

NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL #1

THURSDAY, MARCH 3, 2016, 7:30 P.M. CITY HALL COMMUNITY ROOM 2929 TAPO CANYON ROAD

AGENDA

NC #1 Chair	Don Diamond
NC #1 Vice Chair	Michael Pucher
NC #1 Secretary	Tim Donis
NC Coordinator	Emily Habib
City Council Liaison	Council Member Glen Becerra

- 1. Call to Order/Welcome/Pledge of Allegiance/Introductions
- 2. Agenda Review
- 3. Approval of Minutes
- 4. Correspondence
- 5. Public Statements/Comments

This is the time allotted for public statements or comments on matters within the subject matter and jurisdiction of the Executive Board not on the agenda. Statements and comments are limited to no more than five (5) minutes per speaker.

6. Informational Presentation

The City's Cultural Arts Center: 20 Years of Entertainment

7. Continued Business: None

8. New Business: None

9. Neighborhood Council Coordinator's Report



10. Executive Board Comments

This is the time allotted for Executive Board member statements or comments on matters within the subject matter and jurisdiction of the Neighborhood Councils, to request a future agenda item, or to give an Ad Hoc Committee Report. This is also the time to make any announcements related to community events and other items of interest

11. Adjournment: Thursday, April 7, 2016

<u>/s/</u>

Mark Oyler Deputy Director/Citizen Services

If any interested individual has a disability that may require accommodation to participate in this meeting, please contact the Neighborhood Council Coordinator at (805) 583-6756. Upon advance notification, reasonable arrangements will be made to provide accessibility to the meeting.

DRAFT MINUTES

1. Call to Order/Welcome/Pledge of Allegiance

Chair Don Diamond called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. and confirmed that a quorum was present.

George Apodac	Р	Michael Pucher	Р
Ro Curry	Р	Debbie Thomas	Р
Tim Donis	Р	Joyce Erdman	Р
Jeff Faulwell	Ε	Tracy Ford	Е
Howard Glober	Р	Bernie Riedel	Р
Don Diamond	Р	Amanda Weiss	Р
Jamie Extract	Р	P=Present; E=Excused; A=Absent	

2. Agenda Review

By consensus of the Executive Board, the agenda was approved as presented.

3. Approval of Minutes

A motion was made by Howard Glober and seconded by Bernie Riedel to approve the January 7, 2016, minutes as presented. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Correspondence: None.

5. Public Statements/Comments

A resident made a comment about how drivers are ignoring the pedestrian laws when students and parents use the crosswalk at Ulysses Street and Hermes Street. Jennifer Santos stated that she would provide him the contact information for traffic enforcement.

6. Informational Presentation

a. The City's Code Enforcement Division: What do They Do?

Kevin Murphy, Senior Code Enforcement Officer gave an excellent overview about Code Enforcement and the duties of the officers. He explained how Code Enforcement is responsible for the enforcement of the City's Municipal Code. A lot of the officers' time is spent responding to questions and/or complaints from residents. At times, the City Council will provide direction to focus enforcement on different areas of the Municipal Code. Approximately 2,300 cases are processed yearly, with a 99 percent compliance rate.

Some of Code Enforcement's duties include the annual weed abatement program, where vacant properties are inspected to ensure they are maintained; and, the proactive assessment of non-permitted construction, which are reported to Building and Safety. Code Enforcement does accept anonymous complaints. However, when any complaints are received, the reporting party's information is kept confidential.

Mr. Murphy sited a couple of daily activities that require permits that most people might not be aware of. Some of these examples include, storing a temporary storage container on private property, and that solicitors are required to obtain a City issued solicitor's permit. The Executive Board was also informed that only Waste Management and Andersen Rubbish Disposal are authorized to drop off and pick up trash bins in the City.

- 7. Continued Business: None
- 8. New Business:
 - a. A request to develop a four-building, 141,000 square-foot industrial complex at 161 W. Cochran Street

Questions and comments from the audience/responses from the applicant:

None.

Questions and comments from the Executive Board/responses from the applicant:

How will the traffic from the proposed development impact the existing area?

The submitted traffic report verified that the existing area will be to support to the increased traffic.

Why was the proposed site chosen to develop the industrial buildings?

Based on the results of a prepared market study, there was support to develop the proposed industrial buildings.

What will the leasing rate be for the project?

The projected rate will be approximately \$1.75 per square foot.

Are there prospective tenants that have an interest in occupying the buildings?

There have been discussions with a couple of possible tenants.

What type of businesses do you expect to occupy the future offices?

Businesses will consist of uses that are allowed in the light industrial zone and light manufacturing.

There are concerns with the existing traffic on Cochran Street. The increased truck and vehicle traffic from the proposed site will increase it further.

Upon conclusion of the discussion, the following motion was made by Howard Gobler and seconded by Jamie Extract:

MOTION: Recommend that the Planning Commission approve the request to develop a four-building, 141,000 square-foot industrial complex at 161 W. Cochran Street.

Executive Board vote: 10 Ayes; 0 Noes; 1 Abstention Audience vote: 1 Aye; 0 Noes; 0 Abstentions

Unincorporated Area vote: None

The motion carried.

9. Neighborhood Council Coordinator's Report.

Jennifer Santos, who was filling in for Emily Habib, explained that the Executive Board Officers requested to discuss the future direction of the Neighborhood Councils at the January 5, 2016, meeting. She explained how the Neighborhood Councils were established by the City Council to provide recommendations to the Planning Commission and City Council on development projects, resident concerns, and other matters on which the City Council has requested their input.

The Executive Board discussed their thoughts of what the direction and role the Neighborhood Councils should take in the community. Concerns were expressed that there has not been enough public awareness or interest about the activities of the Neighborhood Councils. Ideas to increase public awareness included communication through social media by the City or Neighborhood Councils. Some members believe the Neighborhood Councils should be given more influence. Most of the Executive Board felt that they would like major issues, such as the recent increase in water rates, be brought to the Neighborhood Councils sooner in the process to provide feedback and recommendations. Many believed that the proposed increases to the water rates were only informative presentations and even if the Neighborhood Councils had all voted against it, it really wouldn't have made a difference as it had for the most part already been decided to increase the water rates. One member requested to have a better understanding about the Planning Commission's decision making process and what they look for when they make decisions to either approve or deny a project.

- 10. Executive Board Comments: None.
- 11. Adjournment: Thursday, March 3, 2016, 7:30 p.m.

By the consensus of the Executive Board, the meeting was adjourned at 9:17 p.m.