project Located in a Community Air Protection Program Community (Assembly Bill 617)	No

a: The maximum CalEnviroScreen score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects a higher pollution burden compared to other census tracts in the state.

b: The maximum Health Places Index score is 100. A high score (i.e., greater than 50) reflects healthier community conditions compared to other census tracts in the state.

7.4. Health & Equity Measures

No Health & Equity Measures selected.

7.5. Evaluation Scorecard

Health & Equity Evaluation Scorecard not completed.

7.6. Health & Equity Custom Measures

No Health & Equity Custom Measures created.

8. User Changes to Default Data

Screen	Justification
Land Use	Per site plan
Construction: Construction Phases	No site preparation required
Construction: Architectural Coatings	—

Appendix B:

EMFAC 2017 Output

Source: EMFAC2017 (v1.0.3) Emissions Inventory

Region Type: Air District

Region: South Coast AQMD

Calendar Year: 2023

Season: Annual

Vehicle Classification: EMFAC2007 Categories

Units: miles/day for VMT, trips/day for Trips, tons/day for Emissions, 1000 gallons/day for Fuel Consumption

Region	Calendar Yr	Vehicle Cat	Model Year	Speed	Fuel	Population	VMT	Trips	Fuel Consumption	Fuel Consumption	Total Fuel Consumption	VMT	Total VMT	Miles Per Gallon	Vehicle Class
South Coas	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	75.10442936	8265.097	1502.689	1.936286145	1936.286145	1913466.474	8265.097	13656273.03	7.14	HHD
South Coas	2023	HHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	109818.6753	13648008	1133618	1911.530188	1911530.188	1911530.188	13648008			
South Coas	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	6635002.295	2.53E+08	31352477	7971.24403	7971244.03	8020635.698	2.53E+08	255180358.3	31.82	LDA
South Coas	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	62492.97958	2469816	297086.6	49.3916685	49391.6685		2469816			
South Coas	2023	LDA	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	150700.3971	6237106	751566	0	0		6237106			
South Coas	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	758467.6481	27812996	3504563	1023.913006	1023913.006	1024279.466	27812996	27821405.09	27.16	LDT1
South Coas	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	360.7799144	8408.618	1256.88	0.366459477	366.4594769		8408.618			
South Coas	2023	LDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	7122.93373	303507.5	35798.19	0	0		303507.5			
South Coas	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2285150.139	85272416	10723315	3338.798312	3338798.312	3356536.438	85272416	85922778.34	25.60	LDT2
South Coas	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	15594.68309	650362.8	76635.83	17.73812611	17738.12611		650362.8			
South Coas	2023	LDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	28809.63735	917592.8	145405.4	0	0		917592.8			
South Coas	2023	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	174910.3847	6216643	2605904	583.3851736	583385.1736	811563.1022	6216643	11211395.79	13.81	LHDT1
South Coas	2023	LHDT1	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	125545.0822	4994753	1579199	228.1779285	228177.9285		4994753			
South Coas	2023	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	30102.75324	1034569	448486.2	111.5753864	111575.3864	209423.5025	1034569	2969599.008	14.18	LHDT2
South Coas	2023	LHDT2	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	50003.13116	1935030	628976.5	97.84811618	97848.11618		1935030			
South Coas	2023	MCY	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	305044.5141	2104624	610089	57.849018	57849.018	57849.018	2104624	2104623.657	36.38	MCY
South Coas	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	1589862.703	55684188	7354860	2693.883526	2693883.526	2744536.341	55684188	57109879.73	20.81	MDV
South Coas	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	36128.1019	1425691	176566.9	50.65281491	50652.81491		1425691			
South Coas	2023	MDV	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	16376.67653	537591.7	83475.95	0	0		537591.7			
South Coas	2023	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	34679.50542	330042.9	3469.338	63.26295123	63262.95123	74893.26955	330042.9	454344.9436	6.07	MH
South Coas	2023	MH	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	13122.69387	124302	1312.269	11.63031832	11630.31832		124302			
South Coas	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	25624.3151	1363694	512691.3	265.2060557	265206.0557	989975.6425	1363694	9484317.768	9.58	MHDT
South Coas	2023	MHDT	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	122124.488	8120623	1221858	724.7695868	724769.5868		8120623			
South Coas	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	5955.291639	245774	119153.5	48.07750689	48077.50689	86265.88761	245774	579743.8353	6.72	OBUS
South Coas	2023	OBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	4286.940093	333969.8	41558.29	38.18838072	38188.38072		333969.8			
South Coas	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	2783.643068	112189.6	11134.57	12.19474692	12194.74692	39638.85935	112189.6	323043.5203	8.15	SBUS
South Coas	2023	SBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	6671.825716	210853.9	76991.94	27.44411242	27444.11242		210853.9			
South Coas	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Gasoline	957.7686184	89782.63	3831.074	17.62416327	17624.16327	17863.66378	89782.63	91199.2533	5.11	UBUS
South Coas	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Diesel	13.00046095	1416.622	52.00184	0.239500509	239.5005093		1416.622			
South Coas	2023	UBUS	Aggregate	Aggregate	Electricity	16.11693886	1320.163	64.46776	0	0		1320.163			