

Map 401 - Gary King

As it would be good to try to keep in line with the existing four Neighborhood Council Districts, this submission includes adjustments the Neighborhood Council Districts to balance the population count.

Map 402 - John Casselberry Jr

This map provides the closest to a Latino majority district as possible in four districts while attempting to resemble the Neighborhood Council Districts and keeping incumbents in separate districts.

Map 403 - George Bowman

This map shows 4 districts for City Council Members with an At Large Mayor being voted in by the entire City.

Map 404 - Greg Stratton

This is a 4-district map, as the elected mayor should remain as voted by the public. Simi Valley had been historically 2 communities: Simi and Santa Susana. This historical split is being reflected today by the dividing lines between Simi Valley High, Santa Susana and Royal High. So the first split is to divide the city along those lines. The only big difference is that the Community Center area, another historical area, is part of the Santa Susana districts to balance population and to keep it with the closer old town Santa Susana. Next the two districts were each split in half. The map tries to keep neighborhoods together. This usually means respecting project boundaries as many of the larger projects have a unifying HOA. The boundaries generally follow natural dividing lines: Freeway, Arroyo, LA Ave & major streets. The Kadota Fig area was split by the Freeway, and is kept together in this plan. The districts are close in size, with the growing areas 1 and 4 slightly smaller to accommodate future growth.

Map 406 – Gloria Bowman

I would like to see a four district plan with an at-large mayor. I want to vote for mayor, not have it become a personality contest among council members.

Map 503 – Barbara Mann

I think that now with Districts, we need 5 separate Districts and no Elected Mayor. Instead of an Elected Mayor, allow the City Councilmembers to rotate the Mayor and Mayor-Pro Tem title. This could be done yearly or every two years, just the Mayor's Election is held every two years. If we leave an Elected Mayor, since they run "at-large" this would mean that one District would have two representatives - 1 Councilmember and 1 Mayor. This may give the impression that this District has more power/authority than the other Districts. If we eliminate the Elected Mayor position, each District could possibly have a chance of their elected Councilmember servings as the Mayor.

Map 601 - John Casselberry Jr

This map does the best job possible in ensuring as close to a Latino majority district while keeping district compact and equal in population while preserving communities in the city.

Map 602 - Brendan Carpio

As a Latino man who grew up in Simi Valley, I feel this map best gives my community an opportunity to elect a member of the city council as is the intent of the California Voting Rights Act. This map also allows each incumbent running for re-election to be drawn into their individual districts while meeting the requirements for compactness, contiguousness and equality of populations.

Partial list of others who endorsed map 602: Ellen Learned, Colin Waters, Kristen Diaz, Joan Salvatore, Tracy Maisch, Marie Mason, Abigail Albarran, Lois Friedman, Heather Gonzalez-Rubio, Robin R. Wright, Stephanie McIntyre, Maria I. Martinez, Fernando Necedal, April Amante, and "Anne"

Map 603 - Judy Mayer (*partial map; NDC note: proposed districts are not population balanced*)

I'd want six districts with a Mayor at large. I only could figure out these three districts. D1: communities with different police needs. Higher end homes. D2: Equestrian area and gated communities have different needs than the rest of Simi. Gated communities have different police needs. D3: Higher Hispanic area; protected class and the reason for districting.